Arcana Dogmatum.

Anti-Remonstrantium.

Or the

## CALVINISTS Cabinet unlock'd.

N

An Apology for TILENUS, against a pretended Vindication of the Synod of Dort.

At the provocation of Master R. Easter,

Grottan Religion.

Together,

With a few fost Drops let fall upon the Papers

of Mafter HICKMAN.

held forth in the Preface to his

L U K, 11, p.

And when they are converted, fi engiben toy Brethren.

Printed for Richard Rosson, at the Angel in Ivie lane. M. D.C. LIX.

## A.

## PRÆFATORY EPISTLE.

To my old Friend M. B.

Have finishe the task, to which, whe-

Sir,

ther your hastitation or curiosity did more earnestly solicite me, I shall not determine. A task, I confesse, so much the more unpleasing, as I had reafon to conceive it the leffe needfull. For ( to tell you the truth, ) 'tis the observation of Judicious men, that your great Champion comes off, in this incounter, with as little reputation, ( though he falls on with as much feorn and passion) as the Proud Philistine in his Combate with little David. Indeed he makes out very fierce and frequent

Sallies upon the face of our Tilenus, and defies him utterly in the bitterest asperity of foul Language: But withall he does him the honour to leave him Master of the Field, and expoteth his own Caufe to the danger of being destroyd by his own weapons. I do not impute this to any want of skill or mettle in him; for his abilities have approved themselves sufficient to advance him above the pitch of contemptible, would be be carefull to manage them with that Prudence and Christian remper, that becomes a faithfull Souldier of Jesus Christ, minding nothing more than the interest of his Church. But forgetting his duty herein, like a Souldier of fortune, who lives by warrs, or tome young Gallant flesht by the weaknesse of an Aggressor, whom he hath worsted upon advantage, he is apt to have a hand in every quarrell; and though he be not fatisfied in the grounds of the difference, yet rather than take up the dispute, and make men friends, he will take a fide and make a Party. rings. Nay, he hath much ado to keep his vizor close about him, he is ready to unmask himfelf upon occasion, as the attentive eye may easily perceive, even whilest he is in conflict with 7%-For his fix daies hard march in purfuit after the Grotian Religion, as I am not concern'd, fo neither do I think it tant-amount to observe the motions of it. But I pray God (belides delign inorder to the carrying on of that old dispute \* amongst the Di-\* Luke 22. 1416: 24 kiples) there be not as much uncharitablenesse, (and that of as ill an influence and aboad) in Levying this war, as well against the honour and integrity, as against the most Christian (if equally managed) enterprize of that Learned man, as Master He himfelf concludes to be † Freface. Sea. 20, in Master P, his book  $\dagger$ , for which he strongly infinuares,

That he hath done thus in this Contro-

versie is evident to every impartiall

Reader, that is conversant in his wri-

dy; and besides, my information doth assure me, Master P. is Mustering his forces, to go out, with Letters of Reprisall, to recover all such spoils of him; of the successe of which accomplishment, no doubt, we shall have sull Intelligence in due season.

In the interim I have addressed my self, for pitty sake, to the rescue of a poore Fathersesse Tilenus, whom Ma-

Grotius.

ster Baxter falls to foul up-

on, in his forlorn hope \*,

in this Expedition against

You may be confident Tilenus expe-

Sted fairer Quarter at his hands, having

some temptation to think he was as in-

that for the Present many account, he

He hath fnater was faire flowers

from off the Herse of the immortall

Grotim; but his expectation will de-

ceive him, if he flatters himfelf with an

opinion, that they will ferve to dreffe

up his own reputation. For they be-

gin to welk and fade in his hands alrea-

is more then uncapable of lalvation.

tellation. Whereight I be not much mistaken, he hash made the greatest misadventure that ere befell him, whether you confider the Party or the Tenents, whose Vindication he hath so roundly undertaken. Yet I must professe ingenuously, that from my first perusall of his Aphorisms, and my taking notice of his purpote to reduce them to a herter Method, and annex what, he faith, † he had † Postscript to bis plaine prepared [ Of univerfall Redemprion], I have expected Script proof of Infants, with some degree of Longing and impatience this performance from him. And my defires have been much inflamed by some Colours which he hath fince hung out and difplayed in a Pre ace to [ Certain Diffutations of Right to Sacraments, | whereby he invites and tolis in his Reader, as with the found of Drum and Trumper, to adraire his Invention and Acutenejte in these words, [ To the praise of God 1

clinable to a flare of neutrality, as to an

ingagement de Quenquariscular Con-

discerned twose Principles which quiet my own minde, and which, I am confident, were they received according to their Evidence, would quiet the now contending world: But, laith he, I am past doubt, to be derided as arrogant for this Confidence; And should the Principles in a Method with Evidence be propounded, though purposely to heal the divisions of the Church, many of the severall Parties, would but rage at the Reconciler, and your out their impotent accufations and reproaches against him, because he would arrempt the healing of their drussions, and would feign him to be Author of some new Sed, for seeking to put an end to Selfs. But let any man make good my just demand, that the Principles propounded shall have an impartial reception according to their Exidence, and I will give you security to make good my Confidence, that they shall quiet the Christian world hereabouts. Thus far M. Baxter.

lpeak it, that in those ancient common di-

sturbing Controversies between the Armini-

an and Anti-Arminian, Lutheran and

Calvinist, Jesuit and Dominican, I have

Now if it were but a piece of curiofity in me, to defire to fee what prize Master B. would play, it he were brought upon the flage, after this goodly flourish, yet it were very innocent and pardonable. But to a Person that professe to bear a cordiall affection to Gods Truth and the Peace of his Church, finding himfelf much unfatisfied too in the common way of stating these Controversies, it is very allowable, if his heart entertained some paisson, and were transported with some longings to tast the truit of this so deep rooted and full blown a Confidence. When the Jewes met with any difficulty, for which they could finde no competent folution, they were wont to lay, that Elias would come; and when he came, he would unite all fuch knots, and unravell luch intricacies, featter the cloud, and adminificra clear light of fatisfaction. It was the defire of my foul that God would vouchfafe to fend fome fuch Elias, as might be able to difintangle the intricacies of thefe may not this Master Baxter be the man? though I must adde withall, that too many Reasons throng'd in on a sudden, and offered themselves to oppose that thought, and did actually check and filence it. At last forth comes the Examination of Tilenus; and by that means, I thought, a faire Price was now put into Master Baxiers hand, or rather a handlome invitation and an opportunity offered to him, to shew his wildome. But what was the Refult of it? He took the lait, but one would think, by his mere mibbling at it, that he was affraid, there was a hook too harp for him, either to iwallow or dally with. In earnest, (because you are so very urgent to gain my opinion of it) I tell you, though I finde little abatement of the confidence lie protest, yet I can observe nothing of the successe he boasted himself able to accomplish; for indeed his Arrogance flew so high a pitch, that it flew to Lessening; he could not see the

Controversies; and why, thought I,

game he had undertaken; it he had, he would never have offered his fecurity to perform that, whereof in his very next line, he faith [ But I know thus In the faid is to be expeded from none but Præface. God. What shall we say then, that he was fornice and chary of his healing Principles that he would not affoatd the Church of God their Benefit? I dare not think him so uncharitable. He tels us, I remember, (in his forementioned Postscript) I am not onely distracted between mens concrary Judgements and defires : but far more, between a fear of wronging the Church by mistakes, and of wronging it by my sileace, and Christ by hiding my Talent and his precious Truths, which after hard study and earnest supplication, he revealed to me on these terms, that I should reveale them to others. I hope mens contrary Judgements did portitill hold M. Baxter in distraction, (from November 12, 1650, till April 14. 1658.) when he wrote against Tilenus; and lure we may conclude [ bis

fear, of wronging the Church by mistakes \ was blown over, when he made this proclamation to the world, 1657.viz. Ubi supra. [ And to the Praise of God I speak it, that in those — Controversies -- I have discerned those Principles, which quiet my own minde, and which I am confident, were they received according to their Evidence, would quiet the now-contending Christian world. And now his fear of wronging the Church by mistakes was so well blown over, that he could fee nothing but fair weather, and to clear a light of evidence round about him, might we not very well expect that his ober fear should work up-On him, His fear of wronging the Church by his silence, and Christ by hiding his Talent and his precious Truths, which (he faith ) after hard fludy and earnest supplication, he (Chtist) retealed to me on these terms, that I should receal them to others? May we not very well conclude from hence, that Master Bawter hath unfolded his napkin, and disburft his Talents, and

held forth his Revelations?

Quintessence of all, that Matter Baxuer hath to reveal for the worlds latistaction in these Controversies? Some of his Principles, no doubt, he hath communicated in these papers: but unlesse those Principles be propounded to us in a Method with Evidence, haply they will not conveigh their healing virtue to us; and that Method, that charming Method, I suspect he hath yet concealed from us. But whole fault is this? Why, he was now in purfuit after Tilenus, and fo engaged to leave his own Method, that he might trace his Adversarie by his steps. But grant this to be true, in respect of that Part of his Preface, (from Sell. 6. to 17.) wherein he had concerned himlelf against Tilenus; yet when he came to review the severall heads of Controversic (from Sellion 28, to the end,) he was then at Liberty to propound his *Principles* as himself pleased; why did he not then give us his own Method with Ecidence, but put Master P. off by playing his old Notes over a-

What then, have we all, or the

good Master Baxters just demand, in giving his Principles an Impartial Reception according to their Evidence; if you please you shall have my Protestation, that I any verily perfuaded, I have done it; and whether I have or no, is referred to the Reader to judge by this Reply. I shall but adde as a further evidence hereof, that the Effect of this Receipt of his Principles, hath brought much comfort to me; for observing that his promise amounts to so great Confidence, and his performance brings fo little satisfaction, he hath healed much of my heptation, and quieted my mind, with a stronger persuation than ever, that the certain Truth abideth on the Remonstrants side, in these Controverlies. For the matter of Fast charged by Tilenus upon the Synod, and their Adherents; that M. Baxters VIND 1-CATION with all his Artifice and ill language, will not ferve to make a fut-

again with a little new descant?

If you object, that I have not made

head that foar, is abundantly evinced in the infueing Papers, but, for the Synods part, especially in the Reflexions upon Mafter Baxter's discourse, relating to each Article. To which I can now add a further proof from some Intelligence which came very lately to my hands from a Forreigner +; who tells us of a most bitter contention betwixt Foetius and Marehim about the fense of that Synod. One of them maintains that the synod determined the Decree of Predestination and Reprobation to antecede the confiderati-On of the fall of Adam; to which affertion the other hath opposed an Apobgy for the Synod. So that, though Assembled on purpose to decide these Controversies, and appeale the broiles that emerged, and were inflamed upon them, yet (that they might feem to agree together in fomething) have they wrapt up their Decrees and Canons in to many clouds, and Confounded them

ficient plaister to cover, much lesse to

pretation) that they are like to fall into a New Schisme, before they come to a fettled Resolution, what the meaning of that Synod is; whereof notwithstanding M. Baxter makes himself so great an Advocate. By which his Advocation, I grow a little jealous, he hath given Scandall, and led his Brother into temptation. For was it not upon the account of his Acsusations, and the persuasion he had of the truth thereof, that Master Hickman, (whole Pamphlet you mention, as a smart piece) takes the boldnesse to inveigh and raile fo uncivilly † ( without any provocation from him) upon Tilenus; calling him by the Names of Eibiopian, Scribler, this poore Fellon? I know it is the Apostles Lesson, Rom. 12. 17. Recompense to no man evil for evil. And this is to follow that Copy that our Blessed Master hath set us, "1 Pet 2. Who being reviled, reviled not 21, with 23, again. \* Nay Michael the

with so many intricacies (if a man hath

recourse to their Suffrages for an inter-

Archangel, though he had the Devil for his Antagonist in that dispute, yet he durst not bring against him a railing Jude epif. accusation. Master Hickman may passe multer for a precious Saint, as the prefent Accounts are made below, but I am fure he can gather none of those flowers of Rhetorick from the Discourses of the Holy Angels that converse above. He chargeth that Author with impudence in abusing the Triers: but I must tell him (on his behalf) when fuch Schemes of Rhetorick are used, (as they may be with wonderfull advantage, being not onely infirumentall to illustrate and adorn a Truth, but also to make it the more pungent, and take impression,) the 4buse imagined to result from them, is ever, amongst wife men, ascribed to him that takes the impudence to make the Application. And whereas he faith further, that the Synod of Dort, which Tilenus writes against, is a man made up of his own ugly clouts, (or to that purpole; for I have no list to look upon his Scur-

rilous language ) I must tell you; he shall find before he hath read these Papers half way thorow, that those clauts, as ugly as they feem to him, are genuine parts of that Home-spun-stuffe; which was warps and noven and mill'd too, by that very Synod of the town of Dort. Neither hach Tilenus feethis webupon the tenter-backs; not were any part, to make ugly clours of it: but onely used that Liberty, which is allowed to all Artiffs of this kinde, fairely to cut out of the whole piece, such Proportions, as nitight best serve, to doothe his discourse, in that falbrone its now Represented in, This is all I am willing to return to Master Hickman. But because I perceive his Pamphlet hath raifed a double feruple in you, I shall adventure to apply something in order for your fatisfaction. First, you lay, that his Evidence, to prove the Anti-Arminian principles, to be according to the Faith of the Church of England, is to pregnant, that it must needs beget a great prejudice in the minds of

men against such as attempt the diffeniwatton of another Doctrine. To which I answer, (1.) Seeing these men have razed the very Foundations of the Church of England, upon which it was established the Reformation; and made it their design to erect a new Fabrick upon, the Platforme of a new Confession, a new Catechisme, a new Directory, a new Government; why should such a Seal of Secrefie be stampt upon these Controversies alone; why may not these be examined by fome new Triers in order to a further Approbation, before they be admitted to take place of Authority in this Church? 2. It thefe Principles, which you call Anti-Arminian, were embraced as part of the Faul of the Church of England, I might puzzle you perhaps, by asking you, which of them, the Supralapfarian, or the Sublapfarian Principles? But I intend to be brief and clear, with you; I say therefore, though those opinions were Can afed as Problems of the School, yet they were not intertained as Do-

is as good as ten thousand, and it shall be this. Doctor whitaker, having obtain'd the Bishops approbation to the Lambeth Articles, (and not discerning that the Alteration of certain words and Phrases in them, had made them capable of a different sense and interpretation to what he intended in their first contrivance) big with joy, as he was, at the apprehenfion of this conceited victory, he addresleth himself to the Chancellour of their University, the Lord Burleigh; shews him the Thefes, and acquaints him with all that had been done, (in favour of his opinions, as he thought, and the rather because these Theses were drawn up in the absence of some that opposed him) in that Convention. Artic. Lamcontrary to Whitakers expectabetbæ ezhition, that Great man, and wife bit. Historia Counfeller, was extreamly dif-P. 4, 5, 6,7. tasted at this transaction; and

Arines of the Church, much leffe deter-

mined to be Ar icles of the Faith. O e

irrefragable Argument to this purpole,

whereof, having declared to the Queen how her Majesties Authority, and the Lawes of England were hereby violated, he added as the very burden of his Complaint, That it was no hard matter to distern what they aimed at, who stickled in this attempt; For, saith he, this is their Opinion and Doctrine; That every humane action be it good or evill, it is all restrain'd and bound up by the Law of an immutable Decree; That upon the very wills of men also this necessity is imposed, ut aliter quam vellent homines velle non possent, that men could not will otherwise then they did will. Which affertions Madam, saith Burleigh, if they be true, frustrà ego aliique sideles Majestatis tuæ Ministri, quid in re quaque opus sit facto, quid ex usu suturum sit & Regni & tuo, suspensa diu consilia versamus, cum de his que eveniunt necessario, stulta sit plane omnis consultatio; I and the rest of your Majesties faithfull Ministers do sit in Counfell to no purpose, 't is in vain to de-

threatned that he would make the Au-

thors repent them of it. In pursuance

come to passe of necessary, all Consultation is foolish and ridiculous. At this narration of the Lord Burleigh the Queen was much moved, and lent for whitgift, and the Councell in her Majesties presence, fell sharply upon him. At last they came to the Question de Failo, (meaning the Absolute Decree) & Dogma (u ipsis videbatus) bonis moribus, Reique publica, adversum graviter exagitant, and did vehemently charge that opinion, as opposite to good manners and the Weal Publike. The Result of this debate, or rather Increpation was this; The Arch-lishop begged pardon for his temerity, and promited he would write to Cambridge, that thole Lambeth Articles might be suppress, and never come to Publick notice. If the Fundamentall point of all thele Controversies, and that upon which the rest do inseparably depend, had bad so ill an influence upon good manners, in the judgement of this Sage Councell, and

liberate and advise about the affairs of

your Realm, since in those things that

tended so manifestly, (as they thought) to the frustration of Law, Counfell, Government; certainly such as now sit at the stern, are so Prudent, they will not be induced to believe that those opinions were ever Adopted into the Articles or Dodrine of This Church, though there were alleaged many more instances of fingle persons that did Pretend to have it so. And yet how intalid thele Instances are otherwise, might easily be demonstrated, if I were, not onely loath to exceed the limits of an Epiftle, but also confident that this work will be undertaken by a more accurate hand, to the Readers abundant satisfaction. As for you, my worthy Friend, if your feruple, about this branch of Master Hickmans Book, be not yet removed; Let me offer one thing more to your confideration. If in any part of the Christian world these opinions be established, as their Dodrine, you will eafily grant, it is likelyeft to be in the Belgick Churches, for the fettling of

that Synod of Dort was Assembled. But do you think these are propounded, as Articles of their Creed there, or accounted currant Pulpit-Doctrine among them? You must not believe it. For now adayes how many are there that dare tell them out of that Place, God will not have All men to be faved; and the greater part of mankind are Reprobated by Gods absolute Decree; or that Christ did not die for all men; or that God calls those, whom he would not in any wife should come to him; or that the Elect (as they are called) cannot by any, no not the most grievous sins, be removed from their Election? Infanire credas eum, qui jam hec dogmata pro concione ausit defendere, faith a Learned person \* 4 Arnold. imployed amongst them, you Postenb, ubi may very well conclude the man fupra in Ep. is not sound in his brain, not dedicat. well in his wits, that takes the **b**oldne[] e to maintain these Points in his And if any person lesse discreet and provident, bath exposed the Arcana,

whose distractions (about these points,)

the understanding of the people, illico magna animorum perturbatio existit, there follows presently such a great Commotion and disturbance in their minds, as looks like the Præface to a new Schisme: which thing, faith that Reverend Per-Ibi₫. ion, we remember to have fall n out in this very town, (of Horn) where we now exercise our Sacred Ministeriall Function. This, Sir, I hope, will be sufficient Antidate to allay and cure the Palpitation of your heart, though it had beaten a great deal thicker upon M. Hickmans luggestion, that his Quinquarticular opinions were the Doctrine of the Church of England. And for the other branch of his discourse you may acquiesce in a confidence, that Master P. never intended to affert a positivity of every sin, not of fins of omission to be sure. But he is of age to answer for himself. Yet since you preise me for my sense of Master H, Metaphysicall Divinity, you shall have fomething towards it.

or Secrets of that his Dostrine, naked to

I am not fatisfied, that his distinctions to avoid the Possibility of fin, are sufficient to avoid the making God the Author of it. For thus he saith †, † Edit. the pag. 91. Because it belongs to firft. the Universality of the first cause to produce not onely every reallbeing, but also the reall positive Modifications of beings; therefore we say, that in good norks, both the works themselves, and their rectitude are positive, and are from God; in ewill works there are also two things considevable, the works themselves, and their prawitie; the works themselves we doubt not, are positive, and from God, as all other pofitive things (are); but their pravities adde no new entities to them, but consist in a mere privation. Thus Master Hickman, In reference to finfull Actions, others deliver the distinction in these termes, (telling us, ) [ The finfull Act is to be confidered, either Materialiter, as to the matter of it, and so it is from God, and of his production; or else Formaliter, as to the Form of it, and so it is from man. But we should remember,

we may fay) cannot be disjoyned from the formall, and in that case, why he that is the Cause of the one, should not be adjudged the Caufe of the other, is a Question that requires a solid determination. If God produced the Act of eating the forbidden fruit materially, why not formally too, seeing that fin confisted wholly in the cating of that fruit? for Adam could not eat thereof without fin. And if God by an Omnipatent concurse determined Davids lying with Bath [beba, will that distinction mend the matter? Will the matter of that foul Act tend to the praise of Gods efficiency, when he tells us, † the Form of it, con. duced to fignally to his disho-+See 2 Sam. 12.12. with nour? If the A& which is Num. 1 5.30. evill ex genere & objedo, be materially of Gods production, why should we invest man with the formality of it, which is the fin? Is it because the AB is repugnant to the Law of God, to which man stands obliged, 1 Joh.3.4 and the transgression of this

that many times, the Materiall A& (as

law is sinne? This seems to be Master Hickmans sense, (pag. 91.) In those things which are to be done according to a Rule, good consists in a conformity to, and convenience with the Rule, but evill in a difformitie or discrepance from the Rule. But I lay, that Act of Adultery cannot be materially committed, but it must unavoidably be discrepant to the Law of God; so that if God produceth this Act materially, it is impossible man should give it any formality, but what is finfull; especially seeing this formality or sinfulnesse doth of necessity result from the materiall Act. Indeed were there no Law in force about it, it were possible to conceive, how he that produceth (that which we now call) the Act of fin materially, should not, for all that, be the formall cause of it. But the law being now made, and that by God himielf too, what Subterfuge can be invented to avoid it, but that God, who is affirmed to produce the Act, should be accounted the Author of the finne? But God made the Law, you will say,

doth not take away the objection; for how can it stand with the justice of God, first to make a Law to regulate his creature, and then to impell and AA that creature contrary to that Law, and at last to punish the same Creature, for being fo Acted and impeld? Shall not the Judge of all the earth do right? Gen. 18. 26. God will be justified when he a Pfal.51.4. b Epift.Jud. verf.15. judgeth a; and therefore when he comes to execute judgement upon all b, he will contunce all that are ungodly among them of all their angodly deeds, which they have ungodly committed; not which himself hath Actedthem unto, or Acted in them. But Mafter Hickman perhaps, will stick still to his Metaphysicall formality, and fay, here is (in the supposed A&) a discrepance or epposition to the Rule which is the Law of God. To this the answer is very easie, that man seldome, or never entertains fin, or confent to it, with a delign or minde to oppose

not to bind himself, but to regulate his

Creature; Though this be true, yet it

himself to the divine Law; but for the most part to enjoy his pleasure and satisfie his Appetites; (Besides if he should confent to sin, with such a set purpose to oppose Gods Law; yet we must grant, according to Master Hickmans Doctrine, that that Confent, and that purpose (being reall positive Butities, Ads of the Humane Soul ) are from God and of his production; ) whence it follows still, either that man doth not fin when he commits fuch an Act, or, if he doth fin, that the fault is to be transferred upon God, who is the first Caule of that Act. By the way, (before we proceed further ) Let me ask you one Question; Can a man 2 do any more good a Viz. under than he doth; or omit any more the fame inevill than he b omitteth? I flux and affiflance. know you are clearly for the b That is, Affirmative: But that will whether be can be guilty hardly stand with M. Hickeither of omans Metaphysicks; for I armillion or gue thus; and first for good commission ? and upon works; He that can do more what 4cgood COMIN;

good than he doth, can do fome good. that God doth not produce in him; the consequence is apparent; because he is supposed to do allready all that God produceth in him. But man cannot do fomegood, that God doth not produce in him; for every good is a reall being, and every reall being, or reall positive modiffication of beings is from Gos, and produced by him, faith M. H.ckman. Thus for good; Then for evill; That man cannot omit more evil than be onlitteth, according to M. Hickmans Metaphyticks, I prove thus; He that can neither emit the Act to which the evil (of sin ) adbereth, nor avoid the obliquity of that Act, which is the finfulnesse of it; He can omit no more evil than he omitteth; the confequence is evident: But a man can neither omit the Act; nor avoid the obliquity. Therefore &c. He cannot omit the Act, for that is of Gods production; nor avoid the obliquity, for that is either to be done by some other Act, or without it. If by some other Act, that is not in his power, for every Act is

be done without it. If Master Hickman holds the negative of that Question; the Brittish Divines of the Synod are against him; and a world of absurdities do sollow that opinion, viz. That a man cannot bury his Talent, nor receive the grace of God in vain, not be idle and neglest the great Salvation; nor watch, nor fast, nor pray, not do any one good duty more then he doth, nay, that he can do no duty propery so called, nor fin at all, if he be thus chaind by a Fatall necessity to every A. Gion and omission. And then what will become of the word of exhortation and the power of Godline[]e? But let us follow Master Hickman a little in that instance of Hating God +; This, laith he, is Complexum quid, and must not be spoken of, as if it were one; the vitall action or hatred, is a thing positive, and consequently, (he grants) that is from God; but the undue referring or terminating of that AA to such an object, ( to God, ) which is alto-

from God; and tis abfurd to say it may

gether lovely: that, laith he, is the finfulnesse of the Action. But whence is this derived? He laith (pag. 75.) onely from mans corruption, and the Devils temptation. But what is mans corruption? is it not hisvitiosity? yet be saith, (pag. 97.) where the cause it self is vitious, its vitiosity is not the cause of the vitiosity of the effect; for witiofity of it self, neither can essect nor be effected. And for the temptation of the Devil, is not that an AB? if it be, thenit is from God, for every Act is from: bim, faith M. Hickman. If he laith the malice of the temptation is from the Devil; I demand, what is that malice of the Devil? Is it not his viriefity and then (as before) where the cause is vitious, its vitiofity is not the cause of the vitia. sity of the effect; for vitiosity it self, neither can offect, nor be effected; what then? the vitious cause (saith he) taking toge. ther the being, and the superventent priva. tion, is the cause of the virious ested, taking it in like manner for the being, and the suc peradded privation. But Ilay again, the being, whether mans or the Devils, doth

God and produced by him, and confequently 'tis the AH of God that gives the corruption of man, and the malice of the Devil their life and vigour; and how then can God be freed from being the Cause or Author of the sin? Besides, in the hating of God there is, not one. ly, the As of hatred, which he confelfeth to be positive, and so from God; but there is also the turning of the will in this Act, and the undue determination of it upon God, the object altogether lovely, wherein confists the sinfulnesse of the Action, as he confesseth. I demand then, is not this determination of the will an All? If it be (which I presume cannot, with any shew of reason, be denyed) then, whose Act is it, and from whom? If he faith, from man himfelf, his best course is to whisper this affertion as fostly as he can, else, I must tell him in his own language (p. 96, 97.) he and I both were best not to make too much noise, listine should awaken the youngsters to fall

not act (according to Master Hickmans

Metaphylicks) for every Act is from

aboard us with such an Argument as this If man be the efficient Cause either of a good action or a bad action, then he doth effect it by another action, and so we may proceed in infinitum. Well, for fear of these dangerous Bugbears, we will, for once, ascribe it unto God. So that God is made the cause of that hatred, and of determining the will upon this lovely Object, which is God. Now if we should impannell a Jury of honest men, to inquite who is the Cause or Author of this sin of hating God ( in this case; ) who would they finde guilty, think ye? ln Anat, c. Doctor Molin laith, Quod fi 13. parag. Deus insontem creaturam destinavit ad perditionem, necesse est eandem destinaverit ad peccatum, sine quo non potest esse justa perditio, & sic Deus erit causa impulsiva peccati. Nec homo poterit juste puniri ob peccatum, ad quod est aut pracise destinatus, aut Dei voluntate compulfus. If his destinating men to sinne makes him the impulsive cause of sinne, how can he produce in them the AH that is sinfull, and determine their wills unto

Let us put a Case for illustration. Suppole a Prince should make a Law, injoyning his subjects to write none but perfect Italian Characters, and then should take the hand of a child to write with, and the Characters prove Bastard Roman, or Secretary: or suppose one should take a dead mans hand and forge a Deed with it \*. Though the Subtilty of \*Such a case Master Hickmans Metaphyberb been sicks should finde the childe and a Triall upon it too : guilty, and distinguish the and the dead Forgery upon the dead body, band acquityet without all peradventure ted by the Tury. an honest fury would bring in a better verdict. If it be objected, that thele are no competent instances, because there is no vitall Principle in the one, nor power to relife in the other (and what else is to be alleaged I cannot imagine), it is to be remembred that, according to this Doctrine, the will of man in finning, is full as much atted by Allmighty God, as the hand of the child and dead man, in those instances,

it, and yet not be the Caufe of the finne?

passive; and how can it be otherwise? For every Act is from God; and if God ufeth the will to this Act of finning, how can the will avoid it? Should the will resist Gods motion, when he does AE it? That is impossible, "1. Because that Motion, according to the Doctrine of the Calvinists, is omnipotent and insuperable, 2. Because to resist is to ast, and every Act is from God, and produced by him. And now we see how little reafon Master Hickman had to sleight and reject the Answer, which Gregory de Valent, and Bradwardine give to that Obje-Ation, from the hating of God, as he doth. (pag. 89, 90.) I recite not their words, saith he, because I need not their help, and because they seem to make impossible hypotheles, as if the hatred of God were producedby God in a stone, whereas it cannot be that there should be the hatred of God in a flone, which neither bath, nor can have anyknowledge. The stone is like to be the less miserable for wanting this capacitie.

are by those who make use of them re-

spectively. That is, the will is merely

make man better? He allows him a mill and understanding, I suppose, but no more use of these faculties, is ascribed toman, by his Metaphysicks, than to a stone; For to use them is to At them, and A8 them a man cannot, because he can produce no action without another action, and so in infinitum, and then the youngsters will fall aboard us again. If Master Hickman will not see these absurdities, and what reproach falls upon the Holinesse of Almighty God, by this Doctrine, yet Master Baxter doth confider, and sufficiently centure it; for he laith (in his Call to the Unconverted pag. 229.) Some are so loth to think that God can make a self-determining creature, that they dare not deny him that which they take to be his prerogative, to be the determiner of the will in every fin, as the first efficient inmediate Physicall cause. And many could be content to acquit God from so much caufing + of evil, if they could but † Mark that reconcile it with his being the suord: chief cause of good; as if trushs

But how much doth Master Hickman

to see them in their perfect order and coherence: because our ravelled wits cannot set them right together, nor assign each truth its proper place, we presume to conclude that some truth must be cast away. This is the fruit, saith he, of proud self-conceitedness, when men receive not Gods truth as a childe his lesson, in a holy submission to the omniscience of our Teacher, but as Censurers that are too wife to learn. I hope Master Hickman will become at least M. Baxters Profelyte; especially, if he considers how impossible it is, that his Doctrine should hold good, in reference to the first sin of the first Angel, that fell from God; For I argue thus, The Materiality of that first sinfull AS, granted to be from God, from whence will he derive the formality, or irregularity, which is the sinfulnesse of it? Was it from the Angels own Corruption, or the Malice of his Tempter? this could not polsibly be, for he had neither; Therefore it must either be from God, and then God is the Cause and Authour of that

must be no longer truths, then we are able

fin; or else from the self-determination of his own will, and then, if that determination be an AA, we have at last found an A8, whereof God is not the first immediate Physicall cause. What can Mafter Hickman fay to this? Truely he feems very fairely to grant it, if I be able to understand him; whether this be out of inadvertency, or convidion, I determine hor: But in contradiction to what he had faid before, he faith ( Pag. 97.) Suppose the first sin of Angels to have been a proud desire to be equal unto God; the cause of this proud defire was the will of the Angel; but it was the Caule of the action (in such a sense as a causality may be faid to have a Caufe ? Per Se, of the vitiosity of the action, it was onely the Cause Per accidens, & per concomitantiam. Thus far M. Hickman. And now, as Poelenburg In confut. faith of Doctor Twiffe, that be-Difp. fnauing affrighted partly by his gur. Fred: infolent afperity, and partly by Span. the tedious prolixity of his Voitimes, he would not undertake him

futation of that one Argument, whereof his Confidence boasted, that the Devil, and his Angels were not able to Answer it; and by his performance in that, the Judicious Reader might judge, what returns might be made to the rest, if any man would give himself the Leisure and trouble to attempt it. So shall I resolve concerning M. Hickman, though neither the strength of his Arguments, nor the length of his Discourse be very formidable; yer there is so much asperity in his style, (which brings no advantage at all to the Cause he undertakes to manage) as deterrs me from a further procedure in the examination of his Pretentions. What is already done is sufficient to evince, that his Armour is not inchanted or impenetrable, nor his weapons mortall. But such is his provocation, he must expect a sharper assault, when it shall be seasonable, from a hand that will ftrike home and lose no advantage to defeat him, being guided by an eye so piercing, that it discovers every posture

nholely, but satisfie himself with a Con-

Adversary. Sir, If you would be kept upright, you must not suffer your self to be led by them who could never keep their own judgements steady in these Controversies. After your recourse to God and the Holy Scriptures, you will finde Primitive Antiquity your best Directory; and the nearer you approach the fountain head, the purer, you may be confident, to find the stream you drink at. But if you scome down to S. Austin, so many Adverfacies had padled, and troubled and foil'd the waters of his Ciftern, that he could scarce see his own face in it. For instance in that one Question; Whether the truly Regenerate may totally fall away and periff, Master Baxter is very confident, he was for the Affirmative t, + Account of and calls them immodest, that Perfever, p. deny it; yet M. Calamy \* tells 5. **G**c. His Serm. us, this learned Brothers eviatthe E. of dence, is all flur'd and made Warwicks Funerall, p. invalid by Bishop Abbot, and 19. 6c. that

that layes his meaknesse open, and expo-

fes him unguarded to the mercy of his

that there is in S. Auftins writings sufficient proof to the contrary. It may conduce fomething to ones settlement, to consider seriously, how many of the most Learned and judicious Heads, these last Ages have produced, even when they lanched forth on purpose to oppose thefe Truths, have strook faile and paid homage, and some absolutely yielded up themselves to the Empire of them. Arminius undertakes the defence of Beza, but finding himself in pursuit after an utter impossibility, he retreats upon Conviction unto hofe opinions which have worn his Name ever since. Tilenus while he fets himself in opposion to Arminius, is awakened with fo elear a light of Truth, that he becomes a Proselyte. The force of Arminius's Arguments press'd so hard upon Junius,

Arguments press'd so hard upon Junius, that he thought fit to give ground, and Piscator flincht a little more upon the impression made by his Adversaries. Gomarus was brought to his Retrastations about these Articles; and Walaus, as well as he were glad to take Sanstuary in Sci-

free God from the fault of sinne. And + Whi supra what an admirable Provi-in Ep. Ded. dence, as Poelenburg tobserves, appears in that late conflict between Amyraldus (the M. I presume of M. Baxters New Method) and Spanhemius! Amyraldus maintains, that God will have all men to be faved, if all men will believe; and from hence Spankemius infers a necessity of yielding not onely Objettive, but also Subjettive grace, (internall and effectuall, as well as externall and insufficient, ) quis nisi hac admittatur, sententia illa Amyraldi ridicula suerit & insulfa, Deique tum sapientia, tum potentia indigna, for unlesse this be admitted, that opinion of Amyraldus, saith he, is ridiculous and foolish, and unbeseeming both the wisdome and power of God. So that, in the judgement of Spanhemins, if he will opine and speak what is Confonant to himself, Amyraldus must shake hands with the Remonstrants: And indeed those objections these men

entia Media, when they could finde no

other way to disintangle themselves, and

doubt they respectively conclude to be strong and valid) are clear confirmations of all those Arguments, which the Remonstrants manage against them both. And thus, as iparks of fire fall from the Collision of two flints; so from the mutuall Conflict of these Advertaries the glorious Light of Divine truth breaks forth and falling between them, it serves the Remonstrants to light their Candle. To conclude; The Absurdities, which do unavoidably follow from thefe Do-Arines, if men would admit the light of Reason to discover them, are very many and very repreachfull to the Majesty of Heaven; They deflower the beauty of his Attributes, evacuate the merits of Christs Death, frustrate the use of the Holy Ordinances, and enervate the po. wer of Godlinesse, as is evinced in the en. luing Papers. For while they advance his Soveraignty, they impeach his Good. neffe; while they magnifie his Liberty, they obscure his wisdome; while they

make one against another (which no

sweeten his Mercy, they imbitter his justice; while they boast his Grace, they consound his truth and sincerity.

This Doctrine attributes to Almighty God, a power, not onely above the Laws he prescribes, and the Promises he makes unto his servants, but Paramount to his own Essential Equity; For it saith, he may ordain, yea that he hath ordained the greater part of Mankinde to destruction, merely for his own pleasure, without intuition of any sin, or respect had to any demerit in them. It makes God like

an *unwife* Potter, who makes fome *veffels* on purpose, that he may dash them all to pieces. It teacheth, that of men amongst whom he found no Difparity of condition, he hath eletted some, and Re*jetted* others; and that he introduced a necessity of sinning for the illustration of his glory. How shall we reconcile thefe things to Gods wisdome, or his juflice ? It teacheth, that God elected men to glory without Christ; but that he de-

creed through the intervention of Christs

death, to bring them into possession of that glory, that it might be done without any detriment to his Justice. But this is either against the wifdome and juflice of God, if he decreed what he ought not to execute, or against his Omnipo'ence, if he could not bring the  $\int ame$  to execution. It reacheth, that God sent Christ to reconcile men to himfelt, whom he loved with a most deer and unchangeable love, and that before he decreed to give Christ to die for them; That he Courts others to be reconciled, whom he haves immutably; That he calls them to repentance, inlightens them, gives them a tafte of the heavenly gitt, and zeal to do good works; and all this to ferve but for a golden chariot to conduct them with the more formality to a ladder execution; That he bindes some men to believe in Christ, for whom be never died; That he invites them to a Covenant of Grace, and ties them to impossible conditions under it, that he may inflict the greater torments upon them; That fome mens

dences for their benefit, Confirmations of their grace, and that they do pave their way to glory, being part of that Medium conducing to the execution of the Decree of Election in them. This Doctrine implyes moreover, that God is more fevere to the greatest part of mankind than to Devils; That they cannot pray but for their own damnation, or that the divine Decrees may be relainded; That others living in the filthyest sins, may notwithstanding be certain of their falvation, and need not fear hell-torments; for their fins shall not be able to separate betwixt God and them; contrary to the expresse word of God by his Prophet I-\* Cap. 52.2. faiah \*. This Master Baxter doubtleffe had a full view of, for I Cor. as may be collected out of **6**.19,20. his Assize-Scrmon t, where I had rather fay to scandalous sinners [ you are bought with a price, there-

fins, (of what nature foever, ) are but

paternall castigations, Priviledges of

their Adoption, Emergences of provi-

Absolute Election, the great † Deputati Syn Geldisco *prop* of godlineffe. call it,Fundamentum Christianismi. In Act. Synod. Dord. p. 30. 2.m. par. 3. Besides, this Doctrine empties Hell of a confiderable part of 215 torments; which confilt in the anguish and remordency of confcience, proceeding from her reflexion upon loft advantages. Now this must needs be taken away by that Doctrine st,  $\epsilon$  if true  $\epsilon$  which For who implies, that Gods Decree hath ever suffered anguijo of from all eternity, let heaven ການປຸ່, fer and fufficient means to lead to tbathe could it, out of the reach of the Renot throat become an Anprobate, and made their *flate* gel, or any of finne and damnation to unafuch thing , as ti made voidalle, that they never had impossible, by the reall offer or tendry of Godi amn arfuch advantages. der ? It renders Gods Commands and Elogies irrationall; for though he commands

fore glorifie God than (to lay) you are

absolutely elected, therefore glorifie God;

Yet, if I mistake not, the Synod of

Dort † makes this Doctrine of

himself; and his Euge's, [Well done good and faithfull ferwants,] are for such actions onely as himself hath irrefishely produced, and the men could not do othernise. In a word; it makes a double Gotpel, and a double Saviour; as is sufficiently proved in the following Papers.

That these absurd interences, ( and many more which might be deduced) are evident to me, though they should

the work to others, yet (according to the

tenour of this Dectrine) he must do't

are evident to me, though they should not be so to others, is enough to linde up my Judgement. But it M. Baxter can salve them by his New Method, I am so far from being obstinate, that I shall not onely acquiesce in his Performance (when I see't accomplish'd;) but rejoyce in it also, as one that sindeth great spoils.

Sir, I commend you heartily to the Lord, and to the word of his Grace,

## The Contents.

After Baxters unchall How abridged by Tileritable Centures of nus p. 22, Gr. Tilenus pag. 2, 3 and ill lan- The first Arricle made

good out of the writings

of Calvinists 22, 60c.

ib.

guage

How capfeleft and unde-

That the Elect are a P 4 5 Whence his miftake, and I finall number its originall That they are cledted All M. B. pretended fallifi without regard to buith cations not charged upon and Obedience the Synodir felf: but many -That the Reprohates are upon the Divines thereof. appointed to defituation, and their Adherents and without any regard to In-Predeceffors 6, 7 Hidelity of impenitency 25 The Five Articles (po-The Aninofines beken against by M. B. were twirt Supralaplatians and orawn up by Tilenur and Sublapfatians, and God written in French, though charged with injustice and trapilated afterwards into foolithmelle by them 28:5 English 7.8 Tilenus his fecond. Arti-Calziniffs divided about cle made good againft M. the Doctrine of Predelii Baxter 29 That Christ died onely 10,11 nation Four heads of Suprala for the Elect 20,30 That Christ had no me pfacian Doctrine 11,12 Sublaufarians how differing tent not coniliandment from the Supralaptacians, from his Father to make G. tislaction for the fins of 13 The 5 Articles, as they the whole world 31 Tilenus third Acticie were drawn up at the Confecence at the Hague, niade good ٠ ١ Calvin denyes Freewill 16,17 As they were drawn up. in Adam, p. 34.& Maccoulus faith his hall was of an inafter the Synod at Dorr, hig unavoida-19,13

voidable necessity, 33	1. They differ about the
That the Elect do good	object of Election 53
out of an unavoidable Ne-	2. And about the foon-
cessity 35	dation of Election 55
They hold the like Ne-	
cessity for doing evil 36,	whether the Elect be be-
37	1
This necessity of doing	3. They agree not in
or not doing good or evil,	in this, [whether Reproba-
from Gods Decree 38	tion hath respect to the
That man can do no	Fall 57
more good, and omit no	4. Nor in this [whether
more cvil 39	there be an Affirmative, as
That all endeavour after	well as a Negative Act, in
Salvation before the gift	Reprobation 38
of Faith (which is infuled	5. They agree not in
inefiftibly, I is of no effect	this whether Christ died
41	for all, or onely for the E-
The 4. Article of Tile-	left 59,65
nur mide good 41	6 Nor in this [whether
That the Elect cannot	the Impetration of Recon-
	ciliation is to be separated
That Reprobates cannot	from the Application of
	64,65
Tilenus his Fifth Article	7. They are not agreed
made good 47	whether all that hear the
The Elect cannot fall	Gospel are commanded to
from Grace 47	believe in Christ 3 67,68
No, not with thanding the	8. They are not agreed
most grievous fins they can	
	have a power to under-
The Synod of Dart not	
a sufficient teff to affure us,	9. Nor, whether they
which are the opinions of	
	cing to the affuring their
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Election 70,71
g ee amongst themselves!	10. Whether a man can
in the points in contro	do more good then ha

doth, or omit more evill	into a damnable effate and
than he omitteth, they	lofe their apritude to enter
cannor agree about it 72	into the kingdome of hea-
er. They differ in this	ven ; infonioch, that if they
Question whether the Co-	die without an actuall re-
venant and promifes of the	newall of Repentance, it
Gospel be generall ] 73	is impossible but they
12. They agree not in	should perish, p. 87, and
this [whether God wills fe-	yet their flate of Justifica.
rioufly that all fhould be	tion and Adoption, and
faved, 75. Or whether re	their Right to the Knig-
probates be called feriouf	doine of heaven remain
iy ] 75	inviolable 8-,88
13. They differ about	All opinions ( how op-
dispositions previous unto	posite soever to one and.
Faith and Convertion 78	ther) if opposite to the Re-
14 They are not agreed,	montrants, are currant by
Whether perseverance be	M. Baxieri Teft 88
a condition of the Cove-	The pretentions of the
nant 8 c	Synod not according to
15. Nor in this, Whe	theiradions 95
ther Salvation be the re.	Grevinchovius his chara-
ward of Faith, or onely	fler of the riged Calvinitis
the end of it 82	in the Low Countries   OF
<ul> <li>16. They differ in this,</li> </ul>	Eight detestable errours
Whether Temporary Faith	Eight detestable errours commonly held by Calvi-
Whether Temporary Faith he a true Faith 83	Eight detestable errours commonly held by Calvi- nifts, yet the Synod were
Whether Temporary Faith he a truc Faith 83 17 Whether Faith may	Eight detestable errours commonly held by Calvi-
Whether Temporary Faith he a true Faith 83 17 Whether Faith may be loft; fome fay the Act	Eight detestable errours commonly held by Calvinists, yet the Synod were never troubled at them 52
Whether Temporary Faith he a true Faith 83 17 Whether Faith may be lost; some say the Act may, but not the Habit;	Eight detestable errours commonly held by Calvi- nists, yet the Synod were never troubled at them 92 93 Brgermans passion favou-
Whether Temporary Faith he a true Faith 83 17 Whether Faith may be lost; some say the Act may, but not the Habit; others say Neither 84	Eight detestable errours commonly held by Calvinists, yet the Synod were never troubled at them 52  Breenmans passion favouring so much of partiality,
Whether Temporary Faith he a true Faith 83 17 Whether Faith may be lost; some say the Act may, but not the Habit; others say Neither 84 18. They make the most	Eight detestable errours commonly held by Calvinists, yet the Synod were never troubled at them 52  Brgermans passion favouting so much of partiality, never check'd by the \$y.
Whether Temporary Faith he a true Faith 83 17 Whether Faith may be loft; fome fay the Act may, but not the Habit; others fay Neither 84 18. They make the most hortid wasting fins of the	Eight detestable errours commonly held by Calvinists, yet the Synod were never troubled at them 52.  Brgermans passion favouring so much of partiality, never check'd by the 5y-uod.
Whether Temporary Faith he a true Faith 83 17 Whether Faith may be loft; some say the Act may, but not the Habit; others say Neither 84 18. They make the most horrid wasting sins of the Elect but sins of infirmity	Eight detestable errours commonly held by Calvinists, yet the Synod were never troubled at them 52  Brgermans passion favouting so much of partiality, never check'd by the 5y and 93,94  Maccovius his bla'phe-
Whether Temporary Faith he a true Faith 83 17 Whether Faith may be loft; fome fay the Act may, but not the Habit; others fay Neither 84 18. They make the most horrid wasting fins of the Elect but fins of infirmity 85 86	Eight detestable errours commonly held by Calvinists, yet the Synod were never troubled at them 52  Brgermans passion favouring so much of partiality, never check'd by the 5ynod 93,94  Maccovius his bla phemies not centured, but
Whether Temporary Faith he a true Faith 83 17 Whether Faith may be loft; some say the Act may, but not the Habit; others say Neither 84 18. They make the most horrid washing sins of the Elect but sins of infirmity 85 86 19. They say, the faith-	Eight detestable errours commonly held by Calvinists, yet the Synod were never troubled at them 52.  Breemans passion favouring so much of partiality, never check'd by the 5ynod 93.94.  Maccount his blatched mies not centured, but he dismiss as Orthodox.
Whether Temporary Faith he a true Faith 83 17 Whether Faith may be loft; fome fay the Act may, but not the Habit; others fay Neither 84 18. They make the most horrid wasting fins of the Elect but fins of infirmity 85 86	Eight detestable errours commonly held by Calvinists, yet the Synod were never troubled at them 52.  Breemans passion favouring so much of partiality, never check'd by the 5ynod 93.94.  Maccount his blatched mies not centured, but he dismiss as Orthodox.

maintained their Doctrine	A necessity of all hu-
in the Synod 95	
And the whole Synod	Gods Decree, the Doctrine
guilty of those opinions,	of the present Calvinists,
and why 95,97	105, and 109
The Synod would not	Hence liberty is defined
declare against the most	to be but a rationall Spon-
horrid expressions of Pif-	taneitie, 107 and some di-
calor 97	structions made use of to
A Minister of Sedan eje-	free God from being the
eted for preaching too ear-	Authorrof fin 108
neftly, that God was not	The Reprobates cannot
the Authour of fia 98	repent, according to the
The Articles and Reje	Calvinists Doctine 110
dions of the Synod con-	The Decree ties the end
trived to, that Supralapla-	and the means together,
rians might subscribe them	hence it is concluded, ei-
without impeachment of	ther that the elect cannor
	fin, 1111 or that their fins
	are priviledges and advan-
Sects of Calvinifts cents	tages 112
red by that Synod, but	The false dealings of Fe.
they all think themselves	flus Hom. and D. Domman
countenanced thereby 99	
The Supralaplatianacre-	of Dort 118
abilitarian Doctrine on	A character of Bogerman
1000	the Prefident of it ibid.
in what sense the wary-	The unjust practices of
est Calvinists, hold the de-	the Syn. of Arnham and of
cree irrespective 101	Dert against the Remon-
M. Baxters Universal re-	ttrants 119,120, <b>6</b> 70.
deniption condemned by	The Remonft Doftrines
his Brother-Cal. 103.1.y D.	misseported to make them
The. Hill, and the whole	odi005 122
Alkmbly, 104. to which	How the Remenft, held
we may now add, The De-	a just man may be certain
claration of the Congrega-	of suture perseverance 127
tionall Churches )	and how in this they are

# · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	—
fallified by the Synod, 128	Pretention doch rather
M. Baxters acknowledg-	bling men into a state of
ment of the first Article of	Inndelity then find them
Dienus charged upon the	141
Synod, 129. though retra	Original fin unfirly called
Circi again 130	a flace of Infidelity 145
M.B. Sophiffry and im-	M. B. illogicall inference,
pertinency in julifying the	that God leaves men to the
Synods faying, [That God ]	malice and hardnesse of
had no regard to Faith and	their hearrs, in his Decree
Obediance in the Decree	of Non-election 146,147
of filection, 121,172,090.	Originall fin comes one-
M. B. maintains a piece	ly by Gods imputation 147
of Popery, or the Greeian	Reprobates learn to go
Religion 172	alone betimes by the Sy-
That linne is as much a	nods Doctrine 148
means to our falvation as	Mens personal fin not
Faith and Obedience 123	a previous qualification in
Their diffination between	the object, but an un-
predefinating and crea-	avoidable consequent of
ting to defluction, and	Reprobation 149
predefinating and crea-	The Syn. doth not make
ting to Danination; and of	foreseen infidelity to he
whitenfeiris 138,139	the qualification of the ob-
The Decree of Reproba-	jed of Reprobation 150
tion makes provision for the	
untraduction of fianc, that	he could not forefee it. by
Damnation may be just,	M. Baxters Doctrine 151
135, 137, 140, 141	
And their good works	of Junice by the Doctrige
Jead the Reprobate to hell,	of many Calv. 151,152
as well as their fins 1235	
The diffinction between	Supralaptarians and Sub-
preserition and predamna_	laplatians in this point 15.
tion, used for fashion take,	The qualification or no-
and doth not mend the	ject, unto which he perree
matter, but tarber makes ie	of election is terminate!
Worle 1415/42,142	154

How Gods Decree Rationall as those offered: up by the Calv. 162,164 built upon his Forekaow-Gods Title of a Helper ledge, and yet mens faith and obedience not onely implies mans cooperation nor principally of them-The ill confequences of felves, but of God, 156, 157 that Doctrine that teach-The opinions of the greatest Clerks to be rejeeth, God determines every good motion 167,168 cted, if they be not con-God upon occasion, in fonant to the Catholick : 58: great temptations may de-Truth The Doftrine of the Retermine the will of his demonft, most consonant to vout fervants to what is good: but then their adhe-Rationall Prayers But the Calvinifts Do. ring to it is not properly a drine not fo, ib. for accordutyin them Gods options and prayers ding to that, fome men can addreskd to us do evinca never use the [ Lords Praythat his Grace doth not it. er but they pray for their refiftibly determine our own damnation, or elfe Will that Gods Decree may be 170, 171 Gods praising of his ferteleinded 159 Unregenerate men difvents must be considered couraged from prayer: and as well as their Thankigiall good duties by the Dovings 172,173,174 Ctrine of Calvinists Those praises were irra-161 Gods giving [To believe rionall, if God did irrefiand fuffer | understood, of ftibly determine their wils to perform the work 175 the opportunity ₽nd A difference betwixt du-162 Graces ... The Cilv. confess the ty and operations merely voluntary . No Duty foirits way of internal ope-(which is an Act of Probaration beyond their reach; tion) unleffe a man hath yet they would tieus to their definitions about it, liberry to do otherwile 162,163 175, 176 Preterition without fore. The Prayers of the Primare Church doubilesse as fight of demerit offenfive,

Yet this contested for in	leave foliciting us till we
	thamefully repulse him,
rejefted 178	196 But he will not dwell
Whit regard the Synod	with us unless we thoose
had to sio and demerit, in	to embrace him 196
Pretelition: viz. to intro-	M: B. hath one Doftrine
duce it, as a just cause of.	for the Pulpit, another for
infuing Damnation 179	the Schoole 197
M. Baxters uncharitable	God dispenseth Grace to
infinuation 180	promote duty: but the
What is offenfive and	Calv. would have it admi-
scandalous in the Calvi-	nistred in such a way, as
nists Doftrine 180,181	doth cancel duty, 197, 198
M. Baxter hath a fingu-	How God worketh 10
lar way by him(elf 182)	will and to do 158
Sufficient Grace not gran-	The Doctrine of the Sy-
red to others as well as to	notis, that according to
the Elect, by Cal. 182,183	the will and intention of
That sufficient Grace is	the Father, Christ died for
not given to the Non elect,	the electionely 201,202
according to the Doftrine	M. B. School divinity at
of the Synod 183 to 188	odds again with his Pulpit
Tis ferviceable onely to	doctrine 203
carry on the Decree of Re-	Redemption in his pulpit
probation 188, Ge,	is much more universall,
M. Baxtes underrakes to	than in his disputations, ib.
deliver the fenfe of All the	8204, 205
Calviniffs, though there be	His Zeal transports him
bat few of his mind 192	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	decree of Reprobation,
	204,205. One of the won-
nient, contelled to be unct	ders of the world that Gods
	Immutable decree(as they
	calculate it) should be ex-
Physicians? 104, and what	
expone on acripture	M. B. feems to make his
Code foids dark	Auditors believe that God- intends all their falrations
coas spatie com not	· INCHOS MIT THEIR INTERCHOUS.

Yet he denyes it against	new Cov. in us, 217,218
Itlenus 206,207	Something to be done
D. Twiffe his doctrine,	by us in a way of order to
That the Non-cleck shall	the introduction of Faith
be justified and saved, if	and the work of conversion
they will believe . Riddle	219 to 222. And this is M.
me, Riddle me 207	Baxleri own doctrine 223
As much might with as	He makes falvation posti-
good reason be said of De-	ble in his Sermons, even to
vils 208, 209	fuch men, as he makes it
For it is a Grace, that	impossible unto in his dif-
consists only in the logical	putations 224,225,226
connexion of an Antece-	According to M. B. do-
dent and Confequent 207	Aring againtl Telenus [ His
That Christ exerciserh	directions to prevent mif-
his Prieftly office onely for	carrying in Convertion
the elect, is the doctrine of	
the Synodifts 210	ted not to men, but to God
Deliverance from fin by	225
fanchinggion the work of	The sufficiency of Christs
Christ 213,212	death is no proof of the u-
And the first pare of our	niverfall extent of the he-
Redemption, yet D. Tmiffe	petit, in respect of Gods
and M. B. affirm most ab-	intention 227
furdly, that Christ hath pro-	The Synodists cannot af.
cured remission and life,	
for shofe, for whom he hath	nantly to their doctrine
not purchated fauctifying	that the flus of the non-
Grace 213	elect were laid on Chrift,
M.B. own universalists	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
are too ftrict for his pre-	
renfions 2/4/215	
A bountifull promife up-	
on an impullible condition	
216 unworthy the most	
mercifull God 217	
How God purpoled to	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
caule the condition of the	. To promife and offer life
	BB

upon conditions ; which tance according to the doarine of the Synod 243 are made impossible by the propounder, is ablurd and M.B. in extremes, 246 M. B. fufficient Grace a mockery, 221. But to torment such wretches as deficient, and not fuch as that the Remonft, mean by do not, because they cannot fufficient 247 Bec.
Gods specials grace reperform those conditions, and receive cholepromites, fittained by the Galv.to the horrible elect and his common Whether Saving Faith, Regeneration &c be under Grace of no advantage to the salvation of the rest, promife, or under Revela-248, &c. But administred gron onely. The Calvinists differ , and M. B. diffents onely to reader them infrom hindelf in this point excufable. M. B. (if the Synods do-232 10 235 He had reason to alter drine were mue) might with as much reason inhis opinion, for they that hold them promifes doe veigh against the Devils, as against the non-elect, for make a double Gospel, to avoid which, he makes we making light of Christ 250 of this new Light, and yet: For the non elect are he avoids not the ablurdity mere hardly dealt withall (by that doctine) than of making a double savithe devils are QU! 236, 237 251 Infidelity cannot proper-M B. lofficient Grace is ineffectuall of its own naly be the Reprobates own ture and kind, 253, fault, according to the Synods doctrine 229,240 that afferted by the fie-M.B. universall Redemmonfitants becomes untilfectuall, through mans voption is not fufficient to luntary and vincible fault, tree the Non-elect neither from in , nor punishment 254, &c. 241. Why it ought rather The Remonstagrant that to be called univerfall per men may be and are fanctidition fied by that Grace, which 242 Now God calls the Nonthey affirm to be beflowed elect to Faithand Repenon the non-cleft it which

to the will her liberty, in Calv.will not allow of, 255 the work of Grace, 261 An exact Account of the How the foul comes to power and operations of mifearry under thefe helps "Grace, according to the of Grace and to be fedu-Remonstrants 256,257 They grant that Grace ced, and led away by senfuality, 264. And rebell works irrelifibly upon the against the light 265 understanding and affecti Convertion, not wrought ons, yea and upon the will too, as to a collarion of irrefistibly: but a matter ibid. &c. of choice, according to the power doctrine of the Primitive Whether he that doth Church 266 not oppose Grace, and is Preaching and Hearing converted, hath more grace the means to make men then he that doth oppofe willing 269 it, and is not converted, Leffe Grace would con-258,259 Though a supernatural vert fome, than what is ineffectual to others, 270,271 power to believe be con-Convertion is from Gods ferred irrefiftibly: yet the Grace with the cooperatiactuall consent is not fo on of mans will 272 wrought: and why, 259 M. B. denies Gods præ If Faith be irrififibly indetermination and afferts fuled, the word cannot the wills felf-determination possibly be the instrument, on, and yet maintains or means of it, 260 insuperable determining Grace 273,274,275 Illumination performes the whole work of Grace, Grace irrefiftibly deteraccording to Camero, 261 mining the will not necef-The Calv. do not bring fary,276, not convenients faith and falvation to mans for it overthrows that Dochoice, by their doctrine, minion, which the will is as the Rem. do. 261, &c. said to have of its own The glory of Convertion Acts. It defiroys the nature to be afcrired to God : hur of duty the mifeatrizge in that duty 277
It evacuates the ufe of work only to manage 3,255 the Ministery, the use of The Remonth, preferve

Men will take unworthy exhortation 279, &c. It furnisheth the obiticourles to promote the nate with a just Apology cause they have once e. against all exprobrations spouled 283, 284 The Syn, but a party in-It takes away all praise those controversies though they took a folemn oath to of vertue 284, 285 it makes God the first be impartialli deficient caule in mans lin-The equity of the Helve-284 tians ning Originall sin yielded to It is an Inlet to Enthuft-M. Baxter, 205. Whether afme, 286. And disparages the (weetneffe of the Infi-Christ hath not restored mustions and motions of the power that was taken 288 away by original fin? M.B. Grace What move Authors to feems to be for the affirconceale their Names 291 mative 306,307 After the Fall no liberty D. Taylor unjustly cenfuleft in the will to do well, red by M.B. for a Pelagian 308,309. All the Fathers The Devil hath the naof the fuft 200 years (pake turall faculty of Freewill, like Pelagians, faith M. B. as well as Man 310. The Manichees as ill 292 Men under an unavoidas the Pelagians, and the Calvito avoid one extreme. able necessity of good and evil, 292, 293. The Calv. runinto the other. deny onely a necessity of M.B. will not subscribe coaction in humane affairs, the Canons of the Syn. of 297, 298 Darr without his own inter-Elect and Reprobates put pretation, 312, and confeunder an unavoidable ne: quently he had been fercefficy of being faved or ved as the Remonfituris damned respectively, acwere, if he had been a. cording to the Calv. 296 mongst them ibid. Spontancity no good fal-The Syn. taught not the vage for the necessity of fame Doctrine in these finning; or the tullice of points with S. Auftin, 214 perdicion that follows it, S. Auffin held that men

not wrought without God, effectually called, regencrated and justified might yet wrought by means which is reliftible,229,330 fall away and perish M. Basters: Follacy, 330 He held predeftination up. M. Bexter decides the on foreknowledge, if concafe, while he dischaintes forant to biorieff, 412 414 the judicature If the Reprobates be an-.iM. B. zallazhara flander, der an unavoidable mecefwhich is an evident, troth fity ôf wanting Paith, &c. That want is not their fin 332. And it is, That the but their Fate Reprobates cannot accept The work of convertion for mightinesse, not inferi What power denyed. and what granted to the Our to the Creation 218 Reprobates 334,335 The Synod excludes all The Devils have a pafothers falvation, but the c-ंदर्स five obedienciall, and a na-219 turall Active power of A perverie infingation of M.B. 320, and 322, His willing, 335. God deals more hardly by the Repropoor cavils, to no purpole 320,321 bates, than by the Devils, according to the Calvinifia . Though God be omnipatent, yet every egression **Do**ctrine 335 M. Bax. pitifull Pat off, of his power, is not omni touching a fufficiency of potent, 322,323,329,330. power in the Reprobate, Proved by M. B. afferti alisticated by example 336 on, That no sufficient grace M Bs Ignoratio Elenchi 337 is given to the Non-e Berwitt the naturall Aleft; or elfe that they can dive Faculty and the moconquer Omnipatency, 324 M. Bax, five lenles 225 rall Disposition, there is a God acts to the uttermost fupernaturall infinx, &cc. 238, 229. But M. B. forof his power in the convergetting his Doctrine of futtion of finners, according ficient Frace, now utterly to the Synod \$26 M. Baxter flips from the denies it 229.240,241 The Reprobates, by M B. Queffion 2 28 doctrine, required to bring Sanctification, though

which are not thus transin their tale of Brick, but formed are allowed no firaw 340 The Calvinists deny the For what use is sufficient exercife of naturall Free-Grace, if it cannot inable will in mans convert. men to act graciously? 341 Grace given to Repro-M.B. miltakes the Quebates comes not from are. ftion, 242. The Reprobate solution in God to renew are not faved, not because them they will not, but because The word and fairit may God wills not M.B. [can not] is more be said to be essectuall in a twofold fenfe than [ will not] 343,344 What M.B. his [As foll a The Reprobates can not purpole lignifies 357, 358 and will not do both flow No man bound to beby an inevitable. Necessity lieve a benefit belongs to from Gods Decree him, unlesse it be serious M.B. trifling to blind the ly intended to him 359 Syn. doctrine,&c. 345,346 Yet M.Peskins (zithythat M.B. prævaricates, 340 in hinding the Reprobates 247. That those to whom to believe God intends God giveth Grace cannot onely to make them unexreject it, 347. for it is ittesulable for not believing, fiffible 348 355. God hath a fourciold The Galvinists persecute end in calling the Repro. their brethren for differing bates, though their obedi. from them in the explicaence he none of them. tion of an Article, which faith Maccovius 361 themselves confess, they And this is the fumme cannot comprehend, 349 totall of M.B. Pasive obe-The convertion of a findientiall Power, and his net is like Gods raising up children unto Abraham of naturall active Faculty and his Suffic. Grace 361,362 Stones; according to the Syn. Doctrine, 349, to 352 God foreknew the non-Such a convertion cannor conversion of the Reprohe efteemed a duty, not acbate to be a sin of ingraticounted laudable 352 tude, obstinacie and rebel-Not is it a fault in those lion; Therefore fufficient

Grace is ferioully offerd to professeth to be a perfect them; without which their truth, and M.B. too: is acfin could not be such 362 counted a perverfe infinu-The odious inferences ation in *Tilenus*, by Mafter are not drawn from Gods Baxter's equiry 377 The Calvinists hold the giving effectuall Grace to fome: but from his deny. Regenerate may fall into all of Grace Sufficient and adultery, perjury, idolatry, Necessary, and yet decree-&c. and yet not fall into fuch groffe fins as the unrev ing to torment men for want of it generate, 378. M. Baxters 365 No fuch odious Inferen-Ignorario Elenchi ibid. ces do follow the concession The habitually Gracious on of Godsforeknowledge, may be uncapable of falas follows the position of vation, by the Calvinifts his absolute Decree; and Doctrine 319, &c. The Calvinists supera**w**hy 366, &c. M. B. calls that a fictio bundant favour towards on and abusive language, the Elect, 380. 1. They lay, tis a contradiction. which is the clear doctrine of the Synod 370,371 that they fhould fin, 380. 2. They fay, if they do fin, The impertisency of the Synods distinction, of ris onely out of infirmity, [Quoad ipfor] 372, 373 380. 3. Or that their fins d ffer from fins of the fame An illative or confequentiall Necessity of Perkind in the Reprobate, 38 r Or that their fins come fererance we deny not:but to paffe through Gods dean antecedent causall necellity that takes away the flitution of them, 5. That their fins are but doty 374, 375 The Ancients, Jesuites, Fatherly castigations, 384 6. That (they are fure) they Lutherans and Arminians cannot die in their finnes do hold that the Elect (ball without Repentance, 386 never fall away : yet this 7. That, if they should doth not favour the Calvidie in them without an Anifts opinion of Abfolute' Perseverance 376 Auall Repentance, this That which the Synod would be profitable to

Supralaplarians, are Crethem, 286 &c. / The Synod professe to abilitarians and Existentialifts difown, what they clearly ( maintain, 388.viz. 1. That The Syn, Canonicall Description of Election, 412 the most beinous sins do not hinder the falvation of The Apostles Doctrine inverted by M. Perkins orthe Elect, 389. 2. That der of Causes 414 the Reprobates cannot be The Elect beloved most faved, though they perform all the works of the flagrantly and nochangea. Saints, and how these Ridbly out of Christs which edles are to be unfolded. vacuates his latisfaction 390, 391. Which doctrine and merits. That Christ died to prois not for edification, 292 393. 3. That God by his cure falvation for them to mere will, without any rewhom it was as fare before, as Gods Love and Degree spect to fin, did predefticould make ir nate and create the greatest part of mankinde to de-That no fin can hinder the effectuall calling of the firuction, 394, to 400. Elect: not put them out 4. That Reprobation is the of the road to Glory 416 cause of Infidelity and Im. picty, and how? 400,&c. That the fins of the Elect are of a better rank then 5. That Infants of Beliethose of the Reprob. 417 vers, are cast into hell,401 The Creabilitarians hold The Synod, when they that the greatest part of deny God to be the cause **of finne, do** understand it mankind are Reprobates onely of a culpable cause, before Greatures, in Gods purpole, 418. That they Which God is not they fay, have no interest at all in because he is under no 407,408 Christ, ibid. Being under Jaw. The Synod and M. B. can Gods implacable hatred. advise better then practice (at least, as the most modeft Calviniffs hold) upon 409 the Fall of Adam , ibid An ocular demonstration of the doctrine of Cal-Though they improve vin. in M. Perkins Table their calling to the uttermost , &c. 410, 411.

molt, yet by the (way of probable, 436. What will the Fatall Decree, they cancellit, The danger of backflishall be brought into a Relaple and finally perish, 419 i ding, 438. That the Rege. The Glory, which God nerate may fail totally and aimes to reap from his finally,434, 440, and that David did fo. 440,&c.444 creature, according to the Celvinists; confists chiefly 445 Hardning goesalong with in the execution of his de crees of Election and Reprelumptuous tins, 442 David a fervant of fin, probation, contrary to the Scriptures 420, 421 443,4**4**4. though he had M.B. will have a parting not ferved out a Apprentiblow at his differring Bro thip to the trade of finning ther ibid. 420 That the Justified can-Adoption not absolutely not fall from their juffificagranted but upon condition of Perlever. 446, 447 tion, no fundamentall point Regeneration, and Sandi. with M.B. Good Christians are not fication may be repeated, 448,449.lo may convertion made per seltum, ordinatily 428. The eleft have (uch a the new creation, repen-Magazine provided, they tance, (words of the same need confuit, and take importance) 451 , 452,453 454. proved from the Dicare for norhing, 429,430 scipling of Penance, 455, But the Scripture teach-456,457,458. Heb 6.10. eth another Doctrine, 431 And many that warp to cleared, 459, 460 The good ground. Objethe other opinions are con. dionfrom thence answered vinced of it, ibid. & 422 461,462, 462. Obration Christianity a matter of choife from Davids prayer, Pfal. 433 Confirmation, of such as 50. aniwered, 464 Every degree of love will are eminently faithfull, in a not fecure the state of ju. flute of indefectibility, very-probable 434, 435 fification. 465, &c. Every fingle act of every . Not fale to rely upon Gods mercy, beyond the groffe fin doth not eancell the flate of Justification; meatures

ment, 488. M.B. infnared measures revealed tous, 467 in a Fallacy, No depending upon an The House built upon a habituall effimation of rock, may be blown up God, in our Actual difothough it be not blown bedience. 468 A faving Faith no more down, 489. a caution to separable from chaffity,&c. prevent ic 450 The Doctrine of the Synthan from charity 400 nod touching Election and Men may periffs for want Perfeverance not acordof confideration 474 ing to Godlineffe, 491. By How the hearts of the reit the vilett finners may be generate relapting are turcertain of their Salvation, ned into as graceleffe a without the renewing of frame as theirs, who were never lancified 472 Repentance, 492, to 500. They held every man Some fingle Acts of fin ought to be certain of this may exclude a man out of Election, 492, 4+3. He that heaven 473 is once certain of his Ele.. The fin of David and Pection may fall into groffe ter were not fingle Acts fins, 495. yet they cannot onely 473,474,479 fall quite away ,495, 497. Habits infoled may be Therefore once certain -475,476,477,478 and for ever certain , 449 **Some** fingle Airs of fin do This Doctrine takes away furmount fame Habits 479 from fome gross finners the Peters fin mounted up by : fear of Gods displeasure, of very many and great Aghell fire, and of judgement gravations, 479, 480 to come, which are the pre-Men may lie in a flate of fin and yet purfue a courfe fervatives against sin, 50 r And is the foundation (f of Religion 481,&c. the Antinomizns Doctrine, Christs prayer did not fe. which M. B accounts for cure Peter from a total? groffe and abfurd, 500,501 falling away,but from a fi. M. B. holds that no man nall onely 484 hath fuch a certainty of his Peter did not constantly own fincerity,&c. as to exa build upon the Rock 487 clude all doubting , 503 M.B. expounds the fa-The cred text by Satans Com, 514

The Doctrine of Perle. the Arminians are against verance in M. Baxters the peace of the Saints, 512 judgement, gives advan-The certainty of perfetage to fecurity 504 verance, serves onely the M.B. interfering, ib & 505 interest of the sicsh, 514 And incongruity of ex No Cordials are to be preffica provided for menin their 505 wickednesses The word Grace, one of the three that makes for The opinion of the Remany controverfies&c.506 monft, a better foundation The opinion of the Reof comfort for the lapfed, me infirance and Lutherans, than that of Calv. 115,516 touching failing from M. B. provides as ill for Grace, not against Grace, the peace of the Saints as 505, 507. Not against do the Remonstrants 516 Gods Fidelity, 507. Ibid. That Men are to be jud. Not. Marg 3, r. adde 1 Pet. ged godly according to the Predominant estimation 4.19. Gods Fidelity is not imand operation of their foul, understood with repeached by mans Aposta. firictions. 507, &c. The Haly Ghofts Cufto A continued Sedition and Rebellion must not go dy doth not secure the Faithful without their own for fingle Acts: No more vigilancy 508, 509 must the fins of David and The falling away of the Peser 517 Regen, not against Gods A relapte in the laft flage wildome or power,509,510 of life very dangerous 518 M.B. opinion against the One Aft of fin fets a man Grace and wisdom of God more backward, than one Act of vertue can fet him str. God by M.B. do 518 Arines invites the Reproforward That the Habituated can. bate to ingage themselves in covenant with him: yet not change, how to be underft ood 518, 519 he keeps himfelf difinga-M B a supercilious Cenged to them \$11,512 M.B. contradi as himself for rather then a charitable Monitor of M.P. and why? in faying the opinions of . M.B. 519, 520.

526. Grace confined to a M. B. chooleth to die in Party the state of an Adulterer, Many of the prefent leaand Murderer, and of one ders of the people guilty that hath denyed his Saviof thus feducing them 528 our with exectations, This gives advantage and (whom he confesseth in an feandall to Sociaians 528 incapacity of (alvation ) Scandall given to the than in the state of a per-Sociations and advantage by fon, by whom he knows no mens pretending to more ill, but fome paffages ( in a piety and god/ineffe then learned Book) which he their principles do exact thinks uncharitable 520 M. B. doth not judge the 529,530 The Remonstrants do person by the works, but the works by the person, advance Gods. Grace more than the Calv。 532,533 521. Men of M. B. perfua-A double acception of fron account that damnable the word [Grace] 534 in others, which they think not culpable in their own How taken in the tight Party stating of the question 525 The Turks meafare good Which fide makes man moft finfull 536,537 and evill by the event, \$23. In what fenle Calvinifts All events not irrefifti do make men the greatest bly decreed 524 The Calviniffs and M.B. finners 539 Whether fins of Regehave esponsed the Torks opinion in this 524 nerate persons be not as That the fame fins for great as others \$40,541 Whether the Elect have kind differ in respect of not the same ends in fin. perfons \$24,525 What Saints are brought ning which the Reprobates forth out of M.B. fornace, have after his Spirituall refiging A Paradox of Calvinists 543, 544

And of the Canons of that Synod Translated into English. Of M. Baxters writings, are cited His Saints Reft. His Scripture Proof for Infamis Baptisme. His Treatise of Conversion. Directions to Prevent Miscarrying in Conversion. Directions for Peace of Conscience. His Call to the Unconverted: His Papers Of Saving Faith, against M. Barlow. His Sermons, at the Assizes, of Judgement. Of Making Light of Christ. Dispu. tations of Justification. Disput. of Right to Sacraments. For the distinction of Supralapfarians, [viz. Creabilitarians and Existentia-lists] see pag. 412

Take notice that in thele Papers,

I have made use of

A&. Syn. Nat. edit. in folio.

Lugd. Bat. Typis Haaci Elzeviri.

Suc. Dord. Sumptibus. 1620.

## FOR

## Master Richard Baxter.

Sir

T is hoped, upon the account of your candour and ingenuity, that you will hold the Ghost of Tilenne excused, if he takes a progresse into the visible world, to haunt you a while; especially seeing it is not out of malice, but charity, not to torment or affright you, but (that's all the revenge he desires) to inform you how unadvisedly you have attempted to deface the lustre and take away the life of his fairest reputation. Here by the way I cannot but take notice of the truth of your own complaint and observation, in An Apo-

logy, affixt to your Directions
for peace of Conscience; Where
you say, "I have learned at last by
"some experience, that we must suf"fer from the Learned and Godly,
"and not onely from the Ignorant and
"the Wicked; and being conscious of

" likely to be injurious to others, I must "needs know that it is many such in-"juries that we must mutually over-"look, who are by our pattern of "Meeknesse so indispensably obliged "to extraordinary Unity, Love and "Peace. A good provision made to ențertaine unwarrantable proceedings; and whether Tilenus Ghost hath received a faire invitation from you, to it, or no, he resolves it to be his duty to take his share of it. And good reason; for you charge him with fal-Prafat. Sifying and Calumny, unworthy Sett. 6. a Divine, a Christian or a Man. and Sect, 7. you fay, He unworthily feigneth them to fay. Sect. 8. you aske, Where now is the adious errour that this second Tilenus puts such a face upon? Sect. 9. you adde, A most shamelesse falshood, made as they say of his fingers ends, and a little after you call him This Accuser. Sect. II. you say, Unworthy falsification still! Well might this Author conceale his name for shame of the

ce that humane frailty, whereby I am

Such a solfe-devised tale, that no honest man should have been guilty of against the poorest neighbour or enemy, much lesse against a party, and a Synod of so many truly Learned and Worthy men. Sect. 12. The fourth Article forged by this Ghost of Tilenus. Sect. 13. The accusation proceeds, you slander ously say that the Synod---and you wrong them also in feigning them simply to say. Sech. 4. and Scot 16. you call that, the fifth feigned Article of Tilenus, adding prefently upon the recitall of it, This also is in his own abusive language, and not in theirs. Lastly, you conclude Sect. 17. your addition is a perverse insinuation. Thus you are pleased to cloathe your rebokes that they may make the deeper impression. If you were ever content to take such coine for good payment, 'tis more then probable Tilenus would be content to receive it at your hands 3 but I think it should never have passed through his, but have been nai'ed to a post, as we use to deal by that coun-

world: and a little after; All this is

terfeit money, whose metall will not beare the Test, though it carries never so fair an image and superscription. However Sir, Tilenus hath a Second, that must take leave to tell you, this Coin is not current in the ballance of the Sancluary. And thus I finde that many times even love of Moderation, and zeale for Truth do transport some men to no small distance beyond them both. But if it were the weight of Section 6. his sinne (as you pretend) which commanded you to be thus plain; Tilenus when he finds his guilt, upon a further examination, will be bound to acknowledge it, and to give you thanks for your Christian charity towards him. In the mean while I must assure you, it was not for shame of the world that this Author concealed his name: but, (if you will not allow it to be imputed to his modesty) perhaps because he thought Antidorum there was too much truth in that of the Remonstrants, Non p opè fin. patitur

ac tetra sunt, adeo ut propiùs intuenti borrorem pariant. Medicinam omnem respuit, & medocum nullum ferre potest. Nemo impune hacienus ei medicam manum porrexit, nisi cum ei potestas opprimendi defuit. I wish the practises of those of that Party may not translate this complaint into English, and my pen shall not. But I hasten to receive your charge made against Tilenus. You ask, where ded the Symod say this? Not a Sect. 12. word to any such sense in the Sy- 11.9. nod; and, There's not a word of the Decrees of the Synod, that hath any such impartance. So that here we have the ground, upon which you take all your Confidence to accuse Tilenus of ( your pretended) falsification and Calumny. But good Sir, give me leave now to ask the question: Where did Tilenus undertake to confine himfelf to the  $De_{+}$ crees and Canons of that Synod? D.Dam mans question to Tilenus is this; pag 27. Are these your tenents consonant to the

patitur tangi aut detegi ulcera sua ma-

lesana Calvini secta. Nimis ensm fæda

Assembly? So pag. 31. He is charged (personating an Infidel ) to alleadge no other reasons to justifie his aversenelle to the Christian faith, then what he can clearly deduce from the doctrine of the Synod and the Divines thereof; (whether in conjunction or feverally, is left to his liberty) and fo he instanceth accordingly p.34. in thefe very words, As some of your Synod do maintain pag. 50. the Synod of Alex is joyned with it, and pag. 69. Tilenus takes in the doctrine of the Synod and its adherents. And as these expressions must be allowed to reach far downward, so there are others, that will ascend as high upwards. Page 32, mention is made of the Calvinian as well as the Synodicall Principle, and pages 36, and 58.M. Calvin and Piscator, their Authorities are alleadged and owned, as being men of the same judgement (generally speaking) with those Divines of the Synod. So that Tilenus

Articles of the Synod of Dort? What

opinion have you of that, and the do-

Grine held forth by the Divines in that

Divines of that Synod and their Adherents, but fuch also whose unwholefome and rath opinions gave occasion of it,] to make good his Articles as they are drawn up; and what words and Phrases some of those Authors have made choise of to represent their conceptions of these points of Doctrine in, we thall fee anon. In the mean time, I must acquaint you further, that these very five Articles (word for word, as near as they could be translated) were drawn up by Daniel Tilenus himfelf (and not his Ghost) who was as like to know, and as able to state the difference and controversies betwixt the Remonstrants and their Adverlaries as any other. The truth is, he wrote a small Tratt in Frenth, which was translated about 30. years fince into English by one of his own countreymen, (a Parson of a Church in London)

hath left himfelf a greater latitude then

you did imagine, even a liberty to

expatinte into all the opinions of all the

Writers of that whole age [not only the

being put into a very mean dresse (the Translators skill in the English tongue not able to furnish better) was printed some years since, as I am informed, ( for a printed copy I have not feen, though I have had the perufall of one in Manuscript) under another Title; viz. [ Presbyterian Doctrine. ] Whereas the Title prefixed by the faid M. J. L. was this! The Doctrine of the Synods of Dort, and Alex brought to the Proof of Practife, 6. And at this Torch it was that Tilenus Junior lighted his Candle. Therefore, if in the compiling or drawing up of those five Articles, there be any forgery, or shamelesse falshood, or unworthy falsification, as you boldly charge them, it is the integrity of the old, and but the credulity of the new Tilenus, that is to be questioned for it. Sed. 6. And truly (you say, yet how truly, is the matter of our prefent inquiry, but you say ) Truly this is an exceeding shame to the Arminian and Jesuite cause, I cannot but take no-

Master John L'oiseau, aliàs Tourvail: This,

ther at every turn, though you know the parties are not agreed: but if you'll read Lysimachus Nicanor, or Herod and Pilate made friends, you may find a fitter match by farre for the Jesuits). But what is that, that is so great thid. a chame to the A minian and fefuit cause? why, to find the Learned! Patrons of it to deale fo unconscionably, that a Reader cannot believe them; and that where it is so easie to any tosee their salshoods. He that should read these severe expressions, falling from the pen of a man so serious, as Master Baxter would seem to be, would be tempted to question whether there were ever any such Doctrines intertaimed or broached in the world, especially in these last ages, amongst the Reformed Churches, as Tilenus writes of That the Calvinists should be so farre divided about the Object of Predestinsgion, and flie to fiercely in one anothers

tice, by the by, of your great ambitions

to make a match betwixt the Arminians

and the Jesuits; you joyne them toge-

to charge him with folly; who would helieve Tilenna (after Master Baxters attempt to invalidate his Testimony ) if he should acquaint the world that there are such high and bitter Animosities amongst them? Therefore that we may the more readily gain belief in this matter, let Antonius Walam state Disputatio the different opinions. There Theologiea must be some common state dc 4. conmoverf.Repitcht upon, out of which monfir, Ar-God made a Segregation of tis.thcf.10. Mankinde, by his eternall predestination, and distributed them into ewo Classes, viz. of such as are to be sayed, and such as are to be damned. In ea statueruendo, saith he, mirum in modum bamanam ludit ingenium, & varisvariè se torquent : summa tamen buc redit, industione falta; at homines signegandi judicio divino, considerandi sint à Decommissio, I. aut ut creands: 2. aut

faces; one party accusing the other, that

by their doctrine they charge God with

injustice, and the other to repay them.

with a Recrimination, accusing them,

ut ereati et integre: 3. aut ut creati & lapsi in primo Adamo: 4, aut ut restituti im-Secundo Adamo, id est, Christo. Here are three feveral opinions acknowledged to be among the Calvinifes viz. Supratapfarians of two forts, and Sublapfarians. The whole procelle of the dodrine of the first fort of supra-lapsarians Jacobus Arminius hath Declarat. reduced to foure specially Sententia: heads; which are thefe. tranflated into Eng-First, That God hath Ablifb, page folutely and precifely de-40,41. creed the falvation of fome particular men by his mercy or grace, and the condemnation of others by his justice, without any fight or intuition in this decree, of righteoufnesse, or fin; obedience, or disobedience; that might proceed from either of them. Secondly, That God, for the bringing to passe this his preceding decree, determined the creation of Adams and all men in him, in the right state of Originall righteonfnesse, and further ordained, that they should fin, and so be

and become guilty of eternall condemnation.

Thirdly, That God hath decreed those (whom he would precisely fave) as to salvation, so to the means appertaining thereunto, to bring them to faith in Jesus Christ, and perseverance in it; and this indeed by his irrestistible grace, and power, so as they cannot but believe, persevere, and be

Fourthly, That God hath decreed

to deny unto them whom, in his ab-

saved.

folute will, he hath preordained to defiruction, and accordingly doth not conferre that grace which is sufficient and necessary to salvation; so as they, are neither able to believe, neither can they be saved.

Thus facobus Arminius drew up the Articles; who as he was a man of too great integrity to impose upon his Adversary or his Reader, so is he known to be of too great learning and judge-

ment to encounter with shadows and

Chinfera's of his own imagination.

How this Doctrine of Predestination is held forth by the other fort of Supralapfarians and the Sublapfarians, he that desires to be fully satisfied, may procure his satisfaction at an easie expense both of time and money, if he will consult that small Treatise, translated and lately set forth by Page 91.

Master Tobias Conyers, under 92.94.95. the Title of The Inst Mans 96.

Defence]. But amongst other Reasons inducing these men to deliver the Do-Ctrine of Predestination, in a different manner and method from the former, Arminius observes, this was Ibid. page not the meanest; their willingnesse to prevent, lest God with the same probabilitie should be concluded, the Author of sinne, from this their Doctrine, as some of them have judged it concludable from the first. But really (saith He) if with diligent inspection we well examine these Opinions of a later Edition, compazed with the Judgement of the same

Authors in other points of Religion, we small finde the fall of A-Page 98. dam not possibly otherwaies confiderable, (according to the Tenents of these men) then as a necessary executive means of the preceding Decree of Predestination; and a little after, The third Opinion scapes this Rock better then the other, had not the Patrons thereof delivered something for the Declaration of Predestination and Previdence, from whence the necessity of the Pall may be inferred, which cannot have any other rife then Predestinatory Ordination. Thus Jac. Arminius. Our next inquiry (that we may come to the certaine knowledge of the truth of this Matter of Fait; for which you have, with no little confidence to disgrace him, questioned the integrity of our Tilenus) shall be, how the Articles charged upon the Calvinists, were drawn up by the Remonstrants in the

Conference at the Hague,

Pet. Bett. Coll. Hag. p. 7.8.

The first head of Doctrine,

(which They charge the Contra-Remonstrants),
or Calvinists, whom they style their Brethren,
to account ORT HODOX) is thus expressed word for word.

I.

Hat God (as some speak) by
an eternal and unchangeasupra-lapsahle Decree, from among men,

whom he confidered as not-created, much

lesse as faln, ordained certain to eternall life,

certain to eternall deaths without any regard

had to their righteousnesse or sinne, to their obedience or disobedience; onely because so was his pleasure, (or so it seemed good to him) to the praise of his Justice and Mercy, or (as others like better) to declare his saving Grace, Wisdome, and free Authority (or Jurisdiction); Means being also fore-or-dained by his eternall and unchangeable Decree, sit for the execution of the same, by the power or force whereof, it is necessary that they be saved after a necessary and unavoid-

able manner, who are ordained to falvati-

on, so that 'tis not possible that they should

perish: but they who are destin'd to de-

struction (who are the farre greater number)

must be damned necessarily and inevitably, so that tis not possible for them to be faved-Ŧſ. That God ( as others would ra-Sub laptather) willing from eternity, with rians. himself, to make a Decree concern-

ing the Election of some certain men, but

the rejection of others; confidered man-

kinde not onely as created, but also as faln,

and corrupted in Adam and Eve, our first Parents, and thereby deferving the curle : And that he decreed out of that fall and damnation to deliver and fave some certainones of his Grace, to declare his mercy : But to leave others (both young and old, yea truly even certain Infants of men in Covenant, and those Infants baptized, and dy-

ing in their Infancy) by his just judgement, in the curse, to declare his Justice: and that without all confideration of repentance and faith in the former; or of impenitence or unbelief in the later. For the execution of which Decree, God useth also such means, whereby the Elect are necessarily, and unavoidably saved, but reprobates necessarily and nnavoidably perish. III.

And therefore that Jesus Christ the Savionr of the World died not for all men, but for those onely, who are Elected either after the former or this later manner, he being the mean, and ordained Mediator to fave those onely, and not a man besides.

IV.

Consequently, That the Spirit of God and of Christ doth worke in those who are Elected that way or this, with such a force of Grace that they cannot resist it: and so, that it cannot be, but that they must turn, believe, and thereupon necessarily be saved. But that this irresistible Grace and force belongs onely to those so Elected. but not to

lieve, and thereupon necessarily be saved. But that this irrestistible Grace and force belongs onely to those so Elected, but not to Reprobates, to whom not onely that irrestistible Grace is denyed, but also Grace necessary and sufficient for Conversion, for saith, and for salvation, is not afforded: To which Conversion and saith indeed, they are called, invited, and sairely solicited outwardly by the revealed will of God: though notwithstanding the inward force necessary to saith and conversion is not bestowed on them, according to the secret will of God.

V.

But that so many as have once obtained a true and justifying faith by such a kinde of irresistible force, can never totally nor finally lose it, no not although they fall into the

very-most-enormous sins : but are so led and kept by that same irresistible force, that tis not possible for them (or they cannot) ei-

ther totally or finally, fail and perish. Every branch of these five Articles, you may see sufficiently proved, in Appendice Pressionis Declarationis, and by the severall Syllabi Testimoniorum inter Scripta Synodalia Remonstrantium. After the Synod at Dort had declared their judgement, upon those five Heads of Doctrine, the Remonstrants abridged the same into these Compendious Articles. Almighty God, out of all mankinde confidered in the same state or condition, chose a few certaine men to eternall salvation, without any respect of their faith, repentance, convertion, or of any good quality; but, that he might being those electiones, to the appointed falvation, he decreed that his Son Mould suffer death for onely them, (yea, even when they as well as others were falninto Originall finne, and eternall perdition, by Adam's transgression) that he might reconcile unto God them onely, that he might, in them onely, work faith, by a most powerfull working and force no leffe then that put forth in the Creation of the World, or raifing the dead; that he might preserve, in that saving faith, unto their lives end, those

very men although faln into the foulest and

filthiest wickednesses, and sticking some while

therein, and at last might bring them into the possession of eternall life, for no other cause, but because so was his good please sure,

But on the Contrary,

I.

Almighty God (mould passe by) the farre

Almighty God ( would passe by ) the farre greatest part of mankind, without any confideration of their own proper and avoidable fault, that is to fay, of their own unbelief and impenitence, (and ) would not elect (them) to falvation, or have his Son die for them, or give them power fulficient for their conversion, even then, when he invites, intreats, befreches, and beggs of them to anfwer his Calling them to falvation, under the promise of the said salvation, and the penalty of eternal damnation: but will have them all born into the world to eternali and never-to-be-ended torments and pains of hellfire, and at length throw them headlong thereinto, for no other cause, but because it was

bis pleasure so to do.

II.

That God would that Issus Christ should inster the most bitter and the most shamefull death, not for all men, but onely for the elect, that for them alone, by the shedding of his own precious blood, he might purchase faith, and all other saving gifts of the Holy Ghost,

all their fins both Originall and Actuall, committed as well after as before their faith, might keep them to their last breath, and at last bestow on them eternal life. But on the Contrary, That God would not that Christ should die for other Mortals, that he should or might obtaine for them any faving gifts of the Holy Ghost, but would that they should be left in Originall fin, and should, by consequent, fall or rush headlong into other sins, which necessarily flow therefrom, (that they) should continue destitute, or devoid not onely of power whereby they might turn and repent, but also of all hope of grace and salvation, till, at length, beeing inwrapped in an unavoidable necessity of finning, they should be thrust down with the damned Divels to eternall and infinite torments both of foul and body. III, and IV. That God doth communicate, inspire and infuse into his Elect children, not onely a power to believe, but also the will to believe, yea the very act of believing, or faith, by fuch a supernaturall, most powerfull, and, at once, most sweet, wonderfull, secret, and unspeakable operation, or working, as, in its power, is no lesse or inseriour then that, whereby the world was made, or the dead are raif-

that by his blood he might clense them from

ed; so that it remains not in mans power to will to believe or be converted, but will they. nill they, they cannot but be converted and believe.

On the other side,

That God doth earnestly indeed call and invite to faith and repentance infinite Myri-

ads, (or ten thousands) of men, with threatnings of eternall death and damnation, yet so still, as he wills not to communicate to them either faith or the power to believe and repent; so that, though they be called of God to faith, yet they cannot but remain unbelicvers. And that yet notwithstanding all this, he will punish and doth punish eternally with the most glievous and horrible torments of hell, those very persons for that unbelief of theirs, that was unavoidable.

V.
God will preferve in the faith, all those, who are absolutely elected from eternity, and are, in time, brought to faith by an Almighty and irresistible operation or working, so that, although they fall into foul and detestable wickednesses and villanies, and continue in them some space of time, against their Continue for them.

them some space of time, against their Conscience, yet the said wicked villances do not hinder so much as a straw amounts to, their Election or Salvation, neither do they or can they, by means of, or because of these, sall

mit, are firer than affuredly forgiven them, yea, and moreover, they themselves, at last, though it be at their last gasp, shall be recalled to repentance, and brought over into poffession of falvation. That this is the perfect fense of the Synods Doctrine, the Remonstrants have notably evinced in their ANTIDOTUM ( Continens Pressiorem Declarationens Propria & Genuina Sententia, Qua in Synodo Nationali Dordracena afferta est et stabilita.) For Daviel Tilenus, it seemes, he took the like courfe; for whereas the Synod delivered their Iudgement about the First Head, (Diwine Predestination) in the 18 Articles and 9. Rejettions, He abridged the fense thereof into seven short lines; and the Second Head ( about Christs Death) comprised in nine Articles, and feven Relestions into foure or five lines; and the three and foure Heads (concerning Mans corruption and conversion) conteining seventeen Articles and nine Rejections, into fourteen lines; and the fifth Head ( of Perseverance) dilated in the fifteen Articles with nine Rejections, into lesse than foure lines.

from the Grace of Adoption, and from the flate of Iustification, or lose their faith, but

all their fins how great foever they be, both

which heretofore they have committed, and

those which hereafter they will or shall com-

And befides, in Compiling his Articles Tilenus had respect to the Doctrine, as it is afferted, or held forth, by the Synod of Alez, ( which is not now in my power, to give any account of ) But my Present task is to make it good that these Articles of Tilenus are consonant to the sense of the Calvinists Doctrine, whether delivered in or out of the Synod. The first whereof is drawn up and pretented in thefe words. That. God by an Absolute Decree hath Ele-Eted to falvation a very little number of men, without any regard to their faith or obedience what seever, and secluded from saving Grace all the rest of Mankind and appointed them by the same Decree to eternall damnation, without any regard to their Infidelity or impenitencie. Here Master Baxter takes exceptions : 1. Where talke they of a very little numi ber ? For your latisfaction heare Martinius, (one of the most moderate of the Synod of Dort) who faith that (a) God according to his good pleaa In prafat. fure hath reprobated the greatest excustioris part of men; was it for fin? Christ placida-Cidoth not seach for Mat. 11. nor she tante Smon-

Apostle, Rom. 9. Here we have the tio Emgreatest part of Mankind under the drachts.fol. Decree of Reprobation, and that

is Elected. But we have another Synodist speaks more fully to the Article. b Antonius (b) God hath by his absolute and ir-Thyfius ad resistible will, reprobated the greatest Summam Baronia. p. part of Mankind by far, and crea-19.20 life, ted them to destruction; laith Ant. ris (gg) Thysius. And what is the number collatis. of the elect then? If it be not small enough, yet Master Calvin expresseth it to a tittle; The Election is of a very small Number of the Godly. Electionem exigui piorum. numeri. Instit. lib. 3. cap. 21. 9.7. mihi pag. 592. 2. Master Baxter excepts: It's not true that they say he doth it ( without any regard to their faith or obedience whatever). Witneffe to the contrary 1. Donieclock. How can it be true that God did from all eternity confider us in Christ as faithfull? On the contrary he chose from all eternity fome certain persons, without respect to faith or any other quality, onely for his will and good pleasure. Respons. ad Anonym. Quaiern. E. 2. Bucan. What manner of persons are Elected? Such as are unclean and wicked in the fight of God. Loc. com. de Pradest. 9. 20.

not for sin neither. The lesse part therefore

other good quality. Collat. Hag. pag. 126.

4. Damman, Scribe to the Synode. The Election was made without any consideration of saith forescen. In suo consens. To whom I may adde Lubbertau, a Synodist too; who saith, 'T is a humane invention, that God decreed Salvation to us upon this condition [if we would repent.] In Declar, Respons pag. 50.

3. Master Baxter excepts; He unworthing feigneth them to seemall damnation without any regard to their impenitency or insidelity | The truth of this shall be tried by the

8. The Centra-Remonstrants. We do pro-

fesse that God in his Election, had no re-

spect to faith foreseen, perseverance, or any

Suffrages of

1. Calvin. Predefination is Gods eternall decree, whereby he appointed what he would have done concerning every man. All are created in a like condition. But eternall life is preordained for some, eternall damnation for others. And therefore as every man is created for either end, so we say he is predestinated either to eternall life, or eternall death.

Instit. 1.3. c. 21.5.5. Therefore that frivilous shift of the Schoolemen concerning prescience, is overthrown. For Paul doth not say, the ruine of the wicked is foreseen of

the Lord, but ordained by his counsell and will. Idem ad Rome. 9. 18. 2. P. Marter. That any should be created of God, that they might perish, seems abford at field fight. But the Seripeure speaks it. In app. loc. com, in loc. de Pradest. 3. Polanus. Whom God predestinated to eternall destruction, those he cucated to eternal designation. In Hofeam 13.9, 4. Bens God destin'd to destruction, not for corruption, or the fluits of it: but because, so it seemed good to him. de Predest. contra Castel, pag. 416. & in Novis min. N. T. ad Rom. 9. 21. Seeing therefore that the thamp of death organalisis figuified by: the name of dishonous, they speak like. Paul, with lay tome are created of God to just destruction, and they that are offended with this forms of speech, do beersy their igno-Tanggor of a to provide the 5. Repleinen Every man is to Gody as a realle of clay in the hand of the Power, and Rauhaffinms; and therefore God by his abfoliste foveraignty;, doth make veffels of weath, and not find them. But he frould not make them, but finde them made of themfelves wif we mould fay, that in his eternally counfil, he paffed them by, onely as finners, and not as mem De Pradest. & Gracia Dei. pag. 16.

6. Ant. Thysius, a Synodist. Reprobation is decreed without any regard had to sin.

Ad Summ. Baronis ex Piscat.

Let not Master Banter except against this, and say that Reprobation is not the same

and say, that Reprobation is not the same with Damnation; for it doth inevitably draw damnation after it, as is acknowledged by Festus Hommius (Scribe to the Synod) in these words;

The fruits that follow Rejection, are, 1. The creation of the Reprobate. 2. Defertion, or withdrawing of Gods grace and means. 3. Blinding and hardening. 4. Perfeverance in fin. Thefaur. Catech. fol. 216.

Lastly all the Supra-lapfarians must give

their votes for this opinion, who make the object of Predestination, Man considered, either as created and not falm, or as yet not created, but possible to be created. Thus Amesius. Tis neither necessary, nor consonant to Scripture, to assign any pre-required quality in man as the formall object of Predesti-

nation, or any certain state of man, so as to exclude the rest: for it is sufficient to understand that man is the object of this Decree, so that the difference, which is found in men, may follow from the Decree. In Medull, Theol. 1. c. 25. th. 10.

And Gamerus a Synodult. Predestination is

And Gomarus, a Synodist. Predestination is twofold. One to Supernatural ends (which

in order of nature goes before; because the end for which a thing is, is first in the intention of the wife.) The other unto Creation in Originall righteousnesse and other meanes. Thef. de Pradest. disput. 1604. Thef. 12. & The [. 13. The object of Predestination are Rationall Creatures, not as really to be faved or damned, created, about to fall, or about to stand, about to be repaired: but as in a remote and indefinite power, are saveable, damnable, creable, fallable, repairable, &c. And upon these very grounds of Gomerus Maccovius disputes the point stifly for the Affirmative. Theol. Disput. 17. mihi pag. 59. From hence ariseth that bitter dissension, betwixt the Supralapsarians and the Sublaplarians, wherewith Grevinchovius fo worthily upbraideth Smoutius in these words; Gomarus Festus and other Supralapsarians, and thy self also, if I be not deceived, do contend bitterly against Domeclock, Acronius, &c. That nothing more foolish, or more sottish can be fastened upon God, then that He should have created Man, not having first ap. pointed his end, that is to fay, the falvation or damnation of every one, or rather the shewing forth of his wrath and power, in the perdition of the Reprobates.

though at once in the accounts of eternity, yet

That Christ fesus bath not suffered death for any other, but for those Elect onely; baving neither had any intent, nor commandment of his Father to make satisfaction for the sins of the whole world.

What saith M. Baxter to this Atticle? Why, A most shamelesse falshood, made, as they

say, of his fingers ends. We must Impannell an honest Jury to try this too, and, 1. That

Christ, is said, to have suffered onely for the

question? They do greatly erre, that teach,

Christ died for all and every man. Specim. c.9.

1. Gefelius, what fay you to the matter in

2. M. Perkins, 'tis expected you should

Elest. Call in the Witnesses under written

On the other fide Acronius and the rest of

the Sublapsarians, exclaime as much against

the Supralapfarians, That nothing can be conceived more unjust, than that Man should be

reprobated and created to destruction, whilest

considered as, not yet corrupted by sin. Ab-

proof of the first Article, as to the matter

The I I. Article runs thus.

And this, I hope, is sufficient for the

sters. Calum. Smout. p. 51:

of Fact.

fal. 36.

give in a full testimonic for the Plaintiffe, what lay you? The Ransome was designed by the Docree of the Father, and by the intercession and oblation of the Son, for the Elect onely. De Prædest. p. 20. 🕠 3. Piscator, a knowing man; he will fpeak the truth, and the whole truth and nothing but the truth; That Christ died sufficiently for every one, is a false Proposition. For he died onely for the Elect, paying a most sufficient price of redemption (for them) namely his own precious blood, the blood of the Son of God, the blood of God himself. But for the Reprobate he dyed in no wife, whether sufficiently, or effectually. Contr. Schoff. Th. 209. 4. Beza, what can you say to this point, for the acquitting of Tilenus? (I say,) Whether you consider the counsil of God, or the effect of the Paffion, or both, Christ died no way for the wicked. In Thef. cum D. Fayo in Schol. Genev. desp. de dig. & effett. Sicrif. 7. C. 5. Maccovius, can you say any thing to clear the Plaintiffe from the charge that Mafter Baxter brings against him? For that distinction of Christs dying for All sufficiently, but not effettually, (I fay) 'tis most vain and foolish. For, if you say Christ died sufficiently, because his death would have sufficed to redeem all, if God had so pleased; then by a

Pelagianisme, Socinianisme, and other filthy Herefies. Contra Ministros Campens. pag. 12S. This evidence already given in, might foffice for the whole Article: But because there is another branch, perhaps M. Baxter will expect some pregnant proof for that too; viz. That Christ neither had any intent, nor Commandment of his Father, to make satisfastion for the fins of the whole world. To evince this, take here the depositions of 1. Triglandins, a Synodist, (who saith) The passion of Christin it self is sufficient to redeem all men, yea many more-but according to the Counsil of the Father, He died onely for the Elect, and truly faithfull, with that intent, that through faith he might make all them, and onely them, partakers of the efficary of his pallion to their salvation. Christian Moderation pag. 25. 2. Zanchy, who faith, Christ according

like reason, it might be said, that Christ hath

justified All, and glorified All sufficiently, but

none effectually. Muc. diffiniti. c. 11. difp. 18.

Article of the Remonstrants, Concerning the

Universality of the merit of Christs Death?

They that subscribe to it are to be suspetted of

6. Vogetime, what fay you to the second

p. 1 to. & College Difp. 12.

onely for the Elect, b. e. for those, who were to believe, according to the eternall Election. Miscel. pag. 345. in quarto. 3. Beza-1 say again and professe before the whole Church of God, that it is false, blasphemous, and wicked, to fay Christ suffered, was crucified, died and fatisfied, no leffe for the sinnes of the Damned, then for the sins of Piter, Paul and all the Saints, whether in respect of Gods Counsil, or in regard of the effect. Resp. ad Cell. Mempelg. p. 221. 4. Ripperens. To say, Christ died for them that perish, is false, and accuseth God of injustice. Contra Domin. Sapma. p. 764. 5. Vogelius. If Christ tasted death for unbelievers, He drank that bitter cup in vain, or elfe unbelievers must taste eternall death twice, contrary to Gods justice, to the dignity of Christs death, and to possibility. nbi supra. p. 133. 6. Macsovini. If Christ died for all, then he was a furety and ranfome for all, even for those that perish everlastingly. And this will brand God with injustice, for taking a twofold punishment for the same offences, when the first satisfaction might have sufficed. Ubs Supra pag. 35.

to the purpose of the Father, was born, pray-

ed, inffered, dyed, rose again, and sitteth at

the right band of the Father interceding

probate are plainly excluded from the merit of Christs death, and yet they are bound to believe in him. In Resp. ad Duplic. Verstii.c.7. pag. 66. The third Article of Tilenus. That by Adams fall his Posterity lost their freewill, being put to an unavoidable Necossity to do, or not to do, what soever they do, or do not, whether it be good, or evill; being thereunto Predestinate by the eternall and effectuall secret Dearee of Gad. What faith M. Baxter to this Article ? Unworthy falsification still! I see it will be a hard matter for Tilenus to gain M. Barter's favour,

when he cannot escape his censure; but the

best on't is, rather then his reputation shall

7. D. Damman, Scribe to the Synod, speaks

to the same purpose. It is repugnant to Gods justice, that he should constitute Christ to

bear the fins of all men, and make full fatisfa-

Ction for them, and yet ordain some men to bear their own fins, in their own persons, and

so make satisfaction for them themselves; then

he should punish one sin twice, that is to say,

both in his Son, and in them that perish.

Piscator shall shut up this Scene; The Re-

Consens. p. 63.

which M. Baxters blackeft inke hath indeavoured to imprint upon it, many of M. Baxter's Reverend and (in his opinion) Orthodox friends, are ready to be his compurgators. I was about to fummon M. Calvin, in the head of these, but I find him stumbling at the threshold; and taking exceptions at the very Preface of the Article, which chargeth his Adherents and followers, to hold, That by Adams fall bis Posterity lost their freewill; For he will not acknowledge fuch a Freewill in Adam himself, whereby he might have stood; witnesse these words of his to Castellia; Thou faift, Adam fell by his free will: I except against it; That he might not fall, he stood in need of that strength and constancy, wherewith God armeth the Elect, while he will keep them blamelesse. Whom God hath elected, he props up with an invincible power, anto perfeverance. Why did he not afford this to Adam, if he would have had him stood in his integrity? Ad Calam, Nebul. Ad Artic. 2. And Maccovins. However Adam fell Neceffarily, in regard of the immurability of the Divine Decree ; yet he fell not by compulfion, but of his own accord. (Non coacte, fed sponté. Coll. Disp. disp, 16. pag. 54. If the Cabvinist's put Adam himself under

stand branded, with those markes of Infamy,

nus stands accused by M. Baxter, of an Un worthy falsification; for affirming, that they hold, That the Posterity of Adam ( having lest their free will in his fall ) are put under an avoidable Nevessity to do good, or evill; And therefore to clear Tilenin, that He may still carry the Reputation of a True man, I'le offer the Certificates of his Computators; and First they shall certifie to the unavoidable Neceffity of doing good; as, 1. Starming, whose Certificate on the behalf of Tildnin tuns thus; The Elect are not onely Predeftinated to the end, but also to the means that lead to that end; and therefore as they are necessarily saved at last, in regard of the immutability of Election: So in regard of the stability thereof, they do necessarily alfo embrace the means, by which they are conducted to that end. Do Pradest. Th. 10. 2. Zanchy. Whosoever are predestinated to the end, they are also predestinated to those means, without which that end is not to be attained. And therefore as the Elect do neceffarily arrive at the end at last, in regard of the stedfastnesse of Election; so in regard of

fuch an unavoldable Necessity, to do, or not to do, as an immutable Decree had determined

him; 'I is strange any of them should give

Tilenus the Lie, for affirming it, to be their 6.

pinion conterning all men elle. And yet Tile-

when it begins (the conversion or regeneration of man; and they hold that work irrefiftible) fuch it is also, when it goes forward. In parcific, pag.172. 4. Donteclock: As many as were predeftinated by Godunto salvation before the creation of the world, ( that the purpose of Election may remain fure,) they are by the power of God led to it, so certainly and infallibly, that it is impossible that they should sinally perish. Inflite de Pradest, pag, 93. But can Tilenus bring any competent number of Orthodox Calvinists to Certifie touching the Unavoidable Necessury of doing out? For the other branch feems so plausible that few, of them will flick to subscribe to it-But for this branch, who appears to make Tilenus his charge good? 3. Zanchy. We grant that by this ordi-

the same stedfaitnesse, it is necessary they

should be led and walk by the means ordained to that end. De Nat. Dei lib. De Pradest .-

Sanit. quaft, 5. & lib. 5. c. 2. q. 4. So it comes to passe, that our Will cannot but will good,

3. Cornel. Dungan. Such as the operation

of grace is in the beginning, such is it also in the progresse. If it be resistible or irresistible,

because 'tis so inclined of God.

We doubt not therefore to acknowledge, that there is incumbent upon the Reprobate by their immutable Reprobation, a necessity of finning, and that unto death, without repentance, and for that of suffering eternall pains. 2. Piscator, when Vorstins urgeth him, how unworthy the Majesty of God it is, to make an absolute Decree, whereby a man should be destinated to a necessity of sinning: To this he answers; Indeed humane Reason judgeth so: but the word of God saith otherwife. And again; These sayings are not therefore faile and bialphemous, because humane reason is offended with them. Ad Amic. Coll. Vorstii. Nat. 6. & 8. mihi pag. 157. & Resp. ad Duplic. Varstis par. 1. pag. 223. When God does necessitate man to sin, that he may punish him for sip, he doth justly, because he hath power to govern man as he will. & Resp. ad Apologet. Bertis. pag. 144. All things are done by the Decree of God, therefore all things are done of necessity. For whatsoever God hath decreed, that comes to passe necessarily, because it cannot not come

nation of God, the Reprobate are constrained by a necessity of sinning, and thereby of perishing also, and so constrained that they

cannot choose but sin and perish. De Nat.

Dei, lib. 5, c. 2, de Reprob. q. 2. and soon after,

come to passe by an infallible, and an inevitable necessity. Antidot. fol. 50. 4. Seurmius. Upon the privation of Grace there follows a twofold necessity, one of finning, another of perishing. For the Reprobate being destitute of Gods grate, and lest to their own nature, as they cannot but fin, fo they cannot but perish: unto which double neeffisy the reprobates are predestinated. De Pradelt, Thef. 22. That this unavoidable necessity to do, or not to do good or svill, is ( according to the Dectrine of the Calvinists) from Gods eternall pradesimation, and effectuall secret decree, appears fufficiently by the Testimonies already alledged, to which I will adde but two or three. a. Nicasus Vander Shure. The end for which God doth elect and reprobate, in re-

to passe. And therefore Indas betrayed Christ necessarily, nor could his will to betray be

changed in him; because he betrayed Chrise

by the determinate counsell and fore-decree

of God. Also that willing of Judas was the work of God, in as much as it was moved of

God; for by him we live, move, and have

3. Fred. Bronkerns', (faith) All things

our being.

according to the means which God hath likewife predestinated, that they might walk in them, the elect in faith and good works, and the reprobate in unbelief and evill works. pag. 20. 2. Seurmius. Hence it therefore followeth, that the elect are diligent in all good works ? because they are no leffe predestinated unto the means then unto the end. But the reprobate omit all care of doing good, because they are rejected from this grace of the meanes, no telle then from the end it felf. De Pradest. in explic. Thes. 1. From this unavoidable Nesssity &c. forme of the Calvinifis have drawn thefe Corollaries ( which they maintain to follow by undemable Confequence from that Doctrine; **Piz.** } I. That man can do no more good then he doth: Omit no more evill then he omittesh.

fpect of man, is, that the elect might be faved, the reprobate damned but every one

Piscator. Although God simply and precifely wills not that man should do any more good then he doth, or that he should omit any more evil then he omitteth, yet he cannot therefore be reproved of envy, or iniquity, or of any other vice. Ad amicam Duplications with the Construction of th

it be manifest to us, in the general and indefinitely, or at least it may be manifest to us by Gods word, that we can neither do more good then we do, nor omit more evill then we do omir; because God hath precisely decreed from all eternity, that both shall so come to passe, yet because 'tis not manifest to us in Specie, definitely and in special manner, how much God hath decreed, that we should doe or omit, we cannot be justly accounted foolish if we do perpetually endeavour, to do more good then we do, and likewife to omit more evillthen we omit. And Pag. 228. Aithough it be Fatally appointed, when, and how, and how much every one of us ought to practife piety, or not to practife it, yet the daily meditation of Gods precepts is not undertaken in vaine. And to second Piscoter in this opinion? Maccovius doth most fiercely maintain it in a Disputation of nine or ten pages long. Col. leg. Disput. Missel. Quaft. Disp. 2. (mihi) a pag. 410, usque ad 419. And whether the contagion of this opinion had not laid hold upon some of the Synod, we shall examine bereafter.

And because this is a very great temptation

and inconragement to carelefnesse; therefore he could not for shame but insert this much

by way of caution. (pag. 176.) Although

A Second Corollary, drawn by others from that Unavoidable Necessity fore-mentioned, is this:

That all zeale and endeavour after Salvation, before the Gift of faith, and Spirit of Renovation conferred upon us, is of no effect.

So faith

Dontes lock. We conclude therefore, that all the care, study and diligence, which men can use to promote their salvation is vain and to no purpose, rather hurtfull then profitable, before saith and the spirit of renovation. But after saith and conversion they are clearly ne-

cellary and profitable. Adversus Castell. p. 171. Note here by the way, that his opinion is, That Faith and the Spirit of Renovation are never given to the Non-Elect. The Reprobates are not called effectually; whence it comes to passe, that they can neither convert themselves nor believe. In Dialoga super Translatis Thes. Gomari & Armini: quatern. C.

The IV. Article of Tilenus.

That God to save his Elect from the corrupt Mass, doth beget faith in them by a power equall to that, whereby he created the world, and raised up the dead, insomuch that such unto whom he gives that Grace, cannot re-

ject it; and the rest being Reprobate cannet accept of it, &c.

How doth this Article come off with M. Baxter? He tells Tilenus [ you wrong them in feigning them fimply to lay, that those to whom God gives grace cannot reject

it. Because M. Baxter is grown so Gentle, I shall (for his satisfaction) acquaint him out of what Poets Tileuns borrowed this Fiction.

1. Calvin. God moves the will, not after that manner, as hath been believed and delivered for many ages, that it should be at our choice to obey or reliff the motion. But efficaciously effecting it. Therefore that so often repeated by Chrysostome is to be rejected.

Whom he draws he draws being Willing : where-

by he infinuates, that God reaching forth

his hand, doth expect whether we will make use of his assistance. Inflit. lib. 2. c. 3, Se&t. 10. Geselius, Man is regenerated, and renewed by the omnipotent power of God, creating him anew. In Specim. cap. 4. fol. 17. & fol. 73.

They who are called unto falvation, outwardly, and inwardly, according to Gods purpose, they cannot but believe in Christ, and convert themselves; that is, this calling

worketh irrefistibly and invincibly, not onely upon their understanding and affections, but also upon their will.

2. Smoother We will never lay that God

3. Smoutius. We will never lay, that God determined to save believers onely by a gentle suasion, and traction, agreeable to their wils: much lesse will we deny, that he draws them by an omnipotent operation, which they neither will, nor can, nor can will to resist. Concord. p. 9. Prafat.

4. Contra-Remonstrances. As no man is

ableto hinder his own birth, or his resurrection from the dead: So no man is able to hinder the working of Gods Grace, when he will regenerate, and raise him up from the spiritual death. Collat. Hag. pag. 207.

5. Donteclock. We determine the Grace of the Holy Spirit to be such an efficacious operation, that men, in whom God is pleased

peration, that men, in whom God is pleased to work it, cannot relist it. For it is as a spiritual regeneration, 'tis the renovation of the understanding, will, and all humane powers,'tis as a spiritual raising from the dead, by which is taken away whatsoever, in man, is able to resist the Holy Spirit, or hinder it, that it should not, in its time, work conversion and saith in the Elect: So that it depend's not at all on the will of man, but onely on the will and power of God. Adscriptum cujusdam Ananymi, lit. B. 1, versa.

6. Doctor

6. D. Damman. God worketh effectually in none but in the Elect, and therefore Grace is rightly said to be irresistible. Consensus. pag. 82.

7. Triglandius. They that are converted cannot hinder the taking away of their resistence. Apolog. 274.

8. Gomanus, a Creabilitarian Synodist: Whether is this Grace conferred by an irresis-

stible and efficacious operation of God, so that the will of him who is regenerated bath no ability to resist it, as tis said 2 Chron. 20. 6. In thy hand is strength and power, and there is none that can resist thee? I do believe it, and professe that it is so. In sua Declaratione. pag. 20.

For the honour of the Synod Johannes Bogermmannus, their President, shall have the casting voice in this particular. Grotius citing S. Chrysostome, of your xde Ms. If it be grace, may some say, why are we not all saved? Be-

cause ye will not. For Grace though it be Grace, saves none but the willing, not such as do daily strive against it. And upon that of S. John. None can come to me except the Father draw him. In the word έλκυση, draweth, is denoted Help, saith He, ( Βούθειαν), nay δυναμιν, saith Bogerman, & quidem υπερβα.

Niv The Surduses, that exceeding power, whereby he causesh light to shine out of dark-

fied touching the matter of Fillion, imputed to Tilenus by M. Baxter. But the severer part of M. Baxters centure is yet be hind, touching the other branch of this Article; For here he tells Tilenus roundly, Tou standarously say, that the Synod saith, the Reprobates cannot accept it. I am glad to find M. Baxter let fall this expression; because I hope, I may conclude from hence, that, in his opinion, this Doctrine is erro-

nesse. Ad Script. H. Grotis, part. 2. Annot.

And thus I hope, the Reader will be fatif-

Not. 87. (mihi) pag. 147.

because I hope, I may conclude from hence, that, in his opinion, this Doctrine is erroneous, and of evill consequence. But if any of the Adherents of the Synod professe themselves to be of this judgement, Tilenus is like to overthrow M. Baxter upon the Action of Slander, what ever losse and dammages he recovers of him. Let us put it to a Verdict of a Jury of good men and true, whose Foreman shall be

Peter Martyr. The (divine) calling is extended unto some, that cannot be moved to a

capacity to receive it, who are therefore said to be called, but not chosen. In Append. loc. com. pag. 980.

2. Winsculus. As the Elect being called in

their time, do believe, repent, are justified and

so the reprobate can neither obey Gods call, nor repent, nor believe, nor be justified, nor be laved. Loc. com. de Reprob. 472. 3. Zanchy. There follows Reprobation the deniall of grace, this is attended with sinne, and sinne with punishment, unto all which God hath preordained the Reprobates from all eternity. Lib. de Nat. Dei. c. 2. de Prædest. Wherefore it is rightly said, that the Reprobates are preordained and predefinated to a perpetuall destitution of grace. ibid. pag.721. in quarto. 4. Gomarus. The Reprobates cannot believe; for faith is proper to the Elect. Disput. (1604) de Prad. thef. 32. 3. Triglandius. Unbelief flowes from Reprobation. For the Reprobates cannot attain to faith by Nature; and God hath not decreed to give them faith. Defenf. pag. 140. 6. Laftly, D. Damman. We grant that the Non-Elect, neither do, nor can believe, nor persevere in the faith. De persever, pag. 86. Item in Concord. Remonstr. pag. 44. Unbelief flowes from Reprobation; for the Reprobates cannot attain unto faith by nature, and

God hath not decreed to give them faith.

faved, neither can they fall from falvation:

## The fift Article of Tilenus,

That such as have once received that Grace by Faith, can never fail from it sinally, or totally, notwithstanding the most enormous sins they can commit. How doth M. Baxter resent this Article? Why, 'tis seigned, and (Tilenns) his own abusive language. One would think by these expressions, that the man did discipline the Doctrine. But alas! 'tis onely a copy of his countenance (as shall appeare afterwards;) for the Calvinists do constantly maintain, Such as have once received that Grace, can never fall from it, sinally or totally; Witnesse,

shed, so likewise 'tis impossible that faith, which he hath once imprinted upon the hearts of the Godly, should be lost and perish. In Comment in Mar. 13 20. In Comment. ad Hebr. 6.5. The Blest are out of danger of deadly sin.

1. Calvin. As the Spirit is never extingul-

2. Donteclock. The Elect cannot finally fall from faith. Instit. de Pradest. pag. 101.

3. Mehnius. Justifying faith can never be lost, because it is peremptorily given to the fithfull, in perpetuum. In Anchor: Animarum

pag, 107,

that we can never wholly lofe. In eygn. Cant. 20. 5. Pifcator. It is impossible true believers should fall from the faith, the Decree and federall promise of God withstanding it. In Resp. ad Duplic. Vorstin. pag. 246. & pag. 326. The naturall infirmity of the flesh, whereby they may lose faith, is so restrained and hindered by the absolute and effectuall decree of God, that it cannot break forth into act. Et pag. 238. There is a fatall necessity of the perseverance of the faithfull, because it depends upon Gods absolute Decree. 6. Contra-Remonstrantes. They who have once believed, have no need to feare perdition. In Collat. Hagi. p. 32. 7. Gomarus. They who have received the gifts of faith and charity, --- though in respect of their humane frailty 'tis possible they may totally lose them, yet in respect of the will of God, and his gracious Conservation by his Spirit, 'cis impossible. In Declar. Jontentia sua. pag. 33. 8. D. Damman. The Elect can never fall totally nor finally. De Persever. pag. 169. & pag. 27. We know though the Spirit may be

grieved in the faithfull, yet can he not be

totally excussed or quenched.

4. Whitaker. This is that justifying faith

with its necessary fruits which we maintain,

9, Thy fins. But what is this to the Elect. who though they do fall, yet they cannot but be renewed? Ad Sum. Baron, pag.73. And because M. Baxter calls that addition [ notwithstanding the most enormous sinsthey can commit ] a perverle infinuation ; Behold: the Authors of it are 1 Contra-Remonstrance. It is not time, that they who may fall into grievous finnes, and commit the works of the flesh, may fall wholly from the faith. In Coll. Hag. in 5. Arric. 2. Zanchy. Though by their grievous fins they may trouble the spirit, and weaken faith: yet the Spirit doth not wholly depart from them, nor is faith wholly extinguished. L. Miscel. in depuls Calum. pag. 305. 3. Rennercherus. Those whom God hath once received into favour, their fin and guilt being abolished, them he preferves in his grace as just persons, so that they cannot fall from grace and perish through any sins, because they are and remain pardoned in them. In Catena. cap. 27. 4. Piscator. The tenth head of Doctrine objected to our Divines is, That the Regenerate cannot lose their faith through any heynous sins. But this is the Doctrine that

John teacheth. Contra Schaff. pag. 12.
5. Mehmins. The sonnes of God though they fall into all the fine that Solomon committed, they are alwayes converted before the day of death. In Anthor. Anim. p. 125. 6. Perkins. The foundation of our falvation is laid in the eternall Election of God, fo that a thousand sins, yea, the sins of the whole world, and all the Devils that are in hell, can never make void God's election. It may come to paffe, that fins may harden our hearts, and weaken our faith, and grieve the Spirit of God in us, but they cannot take away faith, nor quite excusse the Holy Spirit. God dorh not condemn any man for finne, whom he hath adopted into the number of his children in Christ Jesus. In dialogo de statu homin. pag 44. 7. D. Damman. The Regenerate heaping up many fins cannot proceed fo far, as to excuffethe Spirit of grace utterly through an universall Apostusie. Et mox; Because this seed of God cannot be ejected but onely by sinne, therefore the Regenerate cannot eject it. De perseverant. pag. 33. C pag. 20. If none can pluck them but of Christ or his fathers hands; Therefore not the Devill nor fin. And pag. 128. The Regenerate when he fins against conscience, he retains so much grace, and hath so

much of Gods favour, that he cannot but rife

question is whether the Elect can alwayes goe on in finne: and pag. 144. The decree of Election doth imprint upon man and his affections an inevitable necessity both of believing, and persevering and therefore we think the righteous do alwayes persevere, and cannot but persevere, pag. 146, and therefore he concludes, they need not consult about their perseverance; nor feare falling from grace, pag. 123. Thus we see the matter of fatt is made evident throughout every one of the Five Articles, and I hope this is more then abundantly sufficient to clear Tilenus from the guilt of the forgery, unworthy falfification, and perverse infinuations, which M. Baxter bath laid to his charge. But Master In Prafat.
Baxter will be ready to object; you know that the Synod of Dort owner'h none of these: and it is that Synod that is the Test of the Calvinists Anti-Arminianisme. How far the Synodowns these Doctrines we shall ex. amine anon. In the interim M. Baxter must not think to escape by telling us, That Synod is the Test of the Calvinists Anti-Arminianism;

again. Item pag. 193. To the objection of Ber-

in mortalifins, then if they alwayes go on in

mortali fin, they shall never die. To this Do-

Hor Damman answers, I grant it. But the

Adeo facile coeunt, qui ther. † Supralaplarians in fatalitatem bbfolutam of all forts, as well as tantu confentium. AnDeus Sublaptarians, conspired ex parte une flatuetur inin this. But it is the Test fipiens,ex altera injustus, fufque deque babent: Salof their Calvinisme that -vo santum fato , Syncrewe are to bring them to; idious Orthodoxus con... And where shall we find Aar. Hoc qui non admittit, etiamfi non nift vefuch a Test, as will secure rissima dicat, in spongiam us of the fincerity of incumbat, de ex albo Orthese mens judgements? ebodozorum deleatur, ne . Calvin himfelf is not .cesse est: Abfalutum Decretum, id off, fatum, such a Test; He somezeffera eft, ex que dignotimes personates the feitur, an quis fit Ortho-Sublaisarian, as the Sydoxus, etiamfi id dicat, unnod of Dore hath drest de necessario consequitur, Deum effe infipientem him up 3 Otherwhiles flulum. mjuftum, Tyranhe Acts the part of a no quotis crudeliorum Supralapfarian, as he is peccari Autborem 😈 st brought upon the stage qua alia ejus generis blas. phema sunt. Exam. Cens. p. 63. h. sive by the Remonstrants: b And Beza treads in the Apol. pro Confes. Revery footsteps of his monfigant. Master in this Art of Act. Synod. ed.in fol. 1. part.p. 203. m. double dealing, as will Apolog. pro Confef. plainly appeare to any **Re**monstr.p. 64. 65.

For that is not in question. Every one may ob.

serve, that the Project which that Synod did

drive at and carried on was, to cry down the

Arminian Cause and Party; and in this the

Synodifis agreed toge-

appeared that there was a great deale of wash and Fuem , of daub-· Deus bone! Vidimus ing and paintry used, at atque experiundo didicithe drawing up the Camus,quanta fili arte,quannons touching the feveto Sudio fentensiam fuam rall Articles, to make incrustare, tegere de calare femper consti fuerint. them look of the same bodiequé adbuc conencomplexion: but if we tur. Viz credo bumanem examine the Doctors, as industriam comminifitylus Daniel did his Elders are poffe, quam comments ∫unt illi mortales, ut-fen\_ part, we shall finde their tentia ipsorum, à sententie opinions to standat push Supralapfariorum differopike one against anore non widerener. ibid. ther For instance. If you would inquire, Whether the Election be necessarily made out of the Corrupt Masse, some of those Divines will tell you it is, and feme, at politively; affirm it is not. That the Decree of Election is of certain men, out of mankind fallen into fin and loft, is collested out of Rom. 9. 15,16. I will have mercy upon whom I will have mercy, and verse 23. The vallets of mercy prepared unto glory, and veries A. the Reprobates are called veffels of wrath. But the wrath of God towards

that shall for his fatisfaction) confult the Re-

monstrants Apology cited in the Margin. Shall we take the Synod of Dors, upon M. Buxter's

bate word, for such a Test? he that would not

be deceived must learn to distrust. Indeed it

men doth presuppose their sin. Rom. 1.18: The wrath of God is revealed from heaven, against the ungodlinesse and unright consesse of men. Alfo Epb. 1, we are faid to be ele-Ged in Christy that we might be holy. Also, we are faid to be predeftinated unto the adoption of children by Jefus Christ; which cannor be faid but with respect to fin. The Belu gick Professors. Alt. Synod. Done. Part. 3: Bag. 4. And the Divines of Zecland, wild pag. 47. That Efection is made out of mankind fallen, is proved out of Rom. 9.15, 16. where the purpole of Election is called, Having merof Mercy. Now mercy supposeth misery. Rom. 11.32 God hath shut up all in unbelief, that he might have merey upon all : and 2 Tim. 1.9. He hath called us according to his purpale and grace &c. That mercy given to us in Christdoth presuppose sin, and shew us the remedysoftig, dail averable band for and But the Deputies of the Synodof South Holland are of ariether judgement, which pag. 34. f. Whether in his election God confidered man as fala, or not fala, they think it not necessary to determine, to that it be concluded, that God confidered all men in a like flate in his election; that the Bleckwere no better then the Non-elect, whother in them-

divided in their judgement here too. The Drent Divines say, that Christ is the foundation of Election, not as he is God, nor as he is man, but ashe is God-man, our head and eternal Redeemer, by whom we are saved: because he, by his merit, hath procured the grace of God for us, and by his spirit he effecteth faith in us, Eph. 1. 4, 5, 6. Art. Syn. Dord. part. 3. pag. 80. f. Thes. 8. And the Has. sen Divines to the same purpose, ibid. part. 2. pag. 25.

But Per. Molin. saith otherwise, ibid. part. 1. pag. 290. m.

Christ as he is man and the mediator, he is

felves, or in Gods gracious estimation. And

and therefore he profest in the open Synod,

that he could not approve of the judgement

of the foure Belgick Professors, concerning

the object of Predestination; because he

thought God did confider manas not faln, in

his predestination of him. Ut fapra in Seff.

107. part. 1. Whereupon he fet down his own

judgement apart by it felf; wherein be makes

mankind simply considered the object of the

II. If you inquire whether Chaift be the

foundation of Election; you will finde them

Dectee. part. 3. pag. 21.

Gomarus is most positive in this opinion;

loved out of Christ; they are at odds here too, for fome of them fay, When we affirme that the love of the Father whereby he chose us, goes in order before the intercession of the Son, our meaning is not, that the elect are beloved of God, out of Christ, For though the love of the Father went before the fending of his Son, yet he never loved us but in confideration of his Son, neither would he ever confer any benefit upon the elect, but in and through his Son.

III. If you inquire, whether the elect be be-

head of the elect, but not the cause of electi-

on; seeing he himself as he is man is elect. He is the meritorious cause of our salvation, and

our Ranfome. But of two alike finfull, he is

not the cause why the one is preferred before the other. The Cause is to be sought in Gods

beneplaciture and free love, which in order

goes before the intercession of the Son. For

the Father sent the Son and gave him to be the Redeemer. This is his Answer to that

Question, [ whether Christ be the Foundation

of Election? ] which is negative.

Pet. Molin, ubi supra. Yet the Synod rejects it as an errour in them, who teach, that Christ neither could nor

and chose unto eternall life, seeing such stood in no med of Christs death. Cap. 2. Reject. 7. pag. 253 pornet. All. Syna Dogd. 200 3 200 la nor and the Crimen of the Position as the constant of the It. If you inquire, whether Reprobation hathe respect, onely; or not at all, to the fall of Mankinde; Theyron division likewise apon this Articles for some of them say, it hath, and others as confidently averre that it hathnot. South Achina . . the lame tending rate g Sibrandus Libbert as faith, We do nottesch that God by his absolute will and decree, without any respect to sin, hath ordained any to damnation. But we fay, God would declare his inflicatin the damnation of the Reptobate; and therefore he would mot appoint any to damnation but for fin. All. Syn. Dord. parts 3-pag. 14- .. And the Divines of Great Britaine lay, Reprobation or Non-election is Gods eternall decree, whereby, for his own most free good pleasure; he determined not to have mercy upon some persons fals in Adam, so farre forth, as to deliver them effectually, from the state of misery, by Christ, and bring them infallibly unto bleffednesse. De Reprobe Thef. I pag. IL part. 2. But Gomarus faith, God had no respect at all to fin, as going before it, in the Decree of

ought to die for those, whom Ged dearly loved:

men, out of universali manking, but to fusier them freely to fall into fin, and to leave them m their fine, and ar last justly to condemn them for their fames. bid. part. 3. pag. 24. Thefuz. And their Deputies of the Synoid of South Holland to the fame fense, making mailind in generall, not confidered as failen, and in the corrupt maffe, the object of the Decree of Election and Reprobation. ibid. pag. 35 p. a land Bury , William to the V. If you inquire concerning the AS of Reprobation: whether it be Negative onely, or Negative and Affirmative also; The Synodiffs are not all of one minde in this point neither. For the Hassien Dovines lay, The Divines of the Reformed Churches don think, we must accurately distinguish between the two Acts of Reprobation, whereof one is negative, viz. The purpose of God not to have mercy, or preterition: The other affirmative, viz. his purpose to damn, or ordination to destruction as a just punishment. All. Syn. Dor. pag. 33. part. 21 And the Chusches of Wedderno. There are

Reprobation. For, soith He, Peremptory Reprobation is the Decree of God, whereby,

for his own most free pleasure, to the declaration of his avenging justice, he determined

to give neither grace nor glory to certain

Non-election, and Damnation, or preparation of punishment. ibid. pag. 40. Thef. 2. item pag. 45. th. 2. But the Divines of Great Britain were of another opinion. For they lay, The proper acts of reprobation as it is opposed to election, we think to be no other, then the deniall of the same glory and the same grace, which are prepared for the children of God in election. And in the Decree of clection, are prepared, for them, Glory and effectuall Grace, and with that intention, that it should be effectuall : that is, that by such grace, they may be brought, infallibly, to the faid Glory. That such Grace and Glory is prepared for the Reprobates, we deny bid pag. 11. A. 111 These differences we observe amongst them in matters that relate to Tilenus his first Atticle. So in reference to the Socond Article; If you inquire, I. Whether Christ hath dyed for All, or onely for the Elett; you will findethem (whatever they feem to fay in the full Synod) according to their Chamber Practice, to contradict one another; For the Divines of Great Britain do determine, That, God, pitying mankind, falo, fent his Son; who gave himself a price of Redemption (or

two acts of Reprobation; Preterition, or

Philanthropy of God, whereby he loves all mankinde fal'n, and ferioufly would have them all to be faved. ibid. pag. 103. Thef. 1. & Th. 8. If this Redemption be not supposed as a common benefit bestowed upon all : that indifferent and promiseuous preaching of the Goipell, committed to the Apostles, to be performed amongst All nations, will have no true foundation. (Et thef 9.) And seeing we abhorre to say this; it is to be considered, how much they speak against most clear and known principles, who, at their pleasure, do plainly deny, that Christ died for all men. Thef 10. Neither will it satisfie to propose such a sufficiency, as might be enough; but such as is altogether enough in God's and Christ's account. For otherwile the command and promile of the Gospell will be overthrown. For ( Thef? II. ) from a benefit, that is lifficient moded, but not deligned for me by a true intention, how can there be deduced a mecessity of my believing it, to belong unto mer And Thef. 26 he gives the chief Reasons which induced him to be of this opinion, which are three. . That the Seriptures might be reconciled without wresting. a, That

Ranfome) for the fins of the wholeworld.

Article, doth resolve thus. There is a certain

Martinius giving in his Suffrage, upon this

Atta Syn. Dor. pag. 78. Thef. 3. part. 2.

threatnings of the Gospell, might be preserved; lest by these God should be thought to will and do something otherwise then the words signifie.

3. That it may be manifest, that the blame of the destruction of the wicked may be in shemselves, not in the desect of a remedy, by which they might be saved. Thus Martinima sent to the Synod from Breme, Att. Syn. Dord. part. 2. page. 104. Go.

And Ludovicus Crocsus another of the Bremish Divines, sets down his opinion somewhat to the same purpose, though not so

2. That the Glory of Gods truth, mercy

and justice, in the commands, promifes and

But the Divines of the Palatinate were of another judgement; for they say; That the general love of God to sinners is remarkable. But that Love is more excellent, which moved God to give his Son to save its from our sins. This is not general but specially not common to all and every man, but proper to

well, or so fully (as M. Bazzer doth intimate)

And the Divines of Geneva, to the same purpose; Christ, out of the Fathers good pleasure merely, was destined and given to be Mediator and Head to a certain number of men, constituting his body Mysticall, by

For these, Christ, who best understood his own office, would and decreed to die, and to addethe infinite price of his death, a fingular and most effectuall intention of his will. And Ifelburg faith, Christ died, or laid down his life, for all and every one of his elect sheep or Faithfull, and in their stead, and for their good onely. Ibid. pag 111. The . 3. And the Ministers of Emden say, Christ according to the intention, countil and decree of his Father, died onely for the Elect. Ibid. pag. 119. 9.4. The Belgie Professors say, If you consider the proper end, and the fingular and faving efficacie of Christ's death, we affirm that, according to his Fathers and his own counfil, Jesus Christ died, not for the Reprobates and those that perish, but onely for the Elect, and those that dobelieve. All. Syn. Der. part. 3. pag. 88.f. The Brethren of North-Holland fay, The Scripture faith Christ died for All, that is, for All the Elect out of all forts of men. Ibid. p. 107. Op. 108. They say, That of the Remonstrants is falle, that the intention of the Father, delivering his Son to death, and of the Son in undergoing death, was, that by the same he might save all and every one, though

Gods election. Thef. 1. pag, 100. & Thef. 2.

gements (tuch as they are), against Christ's death for all, ibid. pag. Dea, These & 3.

If Christpaid a price of Redemption for all and every man, then All and every one ought to be saved, and none to perish.

But this is false &c.

If reconciliation with God, and remission of sins be impetrated for all and every man.

through the fault of many of them the mat-

The Brethren of Zieland offer these Ar-

ter happens otherwife.

their the word of reconciliation is allow be preached to all and every one. But the Confequent is falle. Ergo.

The Deputies of the Synod of Graningen fay, we do believe, that according to the Eguther's intention, delivering his Son to death.

and the Son's, in luftering it, reconciliation with God and remillion of his is obtained onely for the elect. Ihid, p. 1.38.

The Deputies of the Synod of Godfor Relgion fay, That according to the Scripture. Christ really died for none, but believers. And the will of the Father in lending his Son, and of

the Son in dying was no other. Pag. 191.
The Late of the Synod of Gelderland, finaliconclude this part of the contradiction;

and the Reader shall have their very Syllogifmes, that he may learn Logick with his Di-

fed by the death of Christ, for them Christ died. But God ealis not every manito felvation purchased by the death of Christ. Therefore Christ decenor formall. . ... 1011 101. Whomfoever God commands to believe in Christ crueified, for them Christ dyed. God. does not command every man to believe in Christ crucified. Ergo. shid. pag. 93. II. If we inquire who then the Loopetration of Reconciliation be to be separated from the Application of it, we find them at Boy's plays at Heads and Hollies, here too; for some are for the Affirmative; as Marinius. That external Calling doth necessity requires as going before it; thefer things the promite and fending of the Son, and the paying of a Ranfome to take away. fin, and God lo appealed, that he requires no other facrifice of any man for fin, being cons tent with that montfull one (of Christs,) and flat there be no need of any other marit. or fatisfaction, for manesteconciliation, in ther (which ought to be done in all remedies) there be an application of the medicine. Acha Syn. Dord. part. 2. p. 104. Thes. 7. 6 thes. 23. Christ hathemorited for all God's favour, to be really obtained, if they do believe, and for by Gods favour righteonfnelle and life. (and The (. 14.) This his favour God commonly

Whofoever God calls to falvation, purcha-

Son in his own power, as being given and propounded, not by us, but by himfelfe) he takes order it shall be published, to whom he pleales, and especially by that means it is effectuall, in whom, when, and how far he pleases: & Thef. 25. Hence it is mamfest, that the merit or impetration, and the application, are and are not, of an equall latitude, in a diverse reipect. Ludovicus Crocius is of the fame judgement too. Vid. ibid. pag. 117. Thef. 2, But these two great lights of the Church of Breme (as far as I can observe, for the prefent) are eclipfed by the Negative Suffrages of all the rest of that Synod. But first let us beare the judgement of Peter Moulin, inferted amongst the Acts of the Synod, Part. 1. PAF. 292. The Selbaries, faith He, pretend, that Christ by his death, hath impetrated reconciliation and remillion of fine for all and every man: which is prest with so many Inconveniences, faith He, and draws to many wicked abfurdities after it, that it is a wonder, they can firive against it. Et paulo post: God is manifestly illuded by this Doctrine: and after, Thus God is not onely illuded, hue

declareth by his Gospel: which notwithstanding (because he keeps the merits of his

it is an evident errour in the Remonstrants, that they do divide and fever the impetration, and application of the benefits of Christ, according to severall objects: and not judge them to be applyed to all those for whom they are impetrated. Act. Syn. Dord. part. 2. pag. 87. To the same purpose, the Helvetians, pag. 94. thef. 3. and they in the Name of the Ghurches of Wedderav. pag. 97. thef. 2. So the Divines of Geneva, pag. 103. thef. 7,8. And If elburg contradicts his brethren before mentioned; for he faith, Whomfoever Christ bath reconciled to his Father by his death, to all them and to them onely, be doth apply, truly s certainly and absolutely, that imperrated reconciliation with God, and the remission of all their fine, ibid.pag. 113, thef. g. See also Thef. 4, 6, 7, 8. So the Ministers of Embden. pag. 119. quaft. 5. And amongst the Provincials Divines, fee to this sense pag. 105. p. 109. m. 113.m. Gc. 134, 135, 137. f. 140. Thes. 3, 4. 145. p.p. 191. Thef. 3. ( Part. 3.) in folio-III. If you irquire of those Divines whether all that heare the Holy Gospell be com-

He himself is seigned to illude mankind. And, in short, he concludes, that this impatration

(as diffinguished from the Application) is

The Divines of the Palatinate say, That,

vain and ridiculous.

manded to believe in Christ, or no; they are not agreed in this, (which is one of the chief) fundamentall Articles; They are yea and nay here too. For the Synod faith, (Cap. 2. Art. 5.) It is the promise of the Gospell, That who sever believes in Christ Crucified, should not perish, but have tife everlasting: which promile together with the injunction of repentance: and faith, ought promifcuoufly and without diffinction, to be declared and published to all men and people, to whom God in his good pleasure sends the Gospel. Att. Syn. Dord. part. 1. pag. 252. But B. Moulin was of another judgement (ibid. 294.) The Sectaries, saith he, stealwayes up with this Argument's What all are bound to believe; is true. But that Christ dyed for them, all are bound to believe. Therefore, it is true. The Minor of the Syllogifms is faile; laith D. Moulin. And some of those, who subscribed the Former Article, have declared themselves of another judgement in their fingle Suffrages. As the Deputies of the Synod of Gelderland, who argue thus, Whomfoever God commands to believe in Christ crucified, for them Christ dyed-. God doth nor command every man to believe in Christ Crucified. Therefore Christ

fame doctrine still, in thele words; They onely whom Christ calls unto him, they onely are commanded to come unto him, that is, to be. lieve in him! Christ calls unto AB Synod. him onely the thirsty, the labou-Dort. part. ring, burdened, fuch as acknow-3. Pdg. 93. f. (7 pag. 200. a. m. ledge their own blindnesse, want and nakednesse. Therefore---Hereapon we do not command all and afteri promisesoully to believe in Christs though! we presse this also, that they cannot enter into life eternall, nor be delivered from fin, the wrath of God and damnation, but through Christianely, the real afficiency (196 by : Again, in Questions relating to the shind and fourth Articles, you will be as far to feek, if: you have recourse to their judgement for a Resolution. For therein they cannot agree upon a verdilt, how ever they made a shift to shiftle up their Ganan and Rejections. For inquire of them, whether the unregenerate have joner to understand the sense of Holy Scripture? The Divines of Great Britain do affirm it: To certain of the Non-Elect there is 42. 5. v granted a kind of supernatural part, 2. pag. illumination, by vertue whereof. they may understand abe contents of Gods word to be true, and yield an un-

dyed not for every man. They have forme-

what a better Syllogisme following, but the

fain, by nature though corrupt, can hear the word of God, understand, believe it to be true, and infome measure be affected with it. To which purpose Paul treats in the first and second Chapters to the Romans. And this also appears from hence, in that the Devils themselves who are fain, more foully then man, and wholly destitute of Gods grace, yet can do these things. Luk 14.13. Act. 10.17. Jam. 2. 19. We therefore grant, in a found sense, what the Remonstrants say, that by the Dord. parr. Arength of freewill men may at- f. tentively hear and read the Gospel revealed. But the judgement of the Brethren of North-Holland is otherwise; for they say, That the blindnesse of mans mind in spirituall matters is so great, that although the Gospel be preached to him, yet without the internall illumination of the Holy Spirit, he cannot understand the sense of Scri-Ibid. paz. pture, necessary to be known, be-175. 6 P. lieved, hoped in, and practifed. 170.19. And the Deputies of Over- Isle! tay, The understanding being blind, by its naturall power, without some other, and that a supernaturall or spirituall light, it can by no means comprehend the good that is re-

feigned affent unto them. De 5. Artic. Thef.

1. And the Divines of Drent say, That man

hath nothing in him, whereby he is able to dispose himself unto supernatural good. The Holy Ghost doth expressly deny, that man, by the light and gifts of nature Ibid 1.206, remaining in him, may be raised Bidp.219. to the true knowledge of God. To the same purpose do the Deputies of the Synod of Gallo-Belgia expresse themselves; In the state of fin, fay they, the naturall man is blinde; and perceiveth not the things of the Spirit of God; and that not onely because he is unable to find them out before their Revelation; but also because after they are revealed to him, he cannot favingly understand, or yield assent unto them, without the internall illumination of the Holy Spirit and Speciall grace. Again if you inquire, whether the unregenerate be able to do any thing, that may conduce to their comfort in gaining assurance of their election and regeneration; The Synod doth at least imply it, in the Chapter of Predestination Artic. 16. where they say, That those, who do not as yet effectually perceive in themfelves a lively faith, or a fure confidence of heart in Christ &c. such as these ought not to be cast down at the mention of

vealed by the Gospell. And the Deputies of

the Synod of Groning do affirm, That an unregenerate man, that is, confidered in the fall,

ward in the use of those means, by which, God hath promised, that he will work these things in us, and ardently defire and humbly and reverently expect the good hour of more plentifull grace. If this doth not imply a power in them to doe to, as is here directed, they do but triffe to no purpose but to blind the Reader. That they speak here of the unregenerate appears, 1. Because they propound the case of the Regenerate, in the infancy of their Regeneration, in the words following, and fure they would not be guilty of so groffe a Tautology, as to repeat the same thing twice in one Article. 2. Because if this doth not concerne the unregenerate, there is no light of hope in the Article, no not fo much as for the Elect, that are yet in an unregenerate state; and furely they would not be fo negligent as to omit provision for their incouragement unto duty, which therefore must needs be this, they must use the means, &c. And yet to affert that the unregenerate hath any fuch power, this is rejected as an errour, that had troubled the Churches, &c. For that an unregenerate man is not properly, nor totally dead in sins, nor destitute of all strength tending to spirituall good, but that he is able to hunger and

Reprobation, nor reckon themselves among

the reprobate; but must diligently go for-

je&t. 4. Again, if you inquire, whether a man can do more good then he doth, or omit more evill then he omitteth; The British Divines affirme he can, whether you understand it of the unregenerate, or All. Synod. Dor. part. 2. of the regenerate. But the De-14g. 135. puties of the Synod of Gelderland, do deny it; for they Anîb. part. 3. swer thus. Per Gratiam Dei .po-Pag. 164. rest. He may do it by the grace of God: for he can do all things through Christ that strengthens him. But without the grace of Godhecando no fach thing; and he that faith the contrary, He is injurious to the grace of God on one side, and on the other side he falls into plain Pelagianisme, as they say. Here observe, the question is propounded by them concerning the Regenerate, one in a state of Grace, and supposed to be under the influences of the divine assistance according to that state, whether such an one can do more good then he doth, or omir more evil then he omitteth. Now they do not answer directly and positively, that he can, but with this addition, By the Grace of God, he can do it; which is an infinuation of the negative, that he cannot without a further measure of

thirst after righteousnesse; or everlasting life, Oc. This they reject. Chap. 3. G. 4. Re-

regenerated, He is upposed to stand, as was said before.

Again, if we have recourse to this Oracle at Dort, to be informed; whether the New Covenant be made with all and every man, and consequently, whether the Promises of the Gospel be Generall; Their Decisions are off and on here too; videtur quad sic, and probatur quad non; For example

The Divines of Great Brutain do affirm, That there is an Universall promisenous Pro-

Grace superadded, to that; wherein, being

merit of Christs death. De Act. Part. 2. pag. 2. Thef. 4. & Thef. 5. They fay,
That according to this promise salvation is offered unto all.
And Martinius saith, That there is a common (or general) love of God towards all mankind saln, and that God seriously would have all men to be saved. De Art. 2. Thef. 1. And accordingly, He saith, there is a promiscuous calling allotted to the Elect and Reprobate, Thef. 2. And a common ex-

fame purpole.

The Belgick Professors do affirm; That it is not denyed by the Orthodox, but to whome foever the Gospel is preached, the Ransome

ecution (or exhibition) of Grace. Ikef. 6.

See the rest, cited out of him above, to the

and 123. As concerning the new Covenant's being e-Stablisht with every man; we answer, that whether God could do it or no, we will not dispute: but that he would so chablish it, we denv. Of the same judgement are the Deputies of the Churches of Friesland. Ibid. 130. And the whole Synodice ects it as an error in them that teach, That all men are received into the state of reconciliation and grace of the Covenant, so that no body shall be condemned for Originall fin, nor, in respect of it, be hable to death or damnation, but that all are acquitted and freed from the guilt of that lin-Cap. 2. Rej. 5. pag. 253. Let us ask them another Question, viz. Whether God wills seriously, that All men be faved? Some lay, yes; As

of Christ, as such, is to be declared indiffe-

rently, and offered in Christs name, and that

feriously, and according to the counsil of the

Father, Go. Adt. Syn. Dord. par. 3. pag.

thren of Otrecht fay, The promifes of the Go-

spel are universall, but not simply, as belong-

ing to every one of all kinds, but to all and

every believer and elect person. Ibid. pag. 118.

But all are not of this mind. For the Bre-

88 m.

Martinius, who faith, There is a common Love of God towards all mankinde being faln, whereby he would ferioufly have all men to be laved. D. Art. 2, Thef. 1. And the Divines of Wedderau; God in calling the Reprobate, wills their conversion and salvation seriously, with a will approving it, though not effecting it. Ibid. pag. 192. Lubbertus faith, We do not teach, that God simply would not have all men to be converred and faved; neither do we reach that God feigneth, or would not ferioufly the conversion of al, or that he hath contradictory wills. But we teach that he seriously wills all mens conversion and salvation in respect of approbation and his rejoycing (in it.) Act. Sym. Dor. par. 3. p. 13. m. But the Divines of Embden, on the contrary, fay, Hence arifeth another question, Whether God according to his good pleafure, feriously wills that all men should be sived. The Remonstrants affirm it, every where. But we deny it. Part. 2. pag. 74. Quaft. 13. The Deputies of the Synod of Groning, fay, That generall will 'of God ) desiring and intending the conversion and salvation of all, is the fiction of mans brain, and transformes God into an impotent man, who defires that all men should be saved, but is not able to effect it. I bid pig. 7 . p.m.

Shall we try these Divines with another question; Whether the Reprobates becalled unso [alvation fersoull], or no? Sibrard Lubbersus, is for the Affirmative; as was now alleadged; and so are the Synod in their Decrees, where they say, That, as many as are called by the Gospel, are called feriously. For God by his word doth ferioully and most truly declare, what is acceptable to him, namely that those that are called, come unto him t and moreover doth ferioufly promife to all fuch, as come to him, and believe in him, rest for their soules, and life eternall. Cap. 3. & 4. Art. 8. The British Divines say, Those whom God doth thus affect by his Spirit, using the means of his Word, those he doth truly and ferioully call and invite to faith and conversion. Do. 3. & 4. Art. Th. 3. p. 128. & in explicat. We must judge, by the nature of the benefit offered, and the cleare word of God, of shofe helps of grace, which are administred unto men, and not by the abuse and events Seeing therefore, that the Gospel, according to its own nature, cals men to repentance and

falvation, seeing the excitations of Divine grace tend the same way, we must conclude, that God doth nothing here seignedly. This is proved by those serious and patheticall Beseechings. 2 Cor. 5. 2. (19.) We beseech you

unto God. Exhertations, 2 Cor. 6. 1. We exhort you not to receive the grace of God in vaine. Expostulations. Gal. 1 6. I wonder you are to foon removed from him that bath called you unto the grace of Christ. Promises. Apoc 3. 20. Behold, I stand at the doore and knock, if any man heare my voice and open the doore, I will come in unto him , Ġe. But this Doctrine will not down with the Deputies of the Synod of Gelderland: we had their invincible Syllogifmes before, whereof the Minors are these. 1. God calls not all men to Salvation. 2. God doth not command every man to believe in Christ: 3. Christ calls unto him the thirfty &c. onely. But they deliver their mind more roundly, upon the third Article: For whereas the Remonstrants do argue, that these two things are very repugnant, That God would have a man to be faved, and yet should not give him what is necessary to enable him to convert and believe, (without which salvation is not to be had.) To this they Reply, That it feems no leffe repugnant to them, that God should call one. to salvation, and yet not communicate salvation to him. Whence we conclude (fay they) Seeing God does not give to all that are called that which is necessary, that they might

in Christs stead, that ye would be reconciled

he would), therefore he would not communicate salvation unto all men, and therefore neither would he call unto faivation All, that are outwardly called : nay feeing God calls All to falvation, whom he calls; therefore as many as are not called unto falvation, are not called of God; that is to fay, those, to whom he will not give, what is necessary for them, that they may convert and believe . Et mox. And by this means we shall preserve the Dignity of the (Divine ) Calling. All. Syn. Dord. part. 3. pag. 163. To this agrees the Declaration of the Judgement of the Deputies of Graningen; The found of Preaching doth promitcuously reach the ears of all men; but in effect it calls them onely whom God bath elected to eternall life. For Vocation doth properly belong to them by God's Ordination. Ibid. pag. 208.p. May we take the confidence to examine their sweet according one thing more; Are any dispositions required unto Faith and Conversion, or not? What say those Divines to this Probleme ? There are fome externall works ordinarily required of men, before they be brought to the state of Regeneration or Conversion, which are wont sometimes to be freely done,

convert and believe ( and he could give it if

rish Divines. Part. 2. pag. 128. Thef. 1. O Thef. 2. They fay, There are foure internall effects, prævious to Conversion or Regenerarion, which are flirred up by the power of the Word and Spirit, in the hearts of such as are not yet justified; such are the knowledge of the Divine will, sense of Sin, fear of Pain, thinking of Deliverance, some hope of Pardon. And pag. 131. This Spirituall Firth doth presuppose the soule to be stirred up by the Spirit, using the organ or instrument of the Word. To the like purpose, do the Divines of the Palatinate deliver their Judgement, in this Point. 'Tis certain, they fay, that fome Acts of Sorrow, Contrition, Acknowledgement of fin, &c. do precede Faith and Conversion in a man that is to be regenerated; whiles by the Ministery of the Law and Gospel he is preparcel to receive Grace. Ibid. pag. 13.7.p. And so the Divines of Geneva; The falutary sense and feeling of fin, joyned with a thirst after the remedy, a good hope, foftnesse of heart, hatred of fin, and flying unto God, these are latent effects of the holy Spirit, preparing and drawing a man by little and little to the grace of Justification, and unto Regeneration. Ibid.

fometimes freely to be omitted of them; as,

to go to Church, to heare the Word, and fuch like. This is the judgement of the Brit-

But with the Hassian Divines this is pure Remonstrant of Arminian Doctrine; and therefore they reject this as Heterodox; That a man in the state of sin, before Fauch and the Spirit of Renovation, hath, or may have any zeale, care or study, to obtain Salvation; and that he may heare God's word, be grieved for sin, defire saving grace, and the Spirit of Renovation; and that this is most profitable and most necessary to the obtaining of Faith and the Spirit of Renovation, as the Remonstrants do expresty teach. Also, That a man in the state of sin, before his Regeneration and Vivification, hath the knowledge of his (spirituall) death, grief and sorrow for it, desire of deliverance, bunger and thirst after life, likewise confession of fins, contrition, initiall fear, &c. as our Breibren the Remonstrants, Speak at the Conference at the Hague. All this they reject. Ibid. p. 144. Rejest. 6. The Divines of Embden are of the same judgement. Vid. ibid. pag. 178. Quast. 13. 🛒 Those of Ucreche say, The heart and asfections of an unregenerate man are quite corrupt; fo that till he be regenerate, he cannot bunger after the falutary grace of God, and newnesse of life, nor desire deliverance from fin, nor beg the Spirit of Regeneration. Pari. 3. pag. 184. This. 6. The Deputies of the Synod of Groningen,

deliver themselves to the same sense too. Ib. pag. 73. p. But it is high time to explore the judges ment of these Divines in some sew points relating to the Fifth and last Article. Touching which, the first thing I shall propound for the Reader's satisfaction, shall be, Whether Perseverance be a Condition of the Covenant, jea or no? The Divines of the 2 Judic. de Palainate a say, That Perseve-Artic. quinvance is God's gift. But the Re to inter Jud. monstrants are decerved and do de-Thisexter. p. ceive, in that they think Perfeve-206. 4. m. runce, being reckoned an effect of Election and a gift of God, cannot be a Condition of the Covenint, commanded by God; and to be performed freely by us: As if thefe

were inconsistent and repugnant, being raiben subordinate and very Consentaneous. For God, who in the New Covenant prescribes the condition of Perseverance, to all the adult, that are in Covenant, and by that prescript requires it, doth not lease it suspended upon the strongth of their free will, but doth effectually work and produce it in them.

That it is a condition and un- b Judic. de der command, though it be the S. Artister

gift of God, is the acknowledge-

ment of the b Belgick Professors,

3rd. Th. pro

p. 221.4.m

and of the Brethren of Virechic, flbid. pag. and the Divines of Drenida: But 252.Tb.2. this Doctime is rejected by the 4 Ibid-273. Tb. 4. whole Synod in their First Reje-S All. Syn. Ction , upon the fifth head of 1. pert. pag. Ductine. And the Divines of 268. 2b. 1. Embden f do decermine thus, Per-£ 18.2.\$477. stretantia non est Conditio N. P. pag. 246. q. id est, Perseverance is not a Condi-3, tion of the New Covenant, pra-required to be performed by men, that the promise of the New Covenant may be fore : but it is the very gift of the New Covenant, which God hath promised to bestow freely upon his Elect. 11b. p. 201. See to the like sense the Judge-Th. 2. 16. p. 226. ments of the Divines of Great Britaine 8, and Geneva h. **Z**b.2. 2. Suppose we should propound this eahe Quellion, Whether Salvation or eternall bife, be the remard of Faith, or onely sheend of it? This Question, one would think so clear-Iy and peremptorily resolved in Scripture, that wife men could not disagree in the Solution of it; yet behold! here we have pro, and con, too. Salus in Credentibus & Præmium est fidei 2 Tim. 4, 8. & Finis. 1 Pet. 1. 8. So faith Gomarus, the great Supralap∫arian i. 1 AU.Sy. 3. That is, Salvation in the Believers, part. Pac. is as well the reward of faith as 21. f. the end of it. Yet the Deputies

tance freely, so are we freely also put into the possession of that inheritance. Therefore it is ill said, that eternall life, as a reward, is decreed and given by God to those that fulfill the conditions which he bath prescribed. For to give life as a reward, upon the performance of a conduion, neon which, that life was decreed, as a remard, (this) is to give life not altogether freely and of good pleasure, but of debt. 3. Let us inquire of them, Whether a Temo porary faith be a true faith, or enely hypocriticall? What do they refolve of this? The British Divines say 1, The Non-E-1 Sententia lect may give an unfeigned affent to De Aith s. the Gospel. The feed which fell upexplic thef. on frony ground, Luk. 8.13. doth de-1. A . Syn. part. 2. pag. note those bearers which believe for 189. **p.** a time, that is, which affent to the Divine Revelations, especially to the Evange. licall Covenant: And that this Affent was nnfeigned is evident, in that the Word was receiwed with joy. Simon Magus, Act. 8. 12. believed Philip evangelizing the things that appertained anto the Kingdome of God, and gave a Testimony of his Faith by receiving the Sucrament of Bapusme. Hymenæus and Alexander

of the Synod of Gelderland k will

not admit of this, His grain film

fimus, &c. That is, As we are

made sonnes and obtain the right of the inheri-

k Ibid. pag.

30. p.

connterfeit or seigned, but a true one. For he. is not to be blamed, that falls off from an hypecriticall faith: neighbor is (hipporack made of a fergred faith, but a detellion and discovery: neither can a man be shipwrackt, unlesse he were truly in the ship, &c. Thus our Learned Divines, and some others with them. But others of them, and by name the = All. Syn\_ Deputies of Over-Iffelm, are of, 3. part.pag. another judgement, for they fay, 277.p.p. Quale discrimenest inter hypocriram, & verum Christianum, tale quoque est inser fidem temporariam & salvificam. Look what difference there is betwixt a true Christian and an Hypocrite, the same difference there is betwixt a temporary and a faving Faith. If you inquire of them, Whether Faith. may be lost or no; here they divide themselves and their opinions. Some say the Act. may be loft, but not the Habit. Others, do. maintain that not the Habit nor the Alt neither. That the Habit, the seed, the root, the Spirit of Faith may be lost, we deny. That the Aft, the trust, the comfort may be cut off, and that totally, though not finally, that we grant, say the Divines of Gelderland n. And so the Ds 5. Artis, All. Syn. Dor. 3. part, pag. 228. f.

made shipwrack of the faith, not that which is.

31 the allurements of the world, and the malignant power of the flesh, it may be represt, interrupted, and as it were suffocated for a time. But as to the Habit of Faith, which is not a transient but an immanent AA, infused into us of the Holy Ghost, whereby our bearts are parified, and we united as mimbers to Christ our head, and quickened by his Spirit, me deny st. But the Divines of Drest pare of opinion, that whether you fpeak P Ibid. pag, of the Ait or of the Habit of 275-p.Quid vejar, qua Faith, neither of them can be lost minus Sanamongst such rubbish as the works Bi,dvm ipfa of the flesh. The Saints may edualia deccommit fins and groffe ones, prey cata, or gui\_ dem लउग्रिक upon the Carkaffe of corruption, ra, comitand yet keep their faith, the mean tant , fimuwhile, upon wing, and in action. achum fide) Sentiant ! &c. 5. If you inquire into the nature of those fins, which it is possible for the Faithfull to fallinto, They will tell you, They have no fuch Amuler, or charme, as can keep them absolutely secure and free from the shot, darts or impressions of their Ghossly enemies. They are liable not onely to be affaulted, but foiled also as well as others; and to fall into

Deputies of Frieslando; As to

the Act of Faith, we easily grant,

that through the frauds of Satan,

· Ibid. pag.

261. Thef.

fuch horrid fins as do most grievously wound: and directly wast the conscience \*, \* See All, In atrocia, in gravia, in gravissi-Syn. Dor.2. ma peccara, as the Brittish Dipail. Pog. vines have it. In graviora & (ed in fol.) arrociora contra conscientiam, 29 192. ih. 3. 202.f.208. they of the Palatinate; in gravifa. m. 216. fima & atrocissima, as those of **p**. p. 219 f. Hossia; in gravia & enormia рес-222. Ib. 3. cata--ita ut conscientiam gra-233.tbef.9, 10, II. *G* vissime ladant, as those of Helvepart, 3. pag. tia; in atrocia peceata, adeoque 253. p.275. Conscientiam sibi vastant, as those p. See also from the correspondence of Wed-**P**ert, 1. p. 286. **De** derau. Possant quidem in atrocia Perfever. O conscientiam directé vastantia Sänä. Ayt. scelera, incidere, as the Divines 5. of Drent; and as the effects here-Of, angeres & pavores (onscientie patiantur; they may feel and fuffer the anguish and horror of Conscience, as the Divines of Breme conclude; yet a many of them do account these but slips, and fins of infirmitie onely. As Sibrandus Lubbertus 🍳 implies in 2 AH. Syn. these words; Essi regeniti ali-Der part. 3. quando ex infirmitate labuntur, & P.227.P. fides illorum deliquium paritor, & c. And this Saffrage of his was sublerised by Polyander, Gomarus, Thysius, and Waleus. So the 216.9.237.f. Brethren of North-Holland b,

Scatuumt ex Des Verbo, vere fideles, esfiex. imbecillitate carnis nonnunguem labantur & in pectata conscientiam graviter ledentia incidant, non tamen posse ad Mortem peccare &c. So the Divines of Drent \*do hold, that e Ibid. - pags 275: P. the Saints may act groffe fins, and their Christian saving faith together, Quia ex infirmitate tamum, fine desperatione pectant. Ubi supra. Lastly, if you demand of them, what condition a faithfull man brings himself into, by committing such horrid wasting sins; They will tell you, He contracts a dam-See for this nuble guilt, whereby (1.) be loseth AB. Syn. his present apritude to enter into the Dor. 2.9412 king dome of Heaven, (1.) he hash p. 9. p. m· 192, 193. reason to feare, and that deservedly, 194, tb. 3, the Divine wrath and revenging 415, ∮. cum, justice, (3.) that he stands as a perexplicat. 💇 son, by his own depierit, to be dumþ. 202. lb. 5 · **ex)**.244 • ned; so that should be now die, be-15.54,56, fore he hath obtained hit pardon, sbrough his renewed. Alls of Faish and Repentance, and be altually absolved, it were impossible but he should perise: Notwithstanding (fo great a Paradex is in this Divinity) they tell you, That, at the same instant, the sted of Regeneration with all fundamentall gifts, without which the state of Regeneration cannot possibly consist, are preserved safe and

found in him, so that he hath a faving faith, and the Holy Spirit, and God's speciall favour; infomuch that his univerfall justification, state of Adoption, and right to the kingdome of Heaven, do yet semain uncancel'd, un violated, and immovable. By what hath been discovered, in this our foruting into their different judgements and opinions, the Reader may conclude what an excellent Test, Master Baxter commend's unto us for Triall of the fight strain or Tinsture of the Calvinists Doctrines. A Test that allows all for currant, that is any way opposite to the Doctrine of the Remonstrants, especially that which stands at the greatest distance to. it. And though as contrary as black and white, 'ewill fet off the work the better, in that it is chequered with such a variegation of opinions. A Test whereby Master Barlee will be approved for an ORTHO-DORT Divine as well, as Master Baxter. But I foresee this will not satisfie M. Baxter. He will yet expostulate; Why In his Preshould you charge a Party with the face, Selliopimons of a very few, which upon 0R 5. greatest deliberation in a Synod, the Party will not own? To this it may truly be replyed, that there were and are more then a very few, of those opinions, and they owned by that Party you speak of, and both

far from being redreffed, that they were not toucht upon at least, if not countenanced by that Synod; which we shall examine further in the sequel. In the mean while, we may confider, how happy it had been, if M. Baxter for the prevention of the mischief that hath enfued, had been amongst them, with his power of Sequestration, to have removed as many as would not have been of one (that is of bis, if that be one) minde; though thereupon he had left a very thin unanimous Assembly. But that same [Suffragium] breathing hos and cold, according to the temper of the severall Chmates (and sometimes the Phantalies of fingle persons ) from whence it came, raised to many crosse winds, that they made the Sea of Dort tumulmous and troublesome, and occasioned a Naufragium of that whole designe; which the Decrees singly by themselves might have passed over with lesse diflurbance or observation. In good earnest, after much inquiry, I find, tis a very hard matter to understand what metall Master Baxter's Test is made of. I confesse they seem to allow the Sublapsarian Doctrine as the most Current and Passable amongst the Vulgar : but I do not finde them

joyned together in a confederation, to root

out another Party, that complained of the enormitie of those opinions, which were to feit. That these are no lesse pure and Orthodox then the other by that account, we have many prefumptions to induce us to believe. For, first we know, Kissing goes by lavour; and we find men wedded to the most rigid of those Opinions were embraced, and placed upon the Besch, while the Remonstrants, who detected their enormitie, were brought unto the Barre; not permitted duely and fully to implead them, or justifie themselves 2. What was, at least the Pretended, business of their Convention? That those opinions of Arminius, and his followers might AH. Syn. be accurately examined, and determi-Nat. Dor. ned of by the Rule of Gods Word Self. 140. onely, the true Destrine established, pag. 285. onely, the true Destrine established, and the false rejected, and concord, pari, T. peace and cranquillity ( by Gods bleffing ) restored to the Churches of the Low-Countries. This was the end of their Convention. But what opinious were they, that gave the Scandall to Arminius and his followers? Were they not those of the rigid Calvinifis? and who were the Authors of that disturbance, but those petulant Parsons, that would not endure the Prescription of the wife Physitian, nor suffer their Soars and Ulcers to be lanced? 'Tis true, The weakest must to

try down the Supralaffarian, no, not the Supra-treatarian neither, as drofte or counterthe wall, and when 'cle per to the Quettion' Who they are that i ouble Ifrael? to be fitte the Oppressor will have the casting voice. But if the Character (inferted in the Illi, feilic**e** Margin ) be true, which Grevin-Religionis chovins hath given of them, I shall ergő, alii referre it to the judgement of the ministeriis fuis ameti. Reader, whether it doth not more alif proferithen a little resemble a Disturber pii, relega. both of Church and State. ei, extori es , dyc. Nempe Hillevius Alemaria dyc. Tu quoque aliique sui similes, aut libellis infames, aut concionebus tribunitiis, Conventiculis, schismate, seditione ac rebellione adversus Illuft. Ordd. Decreta, ac Magistratuum Edilla, infignes : Hos tu totidem quafi veligionis ac professionis vestra Martyres babe, de in Cunonem refer; non invideo; nec vebs. memer nego, si quidemista est religio, Populum mendeciio splendidis decipere, ac dementatum in Pasiores ac Superiores suos convitare: in alienm Ecclesion ac Ministeria involare ( quod tu de Samuele & Antipa, Borriis vesiris agnofeit) Loca publica per vim occupare, Claustra publico st. gillo munita effringere. Senaiui vim inferes, Ordd. Edi-Eta arque Interdicia palam violare, omnia turbare: Has dum vobis impune licent, Superiorum five indulgentia, five mein, jam iftos videre est precurium in vos lasperium trabere: At si bac non succedit via, si corundem anthoritate, toties lesa, ista maledicendi ac melefeciendi libido vestra coercetur, serocitas comprimitur, tuique unius vel alterius exemplo alii deterrentur, fiftuntur, & ut verbo dicam, cuneus cuneo pellitur 3 tum verd vos audire eft, vim ac perfecutionem, quam alife intentaftis, quiritantes,

Martyria vestra pradicare. Gravinch. Absterfio. Ca-

lumn, Adr. Smoutii, pag. 42.

But the impartiall Synod is Assembled, and apon the invocation of Gods holy name bound by Oath, that they would hold the Sacred Scripenre as the onely rule of their verdict, and demeane themselves in the hearing and decermining of this cause with a good and upright Conficience. And in the Frontispice AH. Syn. of every Chapter of the Decrees ubi supra. or Canons, they insert this Title, ARejection of the Errors wherewith the Churches of the Low Countries have now along time been troubled. Would not any man expect (upon to folemne an undertaking) especially having made it their method, as well to reject such Errors, as to assert their own Do-Arine, that these should be rejected, amongst the relt, that teach, Reprobation to be decreed, in order of nature, before Creation: The greatest part of mankinde to be created to destruction; That by the force of Gods irrelistible Decree, it is impossible but Manshould sin: That what seever comes to pase, whether good or evill, does come to passe by the force of Gods irresistible Decree: That Mans wickednesse is not the cause of God's will of abandoning man to bell, but on the contrary, that God's will is the cause of that wickednesse:

That 'tis not absurd to say, that it may be a capitall sinne to do the true and primary will of God: That seeing Adam is the cause of sinne, and God the cassfor of Adam, how it can be, that God should not be the cause of fin: That God doth incite, lead, draw, command, impell, harden, deceive men unto wicked actions, and effect fins that are most enormous? Such horrid and blasphemous opinion, as these, are frequent in the Writings of Calvin, Bezz. Piscator, Martyr, and many others; and yet herein we have altum Silentium, these Do-Arines never troubled those Churches, nor the tender Confeiences of this Synod. They are so good friends with these Opinions, they never disturb their peace at all. 3. This is not all; when Bogerman, the President of the Synod, had entertained but a suspicion, that the Remonstrants would detect the enormitie of these opinions, and the shamefull errors that had been broached by those so admired Names, (forgetting his solemn Oath to lay all prejudice and affection aside, and examine all matters to be debated, according to the onely rule of God's word) he fell into so great an agony of Passion, that it was discernible in his very eyes and countenance, as if they had touched the very apple of his eye. Yet the Synod obliged by the

conference of the same oath, never gave him the least rebuke or check for this palpable indication of Partiality; as the perspicacions Author of that Judicious Anti-4 Bone De. docum t harb objected and put us ! quam upon record for us. vebementer. afficiebat i. pfum levissina talis suspicio! qui visi oculi! quis vultus! quis arder animi! quanta oppeal! &c. Antidotum p.31 4. When Maccovins Professor of Franequer in Freisland, had not onely afferted and diffeminated by his Writings, the most horrid opinion, of all that ever had been written about Predestination by Zuinglius and Piscaior; and moreover in the very Synod undertook, against his Colleague Sibrandus Lubberius, to maintain, that God wills sinne; that be ordains men to sume, as it is sinne; that God in no mise would have all men to be saved, and mamy things of the like import, declaring openly, that if their things were not maintained, they must forsake their chief Doctors, who had taught those things and fall in to the opinion of the Remonstrants. What said the Synod to this bold Supra-Creatarian? Did -they sequester or displace him? No, but accounted him for a pure Orthodox Divine, guilty neither of herefie nor erroneous docurine, as it was declared by the publick tellihim with a wholesome and friendly Caution, to forbear such forms of speech as might give offence to tender cares, and could not be digested by persons ignorant and uncapable of so great mysteries: and that he would not let light by those distinctions of Divines, who had deserved well of the Church of Christ. 5. That which is beyond all exception: we finde in the very Acts of the A&. Syn. Synod [ Seft 107. ] That Goma-Nat. Dord. nue declared publickly, that he 233.Part,1. could not approve of the Judgement of those Belgick Prosessors, concerning the object of Predestination; that bethought, they must determine, Man to be considered of God in his Predestination, not onely as fain, but also before the fall. Hereupon he drew up his opinion by himfelf; and therein Predestination is said to be Ibid.pert.3. made out of Mankinde simply con þ 21. m. 🔗 fidered, and not as yet faln inco hn. 24. pr. and the Syned of South Holland were of the same judgement too, 16 p.33.m. whole chiefe members, Peftus Hommius, Hanricus Arnoldi, Baltazar Ly. din, Gisberens Voetius, were chief enemics of the Remonstrants. 6. If Master Baxter will not yet allow

mony of the Synod; and so they dismissed

opinions, because (as he pretends Section . 5. in his Preface ) the Calvinifts. do not Commonly hold them; they are but the opinions of some few ; I defire him to examine his own Muster roll, how many he hath inlifted therein, for the defence of the Synod? Of all the Synod he could find but the British Divines, which were but five; and the Bremile, which were but three: to passe the Muster upon the Article of Vviverfall Redemption, and but one fingle forein Auxiliary Paraus, to beare Arms for it. And yet these seven or eight men, in Master Baxrer's account, shall justifie all the rest from the guilt of fuch opinions as they do peremptorily maintain, though himself as well as the Remonstrants judge them most absurd. If so small a number will serve Ma-Rer Baxiers turn to justifie; in all reason a far greater should serve Tilenus's interest to condemn them. 💛 7. But what ever enormity Master Baxter will allow to be in those opinions, the Synod hath drawn the guilt of it upon their own heads, and defervedly ought to beare the blame; For Persons Commissioned and impowered to suppresse errors, if they do not forbidand check them, the fairest interpretation we can give of their remisnesse herein

that the Synod may justly be taxed with these

sinens, faith Scheibler. And, Qui taget consentine videour, saith the Metaph L.z. f.22 .B. 146. Civil Law. And, Agentes & consentientes pari pana plestuntur, saith the Moralist. Indeed I find there were Some who had a mind to condema the opinions of Psscater in the Antidolum Synod; and some would have adp4. 63. f. ded to their Rejections, a rejection of certain hard and incommodiaus expressions (they might have called them blasphemous and horrible), which are found in the writings of some of the Reformed AA. Syn. Nat. Dord. Dollars: To this end reasons were alleaged on both fides, by. the ſŧ¶. τ32. ≱. British, Hassien and Bremish Di-239.pert. t. vines, with some others. But ed.in fol. when the matter was put to the vote, the major part of Suffrages were for the Negative; (forfooth) lest by the rejection of fuch expressions and Phrases, the Adversary should take advantage to alleage that the Orthodox Dostrine, professed by those men, who imprudently made use of such phrases to explain it, were rejected also. Especially feeing some of those modes of speech were the language of the hely Ghost, others, in a found sense, admitted by the Remonstrants them-

is to fay, they afford them a toleration. Non

impedientes ad Genus can arum Moralium per-

felves, and faire the greatest part might admit of a charitable interpretation. By this we may perceive what temper Mafter Banter's Test t was of g especially, if + Was more to this we adde what was objerthen a very ved to be the generall practice, affew, even ter the Synod was diffolved; in the major those Low-Country Churches; part of the Synod fa-voured thefe The least suspicion a man could ive under, for favouring the Reopinions. monstrants Doctrine, was ground enough to remove him from his Ministery. ( The imitation of which practice was forthwith taken up at Sedan; Franciscus Auratus, a most faithfuil Minister of that Church, being sidmiffed from the execution of his Fun-Rion, because, upon occasion of that text, James 1. 13. God rempteth no man, Oc. he largely declared, that God was not the Author of fin. This they thought a sufficient indication that he did not sufficiently abhorre that Doctrine which the Synod of Dore had condemned). But on the other fide the most violent abettors and urgers of the most rigid Supralapfarian Predestination, Clamofi fii were to far from the stroak of mos tenébricenture or rebake, that though of onestly fournever folleud a convertation, one ciffme vite mortales. might see them adorned with the **Antidotum** happiest conditions, and promo-**₽**38.33.

Lastly, if we consider that the present Galvinists of all fores, Creabilitarians, Supralaplarians, Sublapfarians, do: all center in this Synod, as the Test of their Anti-Arminianisme (as Master Baxter calls it) we must conclude that the delign of that Synod was not to reduce all thole feverall Sects to one opinion, ( that being impossible, wheir differences are so high and irreconcileable) but their elabo, rate Arisfice, in contriving and dawbing, varnishing and trimming up the Decrees and Canons thereof, was used on purpose, to calculate them for the Meridian of every their judgements, and make them ferve indifferently the interest of their severall opinions. So that this Synod is to men of that perfualion what Manna was to the Israelites ( as some affirme). It affords them that relish, to which every mans palate is most affected. One

ted to the chiefest chaires and Ohurches, ran-

quam υπερασπιεώς Ο προμάχες fortissimos.

him to be a Supralar farian; another man findes the contrary. To this mans fente they maintain univerfall Redemption, to anothers apprehension they deny it.

Hereupon we see our late and present Divines are no lesse divided in their judgements about these Questions, then those which were before the Syrod, as we may observe

man discovers enough therein to inconrage

in reference to each of Tilenus his five Arr ticles. For 1: Master Norten of New The Ortho-England, as a pure Creabilitaridox Evange. an, saith, The creature in its conlift, pa. 52. dicion of possibility is the object of m. p4. 56. the Decree. And a little after, Nes man confidered as actually being; whether in his puresor corrupt estate; but as yet to be, and in the Diving Effence: namely, as capable in respect of the swiftciency of God, to be what he pleased, is the object of the Decree. And a little after; The creation of man mutable, the permission of sin, the punishing him sustly for sin, make up one full and perfett medium conducing to this end, (God's glory) as concerning the Reprobate. The creation of man mutable, the permission of sinne, the esfestuall Application of Free grace and Glory, nouwithstanding sin, for the merit sake of fesue Christ, make up one full and perfect medium, conducing to this end (viz. Gods glory) as concerning the Elect. And after-Pag. 66. f. wards he concludes, That Reprobation is not an Alt of justice; and a little after; Though condemnation of man for sinne be an Alt of sustice, yet God's will not to bave mercy, his will to permit fin, his will to leave a man in sinne, bu will to punish man for fin, are Acts of his Lordship, not of his suffice.

gels are Predestinated unto everlasting life; and others fore-ordained to everlasting death. By ranking Men and Angels in the same Decree, it is evident, they conclude men to be Elected and Reprobate antecedently to the fall of Adam; which appears more fully by comparing the 6. and 7. Theses of that Chapter, with this third. The Calvinists that speak most warily, doe yet maintain an Absointe and irrespective Decree, not as to the end but as to the means, Afferimus Dr. Kendal Decreium Absolutum, quod nul-De Doll. lum Motivum, (ut loquuntur) Neobel. orav admittat ex parte Dei. We aftio babita in Course. Oxe: sert an absolute Decree, because в**і**г. р.3б. it admits of no Metive on Gods part. Non negamus fidem conditionem effe falucis; Asserimus vero fdem dari absque omni conditione. Similiter & de damnations philosophari solemus. Non negamus impanitentiam finalem effe conditionem damnationis; Asserimus vero Deum absolute decrevisse; reprobos omnes, impanitontie sua permittendos, sidem verò in Electis omnipotenti Gratia suo tempore creandam. We do not

If the Affembly of Divines came any lower,

Confess. of

Faith. cb.3.

16.3.

yet not to low as the Sublapfarian

way; For they say; By the De-

cree of God, for the manifestation

of his Glory; some men and An-

are faith, in his own time, in the Elelt by his ommipotent Grace. And a little after, Decretuin illus itrespectivata, non est de salute, fed lide; wee do infligendis poenis, sed non consedenda Prenitentia. That irrespective Decree innot ( feelt ) areo salvation, but as to faith; nor as to the infliction of punishment, but as to the municone of sient of repentance. As well-Sub. lapfarians as Suprabapfarians of both forts, though they frame a Decree that fafornds the hencht of falvation upon a condition, yet it makes that condition absolutely irrepudiable and irrefiltible as to fome perfors, and ablolutely impossible unto others, and so takes as way the proper nature of fin and duty, and by confequence faves and damns respectively Without them. 3. If we confider the Article of Redemption by Christ's however M. Baxter finds an Universality of it, in the decisions of that Synod, yet Doctor Thomas Hill ( Master of Triwith Colledge in Cambridge, and able fure to understand a piece of Latin, as well as Master

fairly to be the candition of saboution; But we

affirme that faith is given without any condition.

In like mianner also we are wone to speak con-

cerning damnation, we do not deny finall imper

nitency to be the condition of damnation; But

we affirm God absolutely decreed to permit all

Reprobases to their own impenitoricy; but to cre-

Baxter) could find no such matter's For to fignific his effects of that Affembly he calls it a bappy remedy against Arminianismes (in his Epifild to the Christian Residers before Mafter Fenner's Willfull Impenitancy. ). 4. 3- . yet two pages after he breaks out into this Lamentation : Bur alas, Arminius now appears amangst us, not so much in the Schools and Pulfitt, acin popular meetings, Hor in Zanchius complained with much regreit of the Sulteran ( I suppose it should be Lowtheran) Ubiquitaries, that be found them ubique, every where to vex and molest bim, fo may we grieve, (O that we could with brokennesse of heart bemaile it s) that our Univertalists, are almaffi universally spread among fines less gotten into our Netherlands, much with the Tennish and Moorish pares of this Kingdome, yearmongst many people that love Jefus Christ , and therefore ensertain it, as conceiving it most for bis Hanouzz (the more wit they to be picted, (fa.): Thus Doctor Hill t who decayinly did not think his happy Remedy to be intected with that (he accounts) disease, and so much bewailes, as if it were as morrall, as he conceived it Epidemicall. Good God! That mans eye should be so evil, because God is so. good and gradious? That he should think it a matter of humiliation, and that withbrokennesse of heart, that the Name of the Lord

Jesus, and the Merits of his Death, and the emanations of his Grace, should be so much magnified! - And yet we finde the whole Affembly of Divines (if we may collect their Judgement out of their Publick Confession, rather then take it from what a fingle member (it feems) bath whispered into M. Baxter's care had so narrow a Faith they could not admit this Point to be an Article of their Belief; For they speak restrictively of Christs Sacrifice. (Chap. 8.th. g.) that it hath fully fatisfied the justice of his Father, and purchased not onely reconciliation, but an everlasting inheritance in the kingdome of Heaven, for all thefe, whom the Father hath gives unto him. And more fully (thef. 8.) To all these for whom Christ bath purchased Redemption, He doth certainly and effectually apply, and communicate the same, making intercession for them can And this is very probably colle-Ged out of the third Ghapter too, comparing the 6. and 7. Thefes together. They who are Elected, being fallen in Adam, are redeemed by Christ- -The rest of mankinde God was pleased, according to the unsearchable counsil of his own will whereby be extendeth or with-boldeth mercy, ashepiealesh, for the glory of his (not Juffice, hat NB.) Soveraign Power over bis creatures, to passe by, and to ordain them to.

dishonour and wrath for their sin, to the praise of his glorious justice. ... Besides, Master Baxser hath had some contest (as I remember) with Adversaries, who make the remission of fins the immediate effect of Christs death, and maintain that it is granted unto the elect, before they do Actually believe. I suppose Master Baxter will not say these men are for universall Redemption (though perhaps as great Admirers of the Synod as himfelf:) and I doubt, these are not a very few. 3. As touching the unavoidable necessity of all humane Actions, in regard of the effe-Auall Decree; that the Calvinists do commonly maintain it is evident; That I may not tire the Reader with a multitude of testimonics. I shall satisfie my self with one or two. The first cause so concurrethe as, it determines to the second cause in its operation, laith M. Norten. This is readily granted in naturall Agents, in free-rationall Agents is is proved thus. If the futurition of the operation of the Jecond Cause is determined by the Decree of God, then the operation is self is determined by the officience cy of God. The Orthodox Evangelist p. 210. rn. And a little after: If as often as the will doth not will; is therefore doub not will, because God hath not determined that it should will , then as often as it willeth any thing, it therefore wilicsh, because God hash determined that it should

will. But as often as the Will doth not will, it therefore doth not will, became God bath not determined that is should will. Therefore - p. 126. f. Normithstanding sin is wholly of man; and subordinate efficiency in finfull actions, belongs formally unto the second Cause: Jet the infallible futurition and execution of all effects; the infallible farmritton, and ordering the execution of all events; is no fully ascribed unto Gud, as if man had no hand therein. I know Master Baxter bath declared himself against this Philosophy, (in his Treatise of Judgement, Answer to 23. excuse). But whether the Affembly of Divines have not, at least infinitated, this to be their judgement, I leave the Reader to confider, by a view of lome of their expressions. Chap. 3.th. r. (of their Confess, They say, God from all Biernity did by the most wise and hely Counsil, of his own will, freely and unchangeably ORDAIN. what for ter thise to puffe; and Chap. 7: thef.4. The Almighy popuer, unscarchable wisdome, and infinite Goodnesse of God; so far manifest themselves in his providence, that it extendeth even to the fiest fall, and all other sins of An-PERMIS I TO W. but such as both joyned with a small wife and powerfull bounding and NB. OTHERWISE brdering and governing of them in a manifold dispensation to his own

holy end ; and thef. 2. In relation to the foreknowledge and DECREE of God sibe first Caufe, All things some to palle LACAGE TABLE and infallible or and a delicated and a residual time God's corraine Forebanwledge of all whings future, upon his infaustrable and inchastable Deeree for their futurition, must grant that all humans Actions what locver are immurably necessity, otherwise God should not foreknow them. And what is it that hath begotten a new definition of Liberty, and many distinctions to free Almighty God, and convince man of the guilt of fin, but the common apinion of the Necessay of all humane Aftions by realon of the secret effectuall decreeof God h. The liberty of the leaned caule (faith Master Norton) doth nos Vbi suprapi confift in a power of indifferency, so Act or not to act: ( as it was wont to be defined. Likering enfifts in a spental mitie, quam ratio procedit in faith. Maccovius to A spontageitie (find angu Colles Beafts are carried by,) uffrezed indif. 16 pag. by Realon. Therefore whatfores a mean dashe rouson going before, that he said fracing though be connor but do its This is the Liberty of the Levisthes; and byshis Philosophy, man is yeaked in the same come with brute Amounts, his reason having chahonour to be the fore-horse in every expedition. Again,

Again, upon this opidion, that mens evilt Actions are of an unavoidable necessity, by God's insmittable Decree, and irrefift ible determination, that God may not be concluded the Amber of fin, and that man may be properly accounted guilty, certain distinctions are invented, as, First, We must distin-Dbi supra guist (faith Master Norton) be-pre. 62 2 raine the Aston and the evil of the Allim. Normithstanding God is Allion. Normabstanding God to na way the Author of the evil of the Astion, yet God ascribeth unto himself the doing of these Adionathat are finfull; 1. Because he is the Author of the Act wholly: 2. Because he is the fore determiner, Orderer and Governour of the simpulaesse of the action to his own glorious and bleffed and. The action is afcribed to bim absolutely , the fin cleaving to the action nor absolutely, but onely in such sort and respects. That man may be accounted properly guilty, notwithstanding this inevitable necessity that lies upon him (according to this Dodrin, ) they use distinctions to reconcile Liberry with Necessity. To which purpose they lay, r. Tis bus a necessity of immutability, not of compaison; and 2. though the finfull Action be inevitable in fenfa composito, that it, in respect of God's Dearse and divine determination, yet in sensu diviso, suppose man tese 19 his own liberty, and divided from this con-

ble) then tis avoidable. These distinctions will ferve to play withall in a Sophisters Problem s But in a matter of so high concernment as life and death eternall, they will ferve as little to magnifie Gods justice, as to abate the pains of hell fire in such as shall be damned upon this Account. 4. For the fourth Article, touching the Grace of convertion which these who are Elecred cumus reject strafe who were Reprobutes ca wet accept it : you may find the Judgement of the Calvinists (and I think of most if not all, that are of that denomination at this day) bound up in those expressions of Master Norton; Netwithstanding The fupra the creature in regard of his for- pos. \$26. mall Freesefficiency, is somephat distinguished from a meer Instrument: yet even shofe offects noberein God useth the Second Causes at a subordinate Free-Agent depend upon and are determined by the first Caused as much as where the second Causa is a mear passive Instrument; because the Free-efficiency of the second Cause, is the effect of the first Cause. Can the Axe not cut, when the Carpenter will have ir cut? or can it cut, when he will not have it cut? (I speak not here of Gods direction of Free-Agents to others ends and objects; but with reference to

duct of Gods providence, (which is impossi-

fin and the work of their Convertion refpe-This Doctrine diftinguisheth men Ctively.) from focks and frontes in the work 43 and 4. of Gods Regenerating Grace up ch. of Gon. on them, as little as the Synodicen verf. Artic. possibly admit of , and here is ve-16. 2. 259. ry little room for the Free-Agents parti 1. Can and cannote at the Thorn bon For the Bled's community if Mafter Bancer doth ript think it an abduct opinion why doth he alleage any ching to colour over the matter & but if he thinks it abourd and a distinction needfull to cleare the Doctrine : I That hew anon that be doth little leffe then reproach them with its eyes by what he eites from them to excule its wife a comment to have That the Reprobate cannot, eccepe that Grace (or be converted) is the diffind affirmation of Matter Fenner more then once or wice, in his Treatife before menfor why she miched do not repent, not come our of their fines is not because they cannot, (bhough they cannot) but because shey will wet. For the last Article of Tildrens . That the Reference des cannot fail anouges how ever Master Baxrer Blakes an offer to encept against the Indiament, which Tilesus presers against them for it, I suppose, no Calvinist will deay

it. But what? shall the Elect be saved then however they live? By no means. That Diabolicall Sargafood ( Paith Master + Whi Supra Norton 4), & bitter scoffe invented to the abuse and detision of the Do- PAR, 83. ctrine of the Decree's is not enely an untruth; but implyeth a Contradiction's viz. If I be clected, howfoever I live, I thall be faved. Satan in this Sophisme, divides the end and the meanes asunder, which God bath jogned sogother. The Decree sonfifts not of the end without the means, nor of the means without the end , but of both together: Both and and meant aper conteined in one Betree! " Yea, fo farrents the Decree from admitting fact an inferences as: that the contrary infallifly followeth thereupout and in point of Election, is not onely necofficuly concluded, but irrefiftibly caused. Faith; Repentance, New-Obedience and Perfeverance, being" he effect of Election. Thus facto Mafter Notion; and what can yo with more? But flay, the Divines of the Synod rold us the Elect might fall into most grosse, foul, heinous, wasting finnes. Do these move upon another Center, without the Decree, or hath the Decree of Election made Provided for shem? This looks like a very hard Cha-M. Merete pter: but Maller Norrdhand Meudi fapta p ster Perkim will help us to spell it 57e. . out. The Creation of Alan mu-

comfoctable, Decies repetita &c. ) the permission of fin ( and not onely that of Adam's Fall, but, toties quaties, by parity of Reason, to advance God's grace and glory, else it will not ferve our turn here; and then the renewed) effectuall Application of Free-Grace, and Glory, not withstanding sin; for the merit sake of Je. fra Christ, make up one full medium, conducing to this end, (Gods Glory) as concerning the Eleet. To this purpose Master Perkins reckons the fins of the Predestinate, amongst the number of their Priviledges, upon their Adoption; First, saith He, They are Heirs of God; then, Coboirs with Chxist, and Kings, 3. All their afflictions, as also their defects and slips (or falls) are onely paternall castigations for their good. In his Armilla Aurea. cap. 37. 2.4. Now can any Calvinist but M. Baxter call it abusive language, and a perverse infinuation, to fay, That such as have received that Speciall Regenerating Grace (which is the fruit of Election) can never fall from it, netwithstanding the most exermous sint they can commit? Why, man, they have an Absolute Decree passed in beaven, for their Indempnity. And what is that Decree? The Decree (faith Uki finta. M. Norton) is God by one eternall-**14. 14.** free-constant Act, absolutely determining the faturition, i.e. the infallible fu-

table, (you had the words before, but being fo.

ture being of what seever is besides himself, unto the praise of his own Glory. If Election, which is God himself (according to this Doctrine) be absolutely theirs, there can be no more danger of miscarriage in their salvation, than there is that God Almighty should lose his very being; and therefore the Assembly of Divines, contonantly to these principles have percmptorily defined, that, They whom God hath accopsed in his beloved, effectually called, and fanctified by bis Spirit, neither totally nor finally, ean fall away from the state of Grace; but shall certainly perfevere therein unto the end, and be eternally faved. In their Confess. Chap. 17. Th. I. Berry

Thus, if Master Baxter will not, other Readers will be satisfied, that the several Articles of Tilenus stand impregnable, as to the matter of Fast, against the very Synod of Dort, their Predecessors and their late and Present Adkerents. And now where shall Master Baxter creek a new Forge for Calamany and Falsboods, to justifie his uncharitable-nesse (to say no more) in casting out a suspicion whether Tilenus were a Christian, in the 8 and 13. Sections of his Presace?

Have done with the reproachfull part of Master Baxter's discourse, in desence of the innocent Tilenas. There is a Radianall part yet behind (such as 'tis') and that Master Baxter may have no occasion to blame our neglect of that, or triumph over it; we shall make Research upon the several remarkable periods of it, beginning (where Tilenas is first ingaged) at the Sixth Section of his Preface.

Where Master Baxter breaks off from Ma-

fter Pierce, and goes out of his way, though he thinks it is a stepping into his duty, to Re-

buke the namonthy dealing of & Master Rarce

his friend, which he presents not to have food of his more to this day ) Tilenus. He presends, laith Master Baxter, to give us concilely but traly; the summe of the Doctrine of the Synod of Dort in the five Articles. And when he hat he made this promise, he presently falls to falsifying, and calumny, unworthy a Divine, a Christian, or a Man t the weight of the case and greatnesse of his sinne, command me to be thus plain: yea were I of his Party, I must say the same. What! (atholicke M. Baxter, come already to espouse a Party!

Tilenus will one day thank you for the in-

tended charity of your Rebukes. In the

meane time on his behalf, I defire the Indifferent Reader but to lay this childe at the own Fathers doore; and so to his discretion I shall leave it. But, What! (saith M. Baxter,) shall so many

But, What! (laith M. Baxter,) shall so many Countries purposely Consult to declare their thoughts, and their writings be common in the hands of all, and the adversary purposely write against them, and pretend to be acquainted with their Dollrine, and make it his design to bring it to be odious to the world, and yet shall falsty tell the world, that they hold and affert the things that they are not enely silent in, but discoun, detest, and are contrary to their Dollrine.

And the contrary to their Dollrine.

that they are not enely filent in, but diffurn, detest, and are contrary to their Destrine.

Ans. I. For the Convention of so many Countreys to consist; that is no more then may be alleaged by the Fautors of the Trent Councill.

2. Writings that are commonly in mens hands are not commonly read, not alwayes understood, never sited to a discovery of their absurdations by Persons that sweat alleageance to their admired Authors, uponothers commendations, as too too many do of all Sects what soever.

3. The Advertaries design was not, to bring that Doctrine to be advous: but to evince it to be unprasticable (at

odious: but to evince it to be unpracticable (at least) and oselesse; which I think is yet sufficiently done, for all your pretended Vindication. 4. Whether he tells the World salse tales, more then Master Baxter, is submitted

fent; for they declare the end of their Convention to be, to suppresse errours &c. and therefore, 6. If they had detested these, certainly they would have difouned them. However I am glad the Doctrines, which Tilenus charges them with, are deseffable in the judgement of Master Baxter. I pray God keep him fill in this minde! though he proceeds to rebake Tilenus in thefe words; Truly this is an exceeding shame to the Arminian and Jesuit Caufe, to find the learned Patrons of it, to deal so unconscionably that a Reader cannot believe them; and that where it is so easte so any to see their falshoods. Answ. 1. The Jesuise Canse is lest to their own vindication, or your Catholick charity. But, . 2. For the Patrons of that Caufe (you call Arminian, being the Catholicke Doctrine of Christ's Church (as you acknowledge for fome of its Branches, in your Account of Perfeverance) for one thousand foure hundred years together) what is the Reason you cannot believe them? Perhaps because you will not read them. How shall they believe on him of whem they have not heard? As to your Controversie with Tilenus, read that Antidotum forementioned: after a serious and impartiall perufall whereof, if you do not fit down with

to the Judgement of the Reader. 5. If they be filent in these things, their silence is con-

the side that they have taken, or else they will think him in the right that bath the last word; --- but usually they will go wish the Party that is in greatest credit, or hath most interest in them, or advantage on them. But 3. you upbraid them with waconscionable dealings, unworthy falfification, perverse infinuation; and upon this threefold Cord it is that you suspend your belief towards them. But can you discover such meats in the Remonstrants eyes (which how many soever your Multiplying Glaffe, or indisposed Medrum presented to you, are by this time wash. ed out of Tilenus's ) and can you not see the Beams that are lodged in the eyes of your own Party? Do they stand at too near a distance for you to behold them? If you will promise to suspend your faith here too, upon the discovery of such beams, I will be so charitably officious as to direct you to a Prospect whence you may take a full view of them. If you have seen Festus Hommius, (who was one of the Scribes of the Synod) his Specimen Controversi arum Belgicarum, you might have seen enough of such dealings as you unjustly charge Tilenus with, as is sofficient-

satisfaction herein, I shall conclude in your own words to Master Warner (of Juftification

pag. 314. ) It is not replying that will ferve

the turne: but either prejudice will hold them to

Hommins; the other this, Optima Fides Fefli Hommii, &c. Of this Man and his Brother Scribe Doctor Damman, the Pag. 11. Author of that Antidotum, Writerh thus, To whom is the falfhood of these men unknown? Fest sic. Hommis in edendis O pro arbierio suo eruncandis atque interpresandis Trelcationum Scriptis, non fine magnorum virorum gravissima indignatione? Similizer in propolandis pessimi fide Episcopis Disputationibus privatis, &c. And of Bogerman President of the Synod, He saith thus, Annon ille est, cui (ô susta Nemesis 1) artes, fraudes, & mondacia sua (quibus tuulus ille innoxios & insonces Remonb. pag. 10. Strantes in Synodo, suopie arbitratu injussus & præter omnem rationem oneratos ac gravatos tantá cum acerbitate 🖰 amarulentia dimittebat, ut pænttentia tactus, veniam sibi posteà petendam indicares) adeoque ambitio & Dirague la palam publicéque exprobrata & in os objecta suns, quod, vid. &c. But alas! these are Peccadillo's not worthy Master Baxter's taking notice of; we will therefore bring him to a Mount, (which will afford him a notable Prospect indeed) whence he shall descry the Reputation of the Inno-

ly discovered in two little. Pamphlets, the one

bearing this Title, Joan. Weenbog ards Respon-

fio ad ea quæ illi speciatim impegit Festus

Hattenne Remonstrantibus, 12sth the same Author, ferè crinani da- Peg. 23. tum tit, quod mala fide sententiam cantra Remonstrantium proponerent aique exprimerent: dicivix potest, quot convitia, dire ac probra propterea passim contra Remonstranreamform, pulpiris, circulis, convivis, scaphis, rhedis, curribus, triviisque hominum dicta ac projects furrius, tanquam in falli manifestes & fide omnimaznos Mortales. Ipse Synodus Arnhemiensis ( O rem sædam ac detestandam! quis credidisset?) ausa est sententiam illam, quam Remonstrantes ipsissimam ac genuinam Contra-Remonstrantium sententiam esse asserbant, tanguam fredamatque impiam seb vocabulorum quorundam homonymia & aquirocatione communibus calculis damnare, e à tantum de cansa, ut falsum dixisse Remonstrances crederetur, alque sta publici odu villima fierent. But to bring the Prospect a little nearer to Master Baxters ken. Was there no such Artifice used in the Synod of Dorr? What say they in their fourth Rejection, upon the First Chapter of Divine Predestination? They reject the errout of those who teach, that in the Election unto faith this Condition is formerly required, viz. That a man use the light of Reason aright, that he be honest, lowly,

cent Remonstrants, bleeding under the stroaks

of such objected forgeries and Calumnies.

though in some fort Election depended on these things. Is not here an infinuation, as if the Remonstrants held this Doctrine, (the defigne of the Synod being to declare against them) yet say the Remonstrants, this is fallly and by way of Calumny thrown upon them; for the Contrary appears as clear in their writings, as the light at noon day a. 2 Ibid. p. 72. In the fixth Rejection, they reject those who teach that not all elestion unto salvation is unchangeable, but that some which are elected, the Decree of God notwithstanding, may perish and for ever do perifb. The Synod herein doth adulterate, pervertand traduce the Doctrine of 2bid. 2.76. the Remonstrants by odious expressions. That last branch, that the elect may perify eternally, the Decree of God notwithstanding, is without cause thrown upon them, and against their judgements. For the first, they ever professe Election and the will of God to be immutable. Indeed when they say so, they make the subject, about which Election is exercised, to be the faithfull man, as such. Hence it comes to passe, when that man who believes to day turnes Infidell to morrow, there is no change in Gods Election, but in the man onely. The Reason is, because God will not chuse the un-

humble, and disposed unto life eternall, at

But the truth is, if the will of God, or the Divine Election concerning that man, (now) become unfaithfull, should persevere, then the will of God should properly be changed; because he should will to elect unto salvation not onely the faithfull men, but the unfaithfull alfo. In the Seventh Rejection; the Remonstrants complain, that they (of the Synod) have cloathed a most certain truth with some rough invented Phrases, to make it odious, and look ugly. The Errour Bid. p. 77. rejected is, That in this life there is no fruit, no sense, no certainty of immutable election unto glory, but upon condition, contin. gent, and mutable. But the Remonstrants professe they have not these words in all their writings. They know no fruit more (weet to a plous man, then what grows upon the confideration of Gods unchangeable love: whereby he will most affuredly conferre eternall life upon believers. As for that opinion (which fome place so much of their comfort in ) that he who doth once truly believe, may be alwaies certain of his being in the faith and Grace of God, however he pollutes, or be-

faithfull, but the faithfull. And therefore when the faithfull man becomes unfaithfull,

the will of God concerning the Election of faithfull men remains uniform and the same:

haves himselfe, this is a fruit, which indeed they cannot relish, growing onely upon that tree of Election, which (by whomsoever it was planted) hath no found root in Scripture. In their Ninth Rejection the Synod doth covertly infinuate (to make them odious) that the Remonstrants teach, That the cause why God sends the Gospel rather unto this Nation than unto another, is not the meere and onely good pleasure of God, but because this Nation is better and more worthy of it than that, to which he hash not communicated the Gospel. But the Remonstrants know none that do say or think so. Upon all occasions they have profest and taught the contrary, faith the Author of that Antidotum, pag. 79. v. sqq. This last imputation Perfrict a front is & mentis. adio tantum non excecata indicium est. 16. p.80. Touching the Second Chapter ( of Redempiton) in their Second Rejection, (That this was not the end of Christs death, that he might establish a new Govenant of grace by his blood; but onely that he might precure unto his Father the bare right of making again with men any covenant what soever, whether of Grace, or of works ) here in the first member (of this Article) they impute to the Remonstrants what is manifestly falle, and contrary to their publick Doctrine. And the Second Member is a fiction and interpolation to render them odions. Uid.ib. p.88. Ιn

In the Fourth Rejection, the first branch is of the same complexion; and the opposition made therein very unapt and sidiculous. Ibid. p. 89. The first Rejection, the Remonstrants observe to be equivocal, and to admit of a double feafe. Whereof they account one to be falle, but the other they do most stedfastly embrace ib p 90. Touching the Third and Fourth Chapters, (of Conversion) they say, they may justly challenge three things of the Synod. I. Bonam fidem. 2. Charitatem flue equitatem. 3. Prudentium & attentionem, pag. 104. The first we are now concern'd to inquire into. And whereas, in their First Rejection, they condemn the Remonstrants, as teaching (that is cannot well be avouched that originall sinne of it self is sufficient for the condemning of all mankind, Oc.) If they understand this of Adam's first sinne, there is none of them but acknowledge, that the guilt of it hath overspread his whole posterity, and made them obnoxious to condemnation: But whether that which is appendant to it, by way of punishment, makes a new guilt and begets a new punishment, no act of mans will passing unto the espousing of it; this they think too vain and triviall a fubtilty to contend about? In their Fourth Revestion, They infinuate, that the Remonstrants reach. That an Unrege-

nerate man is not properly, nor totally dead in

in their third Article they confesse, That in the state of Apostasie and sin, man of himself and by himself can neither think, will, or do any good, that is truly good. In the Seventh Rejection, They impute to them, that they teach, that, The Grace whereby we are converted is nothing else, but a gentle suasion, &c. whereas there is not one of them have such an affirmation, That nothing else is required to the power of believing but a gentle suasion. Quid enim ineprius quam Poientiam in homine effici per suasionem? For what can be more foolish than to affirm that a power may be wrought in man by perfuafion? In the Eighth Rejection they charge them to affirm, That it lyeth in mans power to be, or not to be regenerated. When their meaning is no more but this, that it may come to passe, that man may oppose a new contumacie, or resistance to God's Call, Gr. Ibid. pag. IOS. In the Fifth Chapter (of Perseverance) (as in the rest ) the 1, 2, 3, and 4 Re-Ibid. p.119. jellions, are observed to be of the same argument and importance, that by the Fiction of a multiplicity of errours the Remonstrants might be rendred the

fins, nor destitute of all strength tending to spirituall good, &c. whereas there is not one of

them that did ever write or affirm fo : but

sides, there is (to use Master Baxter's own words) a perverse infinuation in the first Rejection; where they affirm, there are some (meaning the Remonstrants) who teach, That Perseverance is a condition of the New Covenant, which is to be performed on mans part, by his own free-will, before his peremptory election and justification. In that this condition is faid to be performed by mans freewill, the Remonstrants are brought 1616, p. 126. under a suspicion, as if they did not think the grace of God and the continuall affistance of his Holy Spirit necessary unto perseverance in that which is good; whereas the Synod knew they were of a contrary persuasion: Besides, by implying, that they fhould affert any free-will to be in man, which was not made so by grace, to do that which is pleasing unto God, they procured the Remonstrants envy, which was a manifest injury to them. Likewise in the Second Rejection, the Remonstrants are ( Master Baxter would fay ) feigned to teach, That when is all abilities necessary unto perseverance, and all things, which God is pleased to use for the preservation of faith, are granted and let in readinesse, that it still remaineth in the cheise, and pleasure of manswill

more odious; and this is none of the most conscionable, much lesse worthy dealing. Be-

to persevere a for not. Which words do darkly infinuate, as if the Remon-Arants did believe and tearh, that Ibid. God, for his part, doth first perform all those things, that are behoofull, towards men, and then leave them to themselves, affording them no further grace or help to affift, and exstimulate them to do their duty: But this is far from the Remonstrants Doctrine, who did alwayes undoubtedly hold, that God doth alwayes, both in the beginning, progresse and end, more especially in temptations, affift and help man by his grace to perfevere in that which is good, unlesse he confronts those divine aides and succours by the new outbineffe of a shamefull neglect, or the apposition of a contumacions rebellion-The liberry whereof, under the most gracious and potent dispensations; is ordinarily, accordings to His most just and wife providence, referred unto man by Almighty God, that fo his perfeverance may be, under such a sweet conduct, a work of his own choile and duty, and consequently capable of the Divine approbation and reward. Once more; That Author complains, that such Doctrines are imputed to them, as the Remonstrants, never to much as dreamt of, especially, as they stand reported by the Synod. For example; such as are in their Fifth

Rejection, viz. That no certainty of future perseverance can be had in this life, Ibid. p.127. without speciall revelation. Indeed they own no fuch certainty of a future absolute perfeverance, as flows from an inconditionate Election, and serves to furnish Cordials for the fecure and finfull, in the midst of their perverficies, drowning their cares and forrows, and extinguishing their fears of hell fire and Gods difpleafure. But they do most willingly acknowledge that an upright man, one that feareth God, eschews evill and worketh righteousnesse, may be certain of his future perfeverance; fo that no force, fraud, or fallacy thall be able to robhim of (that tréasure) his hope of eternall life, if so be he be not wanting to Gods grace, but walks circomfpectly in that road which God hath appointed to lead him in, and befet it with Guards of Angels to fecure his passage against the incursions of the enemie. And he that walks according to this Rule, Peace be uponfrim and mercy I But in the Sixth Rejection, there is another unworthy imputation cast upon the Remonstrants, viz. That it is a very commendable thing to be doubtfull of future Perseverance. They say, That as the Regenerate are begotten to a lively hope, to they go on (if they do their duty) to a Persualion, and grow up to a full Affurance, and they ex-

laudable. But for the certainty of such a perfeverance, as was mentioned above; they do not onely doubt of it, but absolutely deny it, as having no just Title to the ground 'cis built upon, and having a Genius that comports too much with the interests of the fiesh and carnall fecurity and apt to make abatements in our accounts of folid devotions, and the practice of Holy duties. He that leeks for such a certainty of his perseverance in God's favour, as may be made ferviceable to careffe him in the heighth of his lust and vanity; , is sure never to find it otherwise, then by specially revelation. For the Holy Scriptures will not afford it. And the Revelation that brings it being contrary to that Rule, how extraordinary foever cannot come from heaven, and therefore ought to be suspected (if ever it comes) for a strong delusion. And now having discharged my undertaking, and brought Master Baxter to fo full and cleare a view, of that unconscienable dealing, unworthy falfification, and perverse insinuation, in the Practices of his own Party, I hope they shall receive equal meafure from him with Tilenus and his Adherents. I hope he will not yet Jurare in verba:

Heb. 6.11. hort every one (with the Apostle)

to shew the same diligence to the full affurance of

hope even unto the end. And this is Gospell truth, Christian consolation, and a practice

but suspend his belief of their sayings also, till a further examination. Reflexions upon the VII. Section. Where we cannot but take notice of M. Baster's ingenuity in asknowledging the full fcole and importance of the fiest. Article, as it is charged upon the societ and its Adherents; For he confedert that in the Decree of Election; God had no regard to faith or obedience, in the persons whom he did elect, as a means or Antecedent to his Detree; and this he knows well enough on be the point in question, 12. That he appointed the Reprobates to damnation, without any regard catheir Impenitoncy or Infidelity; 'This Master Baxter acknowledgeth too; for he faith, they (of the Synod) professe, that it is for their infidelity and other line, that God decrees to damn them, as the Causenof damnation, though not of the eternall decree. Therefore the appointment of them to eternall damnation, (which is the Decree, and that which Felenus spake of ) shough not the enterior of the tappointment, was without any regard to their infidelity or impanisoney. One would think now that Telenger, had a faire Tiele to Master Baxter's right hand of fellow-

to blind, of fet a faire gloße upon the ablurdities of those to whose opinions he is wedded , be proceeds in his discourse, and first by way of Interrogatory, he demands ( as if Livis circumstance were the main bings of the Whole: Controvethe) Where talk they of a veay list le number d Answ. With your patience, Sir, I conceive When had the phrase (as you heard) from Maker Calvin, whose expressions the Synod did voo much reverence to dilown, much leffe detest them. And therefore though they have not the very words, they come not floort of the lense. For they say, that out of the common malifiede of sinners, he call'dout to biofolf, for by own pecatier, form testain. Cap. 1 Art. 10. Or Art. 7. A fer member of certain new, and so Rejection t. And Cop. 3. & 4. Arr. 7. They say, under the Old Testa. ment God disclosed unto but a Few this secret of bis will, (wiz. concerning falvation) and yet I hope is was disclosed to all the Elect (at that timeira capacity to receive the Revelation.) So that by luck, we have found, a Few, even in their Canons, and some certain, cull'a, out persons, for his own peculiar. And now I hope

thip : but to thew the pregnancy of his wit

in taking up exceptions, in the writings of

fuch as differ from him, and the acutenesse

of his Judgement in finding out diffirstions

the number whether more or leffe thall not increale the quarrett But the next word would have done it, if Malter Barrer had not been prudent in the choice of it. For he'doth not rudely fay, It is a lie, but more modeftly, It's not true? But what is the ungenth? That they lay, he doth it (cled unto fargation) (Without any regard to their faith or obedie te maif oever. ) But doth Mafter Bakter lay this is an untruth? yes and proves it too, and that substantially, by a handsomeway of stiding from the queltion; For they profess, laith He, that he (God) bath regard to it, (and adouble regard too), 1. as the benefit which the decreeth to give them, 2. As the candition of the Glory, which he decreeth them. But what is this ro the mattet in question? The question is about the Prevision of it, as a qualification, wrought by Gods Citace, in the perton to be Elected, and you tell us of a Provision made for it, that it may be wrought; ex Post facte, after they are elected. I'le discover the impertinency in a familiar infrance. Suppose Master Baxter hath a sequestred Parsonage at his disposall, and power to give Ordination, Institution and Industrian : one reports of him that he hath made choice of an Incumbent Without any regard to his Learning or Godlinesse

Matter Baxter will be fatisfied in this particu-

lat, if I had in Tilento his behalf faid, that

whatfoever, he being acknowledged to be a very ignorant and vicious person to all the neighbourhood. Upon this report Master Baxten's Confidentundertakes the vindication, and to falve the matter, He cryes out. It's not true, that he made him Incumbent to that Parlonage; without my regard to his learning or Godline fe what loever; For he had regard to it, as a henefit, or quality which he deligned to work in him, 2. As the condition of that greater preferment which he intended to confer upon him. This is Master Baxters way of Answering Tilenus. He decreeth to Save none (faith M. Baxter,) but for their Obedience as the fruit of faith, which is not a means or Antecedent id. Gods do-eree, but to our salvation, as the most rigid Anti-Arminians teach. (For obgdience as the fruit of faith?) Is the fruit beiter then the tree? why not for Eaith, as well as for obedience, or rather for both together? But if ye thould fer it fo Leath being much dearer to some of them then Obedience) yet I doubt many of your rigid Anti-Arminians would not teach fo. Why not thus? By Grace to through Fauth, for t Epb. 2.8. Christ his sake? Or if you will, of Chap. 4. Secundum opera, but not Propier 32. opera, by no means; works are via ad Regnum, but not Causa regnandi,

The way unto the kingdome of Heaven, but not the Cause of reigning there; and therefore let it be according to their workes, and not for their works. For if your [For.] be Caufales respectu Consequencia, and not onely Rationalis respectin Consequenise; it hath Popery in the belly, or at least a piece of the Grotian Religion, and though Bellarming, makes it a point of his Belleie. yet Amesius cannot digest it. Bellarm. Enera vat. Tom, 4. pag., 208, This obedience, as the fruit of Paith, you lay, is not a Meanes of Antecedent to God's Decree, but to our Salvation. This is ORTHODORT indeed. But you might have faid the same of the sins of the Elect; as well as of their Futh and Obedience. For they are all alike Ingredients to make up that One full Medium, as concerning the Elect. They are part of the Means of Anrecedent in order to the Execution of that Decreo as vou have heard before out of Master Perkins, and Master Norton; And would not this be very wholesome Doctrine to teach your people, that God had a regard to the Permission of sinne in them, and their severall falls, though into most beinous, walting crimes, to serve for Father. and Obedience, to make up the full and

the execution of the Decree of their Ele-Master Baxter goes on with his exceptions, He calls that Secluding, all the rest from fuving grace, which the Syned calls but Preterition, and Non-Election, and Reliction. What attabiliary and hypocondriat Passion suggested this exception to him? Is faving grace attainable or within the reach of thele Non-Elect , Relict , (Gemaries trath Abject) Paft-Byer? If not, why do you carp at the word Secluded? you have a mind to find a knot in a bull rush, if you could tell how. But to let you fee it was not a word invented or made nle of by Tilenus, to the Prejudice of your Party, you may find it need by some of them before him. Etfi Deus ab aterno terros quefdam ad commounionem salutis in Christo Elegit, alios vero ab ea EXCLUSIT pro suo beneplacito: Zanchin Misel, tract, de Pradeft. Sauct c. I. in Thefib. de Instit. Dei Thef. 8. And Calvin. Inftit. Isb. 3 c. 23. Sect. 1. in pr. Ques ergo Deus praterit reprobat; neque alsa do causa (observe that) nist quod ab hareditate geam filis suis pradestinat, illes vult exclusion dete. I hope you will allow Exclusion and excludere, to be very near of kin to Sectuded; and fo I leave it. But Master Baxter hath a feverer censure

intire Means or Antecedent in order to

worthily feigneth them to say) that God (uppointeth them to eternall damnation without any regard to their impenitoncy or lafidelity, ) when they profuse, that it is propiet infidelitatem & cartera Peccata, that he decrees to damn them, as the Causes of damnation, though not of the eternall decree.) Why then, Titeuns faid true. They were appointed, without any regard to their Infidelity &c. Ay, but here-- garded their Infidelity and other fins as the Casifes of damnation. Your meaning is, that those him are the means or Ancecedent (as your expression was a little before) in order to the execution of this Decree. And fare their very best works by the Doctrine of your Party, who lpeak conforantly to their principles. Statuere possumus bona opera Pradestinationi quandoque, quandoque esiam reprobationi infervire. Pradestinatio per illa gloriam Dei illufirst, & quand reprobationem, funt nonzunquam ruciones, quare gravier reddatur Lapfus. Qui entin à Deo definiunt, vum ab illo fuerint ornati benis operibus, ui gravius peccunt, isa etiam acerbins paniantar. We may refolve that good works do lerve to the furtherance sometimes of Predeftihation, and fometimes of Reprobation. Predestination doth set forth the glory of God by them; and in respect of Reprobation, they are many times the means

for Titenus in his following words, (He un-

to aggravate Relaples into fin. For they who doe fall from God, when he hath adorned them with Good works, as they do more grie-Loc. Com. De Reprob. Too! woully lin, to are they also more severely punished, saith Steph. Szegedin. 2. But doth not your 1. 122 f Decree of Reprobation (in good earnest,) make provision for those sins, in oreder to the illustration of Gods justice, when he chall condemn them ? An attentive Reader may remember fomething alleadged (above) to this purpose? But not to leave it unto conjecture in a matter of so great momenty I shall give you Master Nortons words, (out of his Outhodox Evangelist. pag. 56.f.11) The and of God in the Decree, faith he is himfelf for the manifestation of his glory in a way of Justice upon the Reprobate. The creation of man mutable, the permussion of fin, the punishing of him justly far sinne, make up one full and perfect medium, (that is, meanes) conducing to this end, as concerning the Reprobate. (Remember 'tis the constant and unanimous Doctrine of the Calvingles, that the Decree includes the means as well as the end. And this, is the very Doctrine of Gamarus held forth in the Synod, nor desested, nor rejeated, nor disonned, nor silenced; for it is inserted amongst their Acts. Part. 3. pag. 24. Thef, a. you had it fully in the former passa-

Act. Syn. Dor. part. 2. pag. 154. f. Quid ergo? an peccata fiunt necessario? ita est sane; si nempe intelligas necessitatem illam, quæ pendet à gemina hypothesi, decreti scilicet permittentis, & finis boni. What thin? are sinnes committed necessarily? yes, fort is, if you confider that necessity which depends upon a double hypothesis, ibat is to say, the Decree (not ineffectually, for so they hold of permission ) Permitting, and the Good end intended. The case then in short may be thus is lustrated. A Noble man commits Treason for which his Prince seizeth upon all his estate to the utter undaing of his posterity. These, being disabled to purchase Armes and other accommodations for the warres , according to that equipage that becomes their Noble extraction, the Prince makes a Decree, of two branches, 1. Negative, That none should assist or supply their needs, 2. Positive, That they shall lofe their heads: but this shall be for neglect of duty, or disobedience; which that they may be found guilty of, they are fummon'd to appear in person, as becomes their Noble birth, and his Eminence, to fight his battada. These unhappy persons appear with such strength and Accourrements as are left them,

ges. Hereupon the Divines from the Corre-

spondence of Widderaw, doe conclude in the Name of those Churches to this purpose.

just cause to fall upon them, and take away their lives, and accordingly Sentence is given, and the fatal Block and Axe imploy'd for a present execution. If any should complain, that this were great feverity, towards poore wretches made milerable by their Fathers mile carriage, which they could no way hinder or consent unto (being no way privy to it); Master Baxier is an able Advocate to justifie these proceedings. He will tell us, (if one should say these men were appointed to death without any regard to their disobedience ) it was for their disobedience and neglect of duty that the Prince decreed to behead them, as the Caufes of their beheading, though not of the Decree it felf. Here it will be seasonable to take notice of a fubrile Distinction, which some of this Party makes u'e of tomaintain that Anrid p 38. (Horrible Decree) as Master Calvin calls it, and yet to free Gods Supralapfajustice, as they suppose, from the Liens . imputation of Severity. 'I's one thing, fay they, to Predestinate and Create unto damnation, another thing to Predestinate and Create unto Destruction. God, say they,

according to the notice they have of the

Prince his pleafure. But being unable, naked

wretches as they are, to subdue their Princes enemies, He is informed, that now be bath a

hath Reprobated and Created to destruction the farre greatest part of mankind without any respect at all to fin in them: But he hath not preordained, or doomed any one man to eternall damnation (and the Synod makes a great use of this word Damnation ) without respect to fin coming between. What is the ground of this opinion or Distinction? When God condemneth the world, He performs the Office of a Indge, who pronounceth sentence upon the guilty, and therefore he hath (in that Capacity )a respect to foregoing sin, as the meritorious cause of that his sentence. But when he doth reprobate to eternall Destruction, he useth his Right of Domi-Vid. A8. nion, as an 'absolute Independent Syn. Depr. and fupreme Lord, who being part. 3. pag. bound to none, deals thus by his 67. **ef**, creatures without any incuition or fight of sinne and transgression in them, as himself pleaseth. Hence it is that so many men make the glory of Gods Power and Soveraignsy + rather then † See 7be A Temblies that of his Justice, the end of Re-Confest. of probation. Fims Reprobationis est Fairb.Chap. gloria Dei. Nam sic Deus demon-3. **Tbef**. 7. strat Liberam (nam potentiam, & jus Summum faciendi de suis creaturis quod vult, say the Divines of Embden in their Suffrage, Do Artic. 1. Thes. 2. Hypothes. 4.

their own opinions, and yet to subscribe to the Decrees and Articles that define Damnation to be in confideration of fin onely. And this was a great help to accomplish that harmony and confent ( not fo much of minds and meanings, as of modes of Speech and Phrases) which we find in that Synod. And now is not this Decree notably Calculated to fet forth the Glory of the Divine Attributes? First God Reprobates and Creates the greatest number of men to destruction, to let forth the Glory of his Soveraign Power: so say the Supralapfarians. And then, that the Divine Justice may have her share in Glory, order is taken by the same Decree, which comprehends the See Gomar, means as well as the endt, that Tb. de Præfin shall fall in, to make those perdeft. difput. fons guilty, that they may be an 61604.) Th. object fit for Justice to triumph 23. and M. Norton ubi over, under a sentence of condem-Supra, pag. And though this was a nation. 56, 57. very common Doctrine amongst the Greater Lights (as they were reputed) of those Churches, yet they were not troubled at them, but at those that detected their enormity, and consequently, not those errours, but these Persons, that attempted their Reformation, are ejected. But

Pag. 76. And that (now mentioned) Distinction doth inable the Supralapsarians, to hold

pennency, or other fins, as the Causes of damnation, though not of the Eternall Decree . mend the matter or make it worse? It seems to make it more plaufible to inconfiderate Readers, that look but superficially upon it. But weigh it exactly, and it renders the Do-Arine much more abfurd and repudiable. For, (as bath been intimated) it makes † Lyamvis fin by Gods defign to truckle + enim peccaunder this Decree of Reprobatitum, in deon, as a necessary consequent, creto teproand as a means fubordinate to the bationis, non execution of it; so that accor-Spellavit Deus, Bi cauding to this opinion, the Re-Sam illius ob probates are at first ( in our manjellam, haner of apprehension ) inevitably buit tamen destinated to destruction, and then permissionie illius retioto sinne, that that destruction

But doth this respect of infidelity and im-

may be ushered in with the For- dis quod simalities of a fudicial Processe, ni, reprobaand a sentence of condemnation. tionis subjecit de subordinavit. Gomarus in disput. de Prad. (disp. 1609.) These. 91

And yet after all the service this Distinction
of Reprobation hath been prest to do them,
it proves to be but a Distinction without a
disference, upon the matter, by their own confession. It is but Docendi causa, to help Lear-

ners, that they confider a double Act, one Ne-

Compend. tive, (the destination of due pus Chr. Theol. nishment) which is Predamnatt-Pag. 26. on, faith Wollebins, and fo fay the four Professors of Leyden, in their Synoplis Purcores Theol. Disput. 24. Thef. 53. (mibi) pag. 308. Whereupon Molinaus deals in-In Anatome. genuously, and tells us plainly eap. 13.pathey come both to one reckoning, TAZ. 3. as we say; Reprobare ac velle damnare idem este, quemadmodum eligere idem est, ac velle salvare. To Reprobate, and to will damnation are the fame thing, even as to elect is the same as to will salvation. And though he styles the Synod Reverend, and commends it for the celebrity and fanctity of it; and again they give him thanks, for his accurate judgement and confent in Doctrine; yer in this he goes against the whole stream of them; and (in the 9. Parag. of that his Anatome,) he takes up an objection: Non efsugeret, qui diceret. Reprobatione non destinari homines ad damnationem, sed tantum prascrivi, aut non eligi. If any one faith, men are not destinated to damnation by Reprobation, but are onely paffed by or not Elected, he shall not escape so, faith Molin. Nempe sic queruntur verba molliora, quibus cadem res

gative, (the denyall of undue Grace) which

is preserition, the other Affirma-

tionem; five id faciat, ex quo damnatio neceffared sequitors. For it is all one, whether God doth destinate a man to damnation, or doth that from which damnation necessarily follows. Melin knew well enough, that to Reprobate is, as it were, a putting the fatall rope about the mans neck, and tying his hands behind him and whatever follows, whether exhortations or prayers, is but in order to a preparation for turning the Ladder. Hereupon he concluded, that no man is Reprobated but for fin. (sbid. parag. 3.) But M. Baxter would make us believe, in his next words, that the Synod and himself too are of this opinion; for he goes on, and faith, They do not onely respect Insidelisy and other sins as the canse of damnation, but as the state, in which God findeth many, when he denyeth them the grace of Faith; You speak not a word of Impeniency, 'tis clearly granted by you all, that that was not looked upon in the Act of Preservition. But for its companion, (as Tilenus had linked them-together) though you divorce them, for your advantage, remem .. bring the old Rule (Divide & Impera) I mean Infidelity, God had respect to that, as the state wherein he found many, &c. I pray

dicatur. This is but a dressing up of an ugly Matter in finer and softer words. Perinde e-

nim est, sive Deus destinet bominem ad damna-

Adult onely? 2. Is there not a Fallacy in those words, (When he denyeth them the grace of Faith?) He denyeth it to the Reprobates for ever; and therefore if you understand it of his deniall of This grace in the last stage of their lives, He must needs find them then in a state of Infidelity. Or 3. do you mean the Heathens, by their Many? What state can they possibly be found in else, when God denyeth them the Grace of Faith? But if this beyour meaning, you have placed that Infidelity amongst very unfit Associates; For this can be but a Negative, not a Postrive Infidelity; and so whether it can be reckoned amongst their other fins ( as being a fin it felfe ) is another question. †But 4. did God find any, really, † That men Cannot fee or in the state of Infidelity, when believe 🚬 he denyed them the Grace of without a Faith, according to the Doctrine certain Meof the Synod? Do not they and dium or objea , this is you conclude, that Preterition is no moretheir the denyall of this Grace? 'Tis fault, then it proved fufficiently already that is that they they do so. And you know, some fee not nonexistenis,&c. of them are of opinion, (and that M. Baxterof opinion not rejected by the reft) faving faith that in his Preterition God conpag. 52. f. fidered mankinde, onely as having

how many are they? and which? Infants or

any then in a state of Insidelity? They that bring the Decree of Reprobation down lowest' (amongst the Synodist's) do affirme, that it was passed in consideration of the Fall of Adam. To this purpose I might All. Syned. produce a cloud of witneffes, were Dord 2.part. it not needlesse, seeing we find P48.77.9.5. to much in confirmation of ita-3. part. pag. mongst the very Decrees and Ar-24. thef. 7. & p. 123.f. ricles of the Synod, to which all thole Divines subscribed. That God one of his mere just will hath not decreed to leave ony man in the fall of Adam, and common state of sin and damnation, or to passe over any in the communication of grace necestary unto faub and conversion. This they reject as one of the troublesome errours. Cap. 1. Reieit. 8. and cap. 2. Reeft g. That all men are received into the face of reconciliation and grace of the Covenant, so that no body shall be condemned for original! fin, for, interpett of it, be liable unto de ub or damn i conshucthat all are acquitted, and freed from the guilt of that fine This they reject as the fame errour too. To the like purpole is the first Rejection of the 3. and 4. Chapters. Where we have not onely rejection or devyal of grace but damination allo intailed upon Original sin. And if the grace of

a possibility of being, in regard of the suffici-

ency of his divine power; Did God finde

how could God find them before it in the state of Infidelity? Sure you will not make it Adams state before his fall, for he had no need, and therefore it was no part of his duty to believe; in the Gospel sense of believing; and consequently Originall fin, whether as committed by him, or derived unto us, cannot be Infidelity; Therefore that was not the state he left men in and yet the Decree of Reprobation had no other lower Prospect of man, as a condition to passe him by upon, but that wherein Adam left him, as the Synod hath defined And therefore your [other fins] mult disband together with your state of Infidelity, unlesse Originall sin be a Noun of Multitude; For that is that, which the Synod calls the common state of fin and damnation, wherein they lay, God left the Reprobate, when he denyed them the grace of Faith. But M. Baxter proceeds, and tells us of the Synod further, that Of all the Non-elect they determine that God leaves them but in that misery, into which, by their own fault they precipitate themselves : and that he leaves them by his just Judgement to the Malice and Hardnesse of their own hearts. 'Tis most certain, when ever God leaves men. he doth it by his most just judgement: but that He should leave them, to the Malice and Hardnesse of their own hearts, before this

faith was denyed to them upon that account,

very strange. And unlesse Adams sin, or Originall sin, upon which the Decree of Reprobation passed against them, be Malice and Hardnesse of heart, I see no truth in that asfertion, that God leaves them (then) to the Malsce and Hardnesse of their own hearts. This is indeed a misery, into which men by their own personall faults, do precipitate themselves: such is not that which you and the Synod speak of; neither by omiffion, nor by commission, nor by consent. How then? It is the fault of their Nature, which they are made guilty of anely by imputation, faith Master Calvin, as you may finde him cited in the Preface to Tilenus his Examination. To which I will adde that of Lubberius +, Our Carnall generation † A Syasdist. In Defrom Adam, fal'n and guilty, claratione neither is, neither can it be, the Respons pag. cause of that originall guilt which ΙOς. we derive from him; but the imputation of sinne committed by him, &c. And if it be thus, then you cannot fay, they are but left in that mifery, into which by their on n ( if you mean proper personall) fault, they ptecipitate themselves. Neither is it true, that they are but left in this misery; for according to the nature of the means, deligned by this very Decree, and fubordinated

Malice and Hardnesse be found in them, were

To proceed. You say, Though they deny Election to proceed upon foreseen saub (because God decrees to give that faith, before me can be forefeen to buvest) yes they purposely passe by the question, Whesher foreseen Insidelity be in any the qualification of the object of Reprobation or Preserision: But plainly they took foreseen [ Malice, Hardbeartednesse, mens own sin, and their own wayes and common misery ] to be the qua-Lification of that object. Apfwer 1. For the Common mifery we grant it. In drawing up their Canons against the Remonstrants, touching the divine Decrees, they thought it would be most for their advantage, to plant them upon that, ground. But what? men's own fin, and their own mayer too, did they take these to be the qualification of the object? It feems the Reprobates learn to go alone betimes. But I suppose they had not gone very far in those wayes, what ever speed they made; For the Synod do determine that this Act of Reprobarious, or preterition, passed against them uponthe fall of Adam, (as was observed before, and the places where they hold it forth pointed out to you ) and how many Leagues had

to the execution of it, they are subjected in-

evitably to a far greater milery, 1. of fin,

and 2. of condemnation and punishment.

But I fee, if you have not miliaken them; the Synod have milled you, in thefe, Cap. I. Art. their own wates; For whereas 15. they fay, the Novelest are those, whom God hath decreed to leave in the common mistry, and not to bestow subing faith, and the grace of conversion upon them, but leaving them in their own wayes, Ge. Here's'a' defeription of Reprobation, with the fruits or effects of it. Tis a reliction of men in the common stare of mifery, accompanied with the deniall of faving faith and the grace of coirversion; and here is their first leftilly forth, in their progresse into actuall fine, Will, Waving accomplishe that unbappy voyage, at last they arrive at condemnation and full his nishment, us the Synod (in biller words) freports it. Here then, if you consider the Decree of Reprobation patted upon the Account of Adism's fall, then's own (If you take it for) actuall sin, and their own muses are notes frewious qualification for it: but 'a necessary and unavoidable eansequent of it. 2. Unitesse [ Mal ce and Hurdbearsednesse] be common Titles for Originall Inne, ( wild if they be, they are very absord ones ) you

Cain traveld upon his own legge, lat that time? and yet he was the first of such tra-

vellers that were left in that fall, if yet we

may conclude him to Have been left in it.

Infidelity; For Infidelity of this kind, as a fin, there can be none, (according to your own doctrine) till Christ, the object of saving faith be propounded. He could not be propounded (for a Saviour, till there was need of him) till after Adam's fall; but before that Proposall, the Act of Reprobation stept in, and prevented all the Non-elect of his faving benefits, as the Doctors of the Synod have concluded. And yet 3. If God found many of these Non-elect, in a State of Infidelity (as you affirmed a little above), why shat should not be acknowledged, as fit a qualification, to be looked upon, in an object of Reprobation, as Adam's fall, or any other, if not much more, then any other of their own fins, I cannot yer understand. But the truth is, though Matter Baxter faith, they purposely passe by the question, yet having fixt their Decree of Reprobation upon the foll, to speak consonantly to that Doctrine, they could make no question of it; especially having denyed election to proceed upon foreseen De Reprob. faith; For the Divines of the Pa-Judic. de latinate do conclude from that propos. s. All. Synod. Rule, Comrariorum eadem ratio, Dor. \$411. 2. eadem scientiaeft, That from the )1Z. 19.

are as much out of the story as before, as

hath been made evident already. And fo for

dam repropolite, Reprobation. 🛧 baffe propter prawifam incredulitatem, blasphemum est in Deum, mi bacvarione jus fuum detrabitur, gloria eripitur. Paræus in Rom. 9.13. One thing more, I must take notice of, though it be shut up in a Parenthesis; you say; Geddecrees to give faith before we can be fore-Seen to have it. I would fain know, whether God's forefight hath no other Perspective glass or way of discovery but His Decree? For if he doth, in your opinion, decree to give or effect every thing in us, before we can be forefeen to have them; then it inevitably follows, that in your Judgement, that [ foreseen Infidelity, Malice, Hardheartednesse, men's own fine, and their own wayes and common mifery \ are to be put upon the account of Gods Decree, and laid at the doore of his efficiency. And then, whether to punish men for these, (if they be the effects of his own Decree, and so unavoidable) be justice in him or no, you go on and tell us of the Synod, That, they make Presertion an Act of Inflice in God. Ausw. But Sir, I have shewed you before, that not onely a single Person, M. Norton, but a Quum Deus lellionis fecit, sum justicia ejus nondum erat lafa. Piscate

cour. Schaff. Tb. 104.

ecount given of Election tis ea-

fie to take the measures of its op-

† Dicere, Deum quofe

H 4 whole

whole Astembly, (that late one at Westmin-Her)make it not an Act of Justice, but of Sovemignty. And may not this be the very fense of the Synod, by an equivocall use of the word Justice? For Generales\* (a Crewbilitie-\* Thef. de rian, as was noted above ) faith, Præd. disp. 1604.Thef. though God doth destinate and 27. Nicalicreatomen to destruction, he canus 🕹 Schuanot be accused of sinjuffice, in re-Te Satth, Non gard of a double right in him; 1. accidere ex that of absolute dominion; 2 that justina judicii divani, of judgement, fabordinate and requod piures 'lative to fin, (when it is commitfint condemred:) So, faith the, here appears nandi, quan falmonths led a double juffice. One is flie Tuex 4 justitia ellice of an abloture Soveraign, dominii de c. who is supposed to do no injuco Appen. flice, whatfoever he doth, being preffior. Declar, in under no Law, and baving all at Præfat. his dispole; In this sense the Se-Reprobation. pivelapsarians call Preterition an being fixt at Act of fuffice. ( Jure Domini::) orîginaîtfin, and that of Or 2. the Juffice of a Governour erabed 40 or Judge, who patteth no fen-Gods imputence of condemnation, but upon fation anely, intuition of fin, or evidence of ebe subole account of guildinesses and in this scale the that Decree is finally, by themrefolved into Gods mere will; meheric rather an Adof Power than Juffice.

Reflexions upon the VIII. Section. TAving gotten footing upon such finine ground, as he supposed he had laid, He proceeds to expostulate very Magification in thefe words. And where now is the odious evrour Hutthis forond Thenes put such a fuce upon? Sir, It hath a more ugly face of its Own, then any Titemes can put upon it; and neither the Sy: nods mark inor your paintry eat hide it, much lesse make it beautifull. But where is it to be feen? you asks Is it wis the Number? If he think a greater number are faced or absolutely decreed to salvation, then they do, he should Speak out. I Promifed you already not to quarrell forther with you about the number. But what

if Tilenas thould speak his mindeout, in this

particular? I hope there is no Felony, nor Trea-

fon can be made of it. I will therefore tell

Supralapsariums will not, but the Subtapsaria unsedo acknowledge Preteraion to be an AC

of Justice. Now, how thany of the Synod were for Gemains his stafe, and how many for

the other; I mall leave to M. Butter to Exa-

nrive. In the mean while I shall hatten to

maké my

you plainly for him, (if you do not know his minde already), that he is so far from think. ing that a greater number are absolutely decreed to salvation, then they do, that he doth not think so of any single person whatsoever. But what ! do they think, that any number are absolutely decreed unto falvation? Absolutely? That is, without any regard to their Faith or Obedience Whatfoever; and are they come to this already? But perhaps by the word Absolutely, you intend, Absolutely in respect of Morives on Gods Part, not in respect of Means on our Part, and yet I cannot tell how you should do that neither, fince the means are absolutely decreed too, as to be wrought in the Elect, according to the judger ment of the Synod, and most \* All. Syn. exprelly declared by the British Der part. 2. Divines \* But what if we grant P4E. 200. you an Absolute Decree, in respect of such Motives ? If we acknowledge, that there is in manno Canfe, Motive, or Moralt Title unto his Election but ascribe it wholly to God's good pleasure and Grace: will you then condescend to it, that there is any qualificurion at all in him, unto which, as the Term or Object, the wildome of God thought fit, the Decree of election should be Terminated? Grant this and we shall soon agree. But what should this Term of Objett be, to qualifie Per-

counts of the Divine wildome and Grace (of which the holy Gospel is the best Repository) to receive the Highest Act of Gods Dearest Love towards us in Christ Jesus; and such is our Election unto Glory, Our Savious Come so bleffed children of my Father ] at the Generall Judgement, being nothing elfe, but the Solemn Judiciali Publication of it. But if Tilenut be of this opinion, What manner of Persons ought we to be 2 Pet 3, Th. in all holy Conversation and Godfinesse? For it should seem by this Doctrine, that a boly faith and a blameleffe life, are made the previous dispositions to our imanitable election unto Glory. But this will asher in a new objection of Matter Basters against Tilenus For if he think, (faith Maltet Baxter) that God foresam that they mould believe and obey, before he decreed to give them faish or the grace of obedience, and consequently that these are oxely or principally of themselves, and not of God, he must condemn Austin Gc, as well as she Synad of Dort. Here we have a very Perverse infinuation, if I may make so bold, with Master Baxter's leave, to use his own expression. Believe and otes (you mean the Gospel) before a Reve-

sons for their Election? It can be no other .

than what may make us capable, in the ac-

of Innocency ? And will pooke collapted Tilenus pretend to it? No , he hath fluidged the Myfteties of Grace, and learne him felf; and the incupacities of Man-kind better, God hath, not onely a Forefight, which is, as I may lay, bounded within the compaffe of things future, in their due time, existent; which cannot be without his Detree : but allo a Foreknowledge, Which extends to all things Possible, though no Decree ever did, or ever shall passe for the sucurition or existence of them. Thus He foreknew that the men of Krilah would have deli-1 Sum.,3321. Wered up David into the hands of Sund, if he had flay'd amongst them; and thus he foreknew that Mat. 11,21. Tyre and Sidon would have repender the fame dispensations, as Charazin and Berhsaida were. Now suppose, Almighty God to confider men under fuch a state and order of means; though he hath not, as yet (to speak after the manner of men decreed to establish either such an order; or such men under it, yet by his Omnipotency, he infallibly foreknowes what creatures of fach capacities, would do, being put into such a Posture. But for Faith and Obedience, these duties re-

lation of it, or a call to it? Was Adam obliged to do so, or could be do so in his state lating to some Authority and Revelation, and requiring power far above what remains in us fince the fall of Adam, It implies a Contradiction to fay, Mancan believe and obey the Gospel, Before he receives as well a comperent fivenigth as a proper Object for it. But Almighty God having put with and fuch capacities into us, and placed us under such and furth meetis and diffentations, in his el ternall wildome, He Foreknowes what ofe and what improvement tvery one will (in that order) make of those capacities and difpenfations; And thus making a Decree according to this his Foreknowledge, He Foretees who will believe and obey, norbefore he decrees to give them I aith or the grace of Obedience, as M. Baxter perversty infinanteib: but after it, (though confidering them un. der luch an order of means, he foreknew it, before his Decree) and consequently this both faith and obedience are neither onely, not principally of themselves, but of Gad; And this is conformat to the Doerne of the Priminitio Church, for all the purell t As Me, Ages of it. 4. And if the Puffion, Baxier ecor president or merest of fierce knowledgerh Disputers have raited new Artiin bis Saints Rest.peri. 1. cles, and maintained them at the P46. 154. in charge, or upon the reputation ibe may\_ of their Over-credslous Admirers, gin. f.

yer having imposed so palpably upon the Faith of the Church, they are in these particulars, to be fortaken, by what Names, or Tieles soever they be dignified or distinguished. And for this we have S. Austin's own warrant and direction. Disputa-Epist. 111. tiones quastibet etiam Catholicorum T probacissimorum vixorum, non esse santi saciendas, erc. He saith, The Dispataisons of the most approved Cutholicks are not so bighly to be esteemed, but that it may be alwayes lawfull for us, with respect to the bonounthat is due to them, to reprove those things in their writings, which we think to be recessions from the truth. And he addeth, that himself, did so by the writings of others, and be would have others do so by his own. Neverthelesse Master Baxter may receive competent satisfaction to his scruples, if he will but keep his word; For he makes a very reasonable demand in these words; For my part, faith he. I wish no more in this, then may consist with Rationall Prayers and Thanksgayings; and if this be all, who willnot adde great AMEN to it? Do you with no more then what may confift with Rationall Prayers for the Grace of God? Formy yart, I am so tarre from denying you this Option, that I wish, with all my heart, that you would really grant but fa

the truth is, men of your Ortho-DORT Persuasion, if they speak consonantly to Right Reason, they must conclude the prayers of the Majar part of mankind, to be grossly irrationall and abfurd. For according to your principles, some, even of those, who are truly tanctified, (for such S. Austine, by your own acknowledgement, allowes to be amongst the Non-Elett) can never addresse themselves to their Devotions, but they must, either pray for their own damnation, which is irrational; or that the Divine Decree about it (as it is calculated by your Doctrine) may be repealed; which is no leffe irrationall then the former. And in (that which is of generall Prescription) the Use of the Lords Prayer (A Forme, which I hope M. Baxter's modesty will yield to be as Rationall, as any his zeale, Phantafie or Godlinesse can make, at least Extempore,) All the Non-Elect are, by unavoidable Consequence, involved in offering up (as it were in one breath) croffe Petitions to a like Purpole. For, according to your Doctrine, All these Non-Elect, are lest, at least, in the Lapse of Adam, under ( as many call it ) an efficacions Permission to fall into Allualisin; in intuition and respect where f, they are Predamned to everlatting fire; and the End of this, you lay, is the Advancement of

much for your self and all your Party. But

tion of his Kingdome. Hence it follows undeniably, that in offering up those Petitions [Hallowed be thy Name; The Kingdome come; Thy will be done; They pray for their own damnation. And again, seeing this Damnation, (to which, Your's fay, they are Preordai, red upon this account) is the greatest ross of Punishment, (or a combination of them All,) To which, though they may be Decreed (according to the Doctrine of many Calvings) by Gods Right of Dominion, or his absides Soveraign power of Jurisdiction, yet adjudged and fentenced to it justly they cannot be with. out the gailt of the ; and therefore the laid efficacions Permission of fin, and the peremptos ty Demall of Grace Sufficient and never ary unto Faith and Repentance ( without which there is no Remission) are Decreed likewise, as the unavoidable Means of bringing that Horrible Decree to its Finall, Infallible execution; Hence it follows by unavoidable Confequence. That in offering up those other Petitions, [ Forgive as our trespasses; and lead us not into temptation; but deliver to from vill, These Non-Elest do implicitely Pray, that the fuid Decree may be Repealed. But his Question following, Would you not have men pray for faith that wantis? May

Gods Glory, and the Finall execution of its at the Great day, which will be the confumma-

16. Decree upon the 1. Chapter: Yesupon fecond thoughts, (Chap. 3. and 4. Rejett-4.) and a fuller view of it, they descry it to be an enemy, not onely in Arms, but upon the March too, (as the English Translator gives Intelligence) against direct Testimonies of Scripture: And Donteclock taith, All study and care a man can use to promote his salvation, before faith and the spirit of renovation, is not onely wain, but rather hurtfull then profitable, as you heard above. If this be tiue, to what end should a man pray for faith that we nested But I thank God, I sam not of M. Donneclocks opinion; and therefore you thall have my advice without a fee in this case; Let him prity. And he that bath it, let him Pray for more continually with thankigiving. But M. Baxeer hath not done his Expostulations yet; for he goes on in the fame ftrain ftill Was it not a Rational Prayer Lord increase our Faith and Lord I believe, help thou my unbelief?] Who doubts it? And was it not a Rationall Thank serving of Paul for his Converts, that [God had given them both to believe and fuffer for him? ] That God had given Phil. 2. 29. the Philippians to believe and ful-

put a man to a thand, if not to silence. The Synod it felf was not resolved about it. For

though it be a piece of their advice in their

affertion, not thankigiving, which yet had been very commendable, if he had thought fit to take the occasion. But you observe God gives both to Believe and luffer; I suppose you mean the opportunity and patience to glorifie his Name and Golpel by their fufferings; for fure you cannot think that God did actually inflict the reproaches, which they suffered, or their stripes upon them; and if you understand it of the grace and power to yield submission to their Persecutions with meeknesse, we must remember the concession till we meet with a fit occasion to make use of it. In the interim we will have the patience to read out your long objection; which proceeds still in these words, [ Sure you do not mean when you pray for [ Increase of Faith ] that God would give you naturall free-will, which you had before, or that he would send the Gospel to you; but some way that he will effectually procure you to believe. (And doubtleffe the way of his internall operation is beyond our reach, and therefore beyond our dispute.) 1. If this be beyond our reach and our dispute; why have your Party stretcht themselves so much, and multiplied fo many Cb.3. & 4. fierce disputes about it? Nay, Rejell. 8. (g

why have they defined to post-

Art. 12.

fer (not to fight) for him, I find, by way of

fistibly? Nay, if the work be beyond our reach, why have so many Worthy learned men been, not onely revited but runed by them; because they chose rather to sollow the expresfions of the holy Scriptures and Ancient Fathers, then subscribe to their new and unfavoury, and contraditiony Phrases, and po-2. You may be fure, when he prays for Increase of Faith, no man in his right wite, intends his naturall free will, nor the fending of the Gospel, when he hath it lying by him. But now, I pray, let me take my turn to put Questions. Had the Primitive Church for 3. or 400. years together no Ratio all Prayers? Have the Lutheran Churches at this day, no Rational Prayers? Did not they, and do not those, in their devotions, beg earnestly of Almighty God, that some way he would be pleased effectually to procure them to believe? Could this doctrine make to great a Harmony in their Luurgies, and can it not confish with your Prayers? I am sure the fault is not in the Doctrine. That is innocent and charitable and good friends with all

tively, that God employ's his omnipotent

strength in it, working (our regeneration) in m, but not with us (as the English Translator

hath it) but, without us; why do you lay this operation is performed, insuperably, irra-

the world, and therefore very well disposed both for Prayers and other boly Duties.

3. What think you of these Prayers, amongst the rest, in the English Laurgie?

A Lmighty God, which shewest to all men that be in errour, the light of thy Truth, to the intent that they may return into the way of righte-ousnesse; Grant unto all them that be admitted into the sellowship of Christs Religion, that they may eschew those things that be contrary to their profession, and follow all such things as be agreeable to the same, through our Lord Jesus Christ.

## Or of this,

Ord from whom all good things do come, grant us thy humble fervants, that by thy holy inspiration, we may think those things that be good, and by thy mercifull guiding, may perform the same, through our Lord Je-

sus Clarist.

God, foralmuch as without thee, twe are not able to please thee, grant that the working of thy mercy may in all things direct and rule our hearts, through Jesus Christ our Lord.

## Or of this,

Eep, we beleech thee, O Lord, thy Church with thy continuall mercy, and because the frailtie of man without thee cannot but fall, keep us ever by thy help, and lead us to all things profitable to our salvation, through Jesus Christ our Lord.

## Or of ibis,

Ord, we beseech thee, to grant thy people grace to avoid the insections of the Devill, and with pure heart and mind to follow thee, the onely God, through Jesus Christ our Lord.

Lastly, what think you of this Prayer,

Revent us, O Lord, in all our doings, with thy most gracious favour, and surther us with thy continuall help, that in all our works begun,
continued, and ended in thee, we may
glorisie thy holy Name, and finally, by
thy mercy, obtaine everlatting life,
through Jesus Christ, our Lord.

Is not this a Rationall Prayer? Doctor Jackson saith, That, In the first B. 10. chap. part of this Godly Prayer, we have 37. Pal- the state of the Question concern-3131. ing the concurrence of Grace and Freewill, more pithily and more plainly set down, than in any Controverse writers, when ther in the Romich or reformed (hurches. The Summe is, That without Gods Preventing Grace, or peculiar disposition of his Favourable Providence, we cannot do any good works at all, though but Civilly or Morally Good, nor any works Spiritually Good without Gods affistant Grace, or gifts of the Spirit inherent in Mi.

To be a Helper, is a Title, which the Almighty frequently takes upon himself; and

the Church is wont to appeal to him under that Title, when the addresseth her self to him, to gain his fuccours in ber distresses. And, as S. Austine hath observed, that Tale is as well a prescription of our duty, as a support of our expectation. Iffum nomen Adjutoris prascribu tibs, quia & tu ipse aliquid agui. Agnosce, quid poscas, Agnosce, quid confitearis, quando dicis, Adjutor meuses, ne derelinquas me. Adjutorem utique invocas Deum. Nemo adjuvator, si ab illo nihil agator. If we style God our Helper, we suppose our felves to be in action, and our Prayers defign'd to folicit his affishance, not to cut out work for him. If we think those divine dispensations, under whose influence, we desire, in our prayers, to be conducted, should determine all our good motions, we might foon be tempted to throw off all care and leave all other duties to be wrought in us, by God alone, and betake our felves wholly unto prayer. And having entertain'd this vain imagination, how many are there in the world, that, when they fuffer infirmitie, or fall into fouler fins, are ready to ascribe it, not so much to their own neglect of duty (for alas! humble and modest wretches, they can do nothing!) as to the suspension of that divine Omnipotent determination, that should have accomplished the same in them. And then

they comfort themselves with such thoughts " as thefe's | God did not determine me, not "bow.my Will to do otherwife. I cannot of " my self-change my disposition, and this is "that I received from God, who fuffered me-" to be ked into this temptation, though I have "not failed to pray daily not to be led into it. "What shall I do? I hope God will one day " give me to to be determined that I shall not " tall. Thus they hope, and pray, and expect the return of their prayers, but their fin remains, because they will not be convinced, that it is their own duty, at least, not that it is in their own Power and choice, to determineagainst it. Our torpor and lukewarmnesse is a disease that wants to great a remedy as our own prayers and God's quickening affiftance. But if the tword of Josus doth not second the elevation of Mojes hands, if our endeavours do not attendupon our Prayers, those Amalekaer that infest us will never be discomfited. Hence Saint Peter is carefull, that when we rise from off our knees, we should take heed to our walk also, 1 Pet. 1. 17. If ye call on the Father, who without respect of persons sudgeth according to every mans work, paffe the time of your so ourning here in feare. In a time of violent temptation, I doubt not, God may and many times, doth youchemployment, for the service of Rev. 3. 10. his Name and Church) that they shall not be vanquisht or transported by it. But when their will is thus necessarily determined by Almighty God, their liberty ceafeth, and they cannot properly be faid, at that very time, to obey God (as obedience presupposeth liberty, and denoteth duty) in overcoming that temptation; because that Speciall Help ( which gaines the Conquest ) is given as a kind of reward of their former plety, or as a present benefit returned unto their prayers. But we are to confider, not what God's superabundant Goodnesse is pleased to conferre upon such as are excellent in virtue, (whether by way of reward for their former piety or of benefit upon the earnesthelfe of present devotions) to help them in their faddest exigencies: But what his ordniary way of dispensation is in the Commencement and carrying on the work of Grace in mens hearts. The best measures we can rake for this, are his own Revelations; and these are discovered not onely by Commands, establishe with promises and threatnings : but by obtestations and complaints: As I live, faith the Lord, I

fafe to to fortifie and determine the will of

his faithfull and devout fervants, at the im-

portunity of their ardent prayers, (or when he

fends them out upon some fignall

Ifrael? By Opisons and wishes; O Pfal.81.13. than my people had hearkened unto me: and Ifrael had walked in my wayes! O that they were Deut . 32. v. wife, that they understood this! 29. Chap. 5. 29. Othat therewere such a heart in them! By Prayers and befeech. ings; This is fignified by the extension of hands, (which is often Ifa, 65.2. fet forth as a posture of Prayer) All the day, long have I stretched out my hands to a gainfaying people: (and) Now then we are Embassadors for Christ, as though God did befeech you by us, we pray you in Christs stead, be ye reconciled unto God. The Sincerity of God's earnest defire of mans Conversion and Salvation, attested by these (and other like) Patheticall obtestations, Opiions, and Prayers, is enervated and overthrown, viz. as well by the necessity of an irrefishible conversion, as by the insufficiency, or internall inefficacy of Grace, and impossibility of obedience. 'Tis inconsistent with the Divine wildome to defire impossibilities, as life from a dead creature, or motion from a carkaffe; for that were, to defire a sufficient effect from an unsufficient Cause.

have no pleasure in the death of

him that dieth; Turn ye, Turn

ye; why will ye die, O house of

Ezek. 18.

23.

So to desire an irresistible conversion; for that were to defire obedience, wherein there must be liberty, in necessity; and to be earnest that that may be done by others, which he absolutely intends to do irresistibly by bimselfe. But whereas M. Bayter is so tender and jealous of the reputation of his own Reason; and that must not be debased so much, as to be prostituted to an irrational devotion; it were well, if he were no lesse tender and jealous of the honour of the Divine wisdome. For fuch is God's gracious Condescension, in his intercourse with poore Sinners, that he makes Prayers to them too, that they would be converted, and be reconciled, and be at peace with him. Are these His Prayers Rationall or serious, think you? If your prayers to him, cannot Rationally consist, without his screfistible determination of your will; how can his to you, confist with it? Is it not agreeable to your Reason, to pray for God's preventing and following Grace, For Grace to excite and inable, and affift you, unlesse he doth invincibly apply your will to the fingularity, and every circumstance of every good act you do; And is it agreeable to his wisdome, to pray and befeech you, to do that, as your duty, which he must insuperably work in you himselfe, or else it shall not be

nally expect from you, upon the account of his. And thus much for Rationall Prayers. And by Parity of Reason, your objection from Rationall Thanklgivings will admit of a like Solution. 'Ils certain we can never give Almighty God sufficient thanks for the Riches of his abundant grace and favour towards us. there are a fort of flurdy Beggers, that will crosse the proverb and he choosers too; and if they may not have what they lift, they remain churlish and unthankfull for all other instances of our bounty. But the law hath made a good provision, in appointing not an almes to cherish, but a whip to chastite fuch dispositions. A [God I thank three] may be express with no lixtle vehemency of Spirit, when men have little thanks for their labour; For 'tis ordinary, as well to afcribe unto God what his luffice will not own, a as 2 Jer. 7.10. 2 If 4. 58.3. to expect what his wildome will not grant. You frould confeder that so we are obliged to give thanks : fo God bath thought fit to give commands, and

So that, in thort, your objection from Ra-

tionall Prayers, is unavoidably returned upon

your felf; For what, you think, you may ra-

tionally expect from him, upon the account

of your Prayers; the same he may as Ratio-

our well performed duties. Whatever the Ignorant vulgar do, a wise man will not cast away his commendations upon the actions and combatings of those little Puppers that play in fight, but referves them for the honour of that invisible hand behind the Curtain, by whose fole strength and activity they are put into their severall postures. If our Regeneration or conversion be wrought in us folely by Gods Omnipotent strength, without 116 (as the Synod bath defigned) it were very abfurd that we should have any praise for it : yet the Apostle faith, that, that circumcision of the heart, in the Spirit, (which can be nothing elfe but regeneration, or conversion) though it gains no praise from man, as not subject to his observation, yet it hath praise of God. Rom. 2. ult. God allows his fervants to feek for glory and honour, as well as immortality, by a patient continuance in well doing. Rom. 2.7. c and he affures us, for our ine Phil. 3. 8. couragement, we shall not lofe o 1 Cor. 4.5. this part of our reward. For he Mat. 25 2 1. will give praise, and an Enge of ferve bone & fidelis, well done thou good and faithfull fervant ! God understands the extent of his own work and his creatures duty, better then you or I; and he is so jealous of his own glory,

doth vouchfafe commendations and praife to

end of the day, when their work is done, is an earnest admonition unto us, who are still upon duty in the Vineyard, that he expects to be honoured by an ingenuous and free obedience. To lay all the burden of our duty upon his operation, that the more thanks may accrew to him upon that account, is to grow lazy that Grace may abound; And he will never accept of such thanks, as are set up to commute for duties that are attended with more cost and difficulty, or are made a pretenfe for floath, or an incouragement to tepidity. 2. I would aske, from whence these Thank sgivings, you set so much by, come? Sure, if the rest of your good Motions carry this stamp of Divine and irresistible Determination upon them, your Thankefgivings do so too, and then whether they be Rationall or no, you cannot but perform them, and in that case, whether it be Rationall to expect praise and glory for them, I have some Reason to be doubtfull. The summe of all is this; The Praise which God gives his servants for the performance of their duty, it is a Rationall Praise, or it is not. I hope, you will not fay the last, for shame; for admit there be a

he will not profitute any part of it to an unworthy flattery of his Vassals. The Appro-

bation and Applause he gives them, at the

allow that there is some truth too, Job-1. 17 and then it must be Rationall; for God is a God of wisdome, If this praise be Rational, then it is for fomething done that might have been omitted, or done otherwise; elfe how can a man bave praile in himselfe alone and not in another, as the Apostle faith he hath, if his works be Judgement-proof and current? Galat. 6. 7. In thort, this puts the difference, betwixt that Good, that confists in duty, and that which confids in operations merely voluntary: and that whether they proceed from the Excellency of Essentiall Perfection, as in God, or from the benefit of exalted Nature, as in Saints, and Angels. They, who are subject to a law in the quality of Trobanoners, in order to their triall for preferment, they can give no proof of themselves; Acts of Subjection they may do, but rewardable obedience they cannot performe, unlesse they have liberty of Contradiction (as the Schools call it) a freedome to do, or not to do their duty. It is otherwise with Angels and holy Spirits at their journeys end, when they Rest from their duties, and Rev. 14 13. reign as kings in the poffession of eternall bliffe. The excellency of their good-

great Grace in it, yet you must

mity to the elsief Good, with a full satisfaction and acquiescence in the fruition of it, with out that imperfection of a liberty to do otherwife. Upon our arrival in heaven, and our immediate approximation unto God, when we shall be like him, and see him, as he is in Glory, we shall then will Good as the blessed Saints and Angels do, most voluntarily, yet not of freedome but necessity a But to doe this, is the Prerogative of our Nature, in highest state of Exstation, by way of reward upon the confummation of her duty, which is free obedience, and never properly performed (unlesse by special dispensation, if any such he granted ) but when we have it in our own power and choice to do otherwife. But this contemplation hath transported me beyond my bounds. All that is defired of Master Baxter is this, that he will allow, that praise, which the most wife God gives unto men for their good duties, may be as Ratiomall, as Man's Thankigiving for the benefit of the Divine Grace; and then his objection will amount to nothing. But as long as he continues so eager in a palpation and flattery of his own Reaton, He must expect to meet with some Adversary, that will be no lesse zealous in a just vindication of Gods wifdome. But let us attend to the case he puts

nesse consists in a persect voluntary Confor-

with a [ If , ] and an interrogatory, after this manner. 3. [ If bis offence be at Gods preterition of men without a forelight of their demerit) as taught by the Synod. To interrupt your Period, I suppose this is a matter to take offence at, and to carry a just indignation against too. For Denteclock and Molinaus fay, it chargeth God with unjustice; Molin. Anatom. Ar-For it cannot confid with Gods min. c49-13 Justice, Si homo innocens & nulpag.84. lam ob enlpam destinanetur ad desertionem, ex qua aterna perditio necessario consegueretur; If a man innocent, and for no fault should be destin'd to desertion, from whence of accessity his eternall perdition followeth. He addeth another Reason (Parag. 10.) If God hath destined his creature to perdition, it is necessary that he should have destinated it to fin too, without which that perdition cannot be just, and so God shall be the impussive cause of fin. Nor can man be justly punished for that fin, unto which he is either precisely destined, or compelled by the will of God-And (Parag. 6.) He flies higher, and faith, By this kind of Reprobation the Innocent creature is not onely made most miserable, but also most wicked. For if God doth first

for Tilenus to answer, which is ushered in

gain; and so God, by this opinion, is made the Author of fin, and mans hatred of God. This Opinion therefore, even in the Judgement of Molinaus, ( to whom the Synod gives so great commendations, amongst their † Part. 1 p. Acts †. ) does justly give offence to all Readers, that are tender of 300. the honour of Divine Justice. But (faith M. Baxter) it is not their Dollrine (true or false) but his (Tilenus) forgery, yeart seems contrary to their Dollrine. You say right M. Baxter, it feems contrary to their Doctrine: and they and you, are much beholding to your seemings: But Mulia videntur, que non sunt; and so doth this. Was it rejected as a troublesome Doctrine to those Churches, wherein it was so fiercely maintained? Let the Reader remember (if Master Baxter will not ) what the Contest was, betwirt Maccovins and Lubbertus, mentioned above; Let him also reflect upon the Publick Profession of Gomerus in the open Synod, let him read again his Definition of Reprobation, fore-cited. There were many Creabilitarians, as well as Gomarus, who made the creature in its condition of Possibility, to be the object of the Decree. And these Spi-Lits were too Mercuriall to have been fixt, to

bate man, the work of his own hands, it can-

not be but that man must needs hate him a-

them, which they could not easily, by the benefit of some few distinctions, have reconciled to their Supralapsarian Doctrine. These are none of Tilenni's forgeries, Master Baxter; by which, what ever their Doctrine seems to you, ( for Perit judicium cum res transit in affectum) it will be evident to the impartiall Reader, that the Major l'art, 10 which the rest subscribed, thought AB Symd. it a thing indifferent, ( and so the Dor.part. 2. Deputies of the Synod of Son !. pag. 34 f. Holland, expresse themselves, as Sie Judic. was alleadged above ) Whether Gomari de Reprobipart. Gods Preterition of men we com 3. p. 24. Th. farefight of their demerit, or with-2,6,7. out it. If, (as you tay of Gods regard to faith and obedience in reference to election; to you affirm he had regard in his Preferition to mens demerit, 1. as the necessary fruit or effect of that Preterition or Reprobation; a as the condition upon which he decreed to damn them; I grant in this sense, 'tis their unanimous Doctrine, that in his Preterition, God had a regard to it, and a forefight of it. But indeed, faith M. Bavier, they (well) (how well, is referred to the Readers judgement) affirme that there was the same on and demerit, (therefore no re-

a subscription, of those Canons or Decrees of

the Synod; if any Syllable had been found in

gard to faith and obedience in Tilenm's tense) in many, whom yet, God Decreed to convert and save. They say so; but there is so much equivocation and Artifice in their fayings, that we see the Supralaplarians concluded they might Subscribe to it, without Prejudice to their own opinions. Mafter Baxter proceed-thus, 4. If his offence be, that they think, that [God doth not effectually convert and fave all the rest of the world I if he be a Christian, he believes the same himself : or if he be not, one Part of it may be feen If you had Pradically learned, what that of our Met. 7. 3. Saviour meaneth, έκβαλε πεώτον, you would have weeded this laft clause out of your discourte, before you had charged Tilenus with Perserfe Infinuations . But Tilenus will take no offence at this; neither is he offended, that God doth, not effe-Aually convert and fave all the rest of the world; no nor yet, that he doth not give to all Grace that is immediately sufficient to faith in Christ and to salvation. But his offence is, that you teach, God hath rejected farre the greater part of mankinde, not-willing to fave them, nor to give Christ to die for them, nor to conferre any faving benefit upon them, by the help whereof they might convert themselves, no not when he doth seriously and with

lity to rife again and convert themselves, should without any mercy be born under a delignation to the eternall and most exquisite pains and torments of hell fire; and which is more, that when the Promises of the Gospel are held forth to allure them to an expectation of a better condi-See AB. Synod. Dord. tion, that they should be decoyed PATT. 2. Peg. into a state of infidelity, and a new 24. Ib. 2, 6 contumacy, whereby they may be ≦. & p. 84, Thef 21. p. involved in a more hemous guilt, 35. Thef. 2. and so obnoxicus to a far more in-(9 part. 3. rolerable condemnation, for the pag. 76. rejection of that Grace which was p. p. never intended to be of advantage to them; and all this to no other end in the world, but that by this meanes, the most Gratious and Mercifull God, might procure (as is pretended) the Glory of his Liberty and absolute Dominion, in saving and damning his poore Creatures at his Pleasure. But here Master Bawter, falls upon us againg for he faith, 5. If be be offended, that they teach, that God doth not give Sufficient Grace

open armes invite, follicite, and even with

prayers and supplications exhort them to be

converted and fave themselves from perditi-

on; but to have decreed, that infinite Myri-

ads of men, faln, by divine punishment inflicted for Adams sin; into an utter inabi-

those of his way) they confesse that God gives to other men as well as to the elect. Answ. 1. Those of his way? why may not he have a way by himself as well as you? But the truth is, he is no great friend to Singularity. He loves to keep the good old way, where a man may be fure to find him, and where he is fure to have good company, that love to keep the beaten road with him. But of your Party, every one follows his *1∫4.* 55.11. Of Right 10 own way, as the Prophet com-Sacram. plains; infomuch as you take liin the Praberty to tell them, it is not in my face. power to be of all mens minds, when they are of so many and inconfistent. But 2. do the Divines of the Synod fay, that God giveth tufficient Grace to other men as well as to the Elect? I pray point us to the place. I am fure, your so much admired Martinius faith otherwise, and yet he was the most likely to use the fullest expressions to this purpose; But when he had cut some faire Trenches, as if he would bring the water of life into the dwellings of the Reprobate, on a sudden he opens a Sluce that carries all from them again to refresh the Freehold of the Elect. Et quia his (Eleitis), saith he, Fides, ap-

to the rest; I answer, That which he calls sufficient Grace (but you cannot tell where) or

fti pro folia fonce communis delectionis oxiri dielettis.Thef. xi, incredules praterfluunt, apud 5. part 2. p. eos non manentia, in solos elettos 107. influent : ut quibus solis fiant utilia. All common benefits which do foring from the fountain of God's common Love, they do flide by, and make no flay in unbelievers: But they flow into the Elect, and become beneficiall to them alone, to whom Faith is effectually communicated, to that purpose. By this it is evident, that Martinize did not give fufficient Grace to others, as well, as to the Elect. 3. I wish M. Baxter had dealt ingenuously and freely with us. He might have told us politively, that the Synod confelle, God giveth sufficient Grace to the Non elect : But he knew very well, this is inconfident with their Doctrine, which I shall make evident by feverall arguments; as: 1. They who are lelt in the fall of Adam. and common state of since and dampation, they have not Grace sufficient to falvation. The Non-elect are left in the fall of Adam, and the common state of fin and damnation. Therefore they have not Grace sufficient, &c. The Major is evident of it felf, The Miner is the expresse Doctrine of the Synod. In the

applicandi organum, donainr, re-

liqua communia beneficia, qua ex

Martin. de

morte Chri-

That Common Grace comes to the Nonelect but by Accident, and not out of an intention in God to doe them good. Therefore--The Major needs no proof. The Minor is the Doctrine of Triglandius, a Sy-In Declay. nodist, who saith, That the ex-P4g. 202. ternall calling of the Gospell is properly directed to the Elect onely; and the Reprobate are not called but improperly and by accident. And Master Baxter hath let fall something to this purpose, in his Treatise of Right to Sacraments, pag. 418. m. and little lesse is implyed in the 15. Sect. of his Preface, in these words, Is it a Rationall concest, -that God hash as full a purpose &c. Tothis sense the Deputies of Gelderland above. 3. Arg. That which comes short of what is necessary to falvation, is not sufficient to falvation. The Grace conferred upon the Non-elect comes short of what is necessary to salvation. Therefore & c. The Major is evident; because the least

1. Chap. of Predest. Art. 15. & Rejett. 8. &

elect by accident, and not out of an intention

in God to do them Good, is not sufficient to

2. Arg. That which comes to the Non-

Ch. 2. Rejett. 5.

falvation.

measure of sufficiency that can be, takes away necessity.

The Minor is the Doctrine of the Divines of Embden, (in terminis) As God for his

good pleasure Decreed to leave fome in that misery, into which Dord, par. 2. they were precipitated by their p.76. Hyp.5. own fault; ita Media ad falutem necessaria its non conferre, decrevit; So he decreed not to conferre upon them meanes necessary unto salvation. So the Deputies of

cessary unto salvation. So the Deputies of the Synod of South Holland, amongst the Acts of the Synod at Dort. Part. 3. pag. 35. De Reprob. Rejest. 1. and the whole Synod in the 8. Rejest. upon the First head of Doctrine, where they threw it off as an Errour, that God did not decree to leave any in the sall of Adam, and passe any over in the communication of Grace necessary to Faith and Conversion.

4. Arg. Common Grace onely is not suf-

4. Arg. Common Grace onely is not sufficient to salvation. The Grace conferred upon the Non-elect is common Grace onely. Therefore.

For the proof of the Major, I will referre the Reader to M. Baxters additionall Sheet, (at the end of his Papers, Of saving Faith †) especially Prop. 13 † Pag. 94where he may see the nature and effects of common Grace, and how far it can carry a man. The Minor I presume M. Baxter will not deny.

5. Arg. That Grace which doth Specifically differ from saving Grace, and can by no

improvement of the Non-elect, become saving Grace, is not sufficient to salvation. The Grace conferred upon the Non-elect, doth specifically differ from saving Grace, and can by no improvement of theirs become saving Grace. Therefore.

The Major, I think will not be Ubi supra. denied. The Minor as to the first

branch of it is Master Baxter's

Synod, Dord. Doctrine; and as to the second 3 part. pag. branch of it, 'tis the judgement 213. of the Divines of Drest, who affirme, that Finis horum generalium donorum non est, ut corum retto usu majora & plura mereamur aus assequamur, 🜣 tandem Reprobi fiant Elelli: Sed ut Societas humana & poli-De confervenier, Electifque varies modes inserviatur, utque ad vocationem internam preparentur. That is, Those generall gifts are not bellowed upon us, to the end that by the right use of them we might obtain more and greater gifts, and to at last the Reprobate become Elect: But that humane Society and

Policie might be preserved, and that they

might be infervient to the Elect, and pre-

pare them for their inward call. As much as

this, upon the matter, is implyed by the Synod amongst their Decrees. Chap. 3, & 4.

Reject. 5.

6. Arg. That Grace which doth not flow from the fountain of saving good, is not sufficient to salvation. The Grace confer-

sufficient to salvation. The Grace conferred upon the Non-elect doth not flow from the fountain of saving good. Therefore, The Major is clear enough. The Minor is

proved out of the Decrees of the Synod. Chap. 1. Art. 9. Where they say, Election is the fountain of all saving good; from whence saith, holinesse, and the residue of saving gifts, lastly, everlasting life it selfe, do slow, as the sruits, and effects thereof.

7. Arg. That Grace which is not conducible to the falvation of the Non-elect, is not sufficient for their salvation. The Grace conferred upon the Non-elect is not conducible to their salvation. Therefore.

The Major is evident. The Minor is pro-

ved thus, That Grace, which in the very intention of God worketh to the hurr of the Non-elect, that Grace is not conducible to their falvation. The Grace conferred upon the Non-elect, in the very intention of God, worketh to the hurt of the Non-elect. Therefore.

In Hoseam The Major needs no proof. The

unto their evernall destruction. Which shall further appear by this 8. Arg. That which is intended and defigued, as a mean to carry on the Decree of Reprobation, to its final execution in the Nonelect, that is not conducible to the falvation of the Non elect. The Grace confer'd upon the Non-elect is intended and defign'd to carry on the Decree of Reprobation to its finall execution in the Non-elect. Therefore. The Major is not to be denyed. The Minor is proved out of M. Perkins, who speaking of the execution of the Decree of Reprobation, (in the Adult, who are called) Armilla Aumakes three Degrees in it; and in tea. Cap. 53. the first, he placeth their Illumination. Repentance, temporary faith, the taft of the heavenly gifts, and the fanctity of their outward life. These are severall steps in carrying on the execution of the Decree of Reprobation, and to this end is that common Grace conferred upon them, as the more inge. nuous and clear, of that fide, do acknowledge. For they tell by fufficient Grace (when the equivocation of the Phrase is laid aside) they meane fufficient ( not unto falvation,

I hose, saith he, whom God hath Predestina-

ted to eternall destruction, those he also cre-

ateth to eternall destruction, to them all things

which are for the falvation of the elect, are

elsewhere they say, was never in-Synod. Dord. tended for them) thus the B. 11. 2 par. 27 9. Divines. De Art. 2. 2. To render them mexen, abi . So Gom.zrus, in his I befes de Prædeli. desp. 1604.) Thef. 31. and the Beigice Protestors in their Synopsis Pur. Theol. Disp. 24 Thel. 55. and the Orthodox Churches of Wedderan, in their Judgement given in at the Synod, and Mafter Perkins speaks very home to Ib. par. 3. p. the point. (de Pradest. pag. 85.) 152. All that are within the Pale of the Church are bound to believe the Gospel; that he is redeemed by Christ every one, as well Reprobate as Elect, but for different rea-

that is far from their thoughts, but) to thefe

least, neglect of that great benefit ( which

To Convince them of their contempt, or at

Foure ends.

fons. The Elect is bound to believe that by believing, he may be pertaker (of the fruits) of Election. The Reproduce, that by not believing, he may be made inexcusable, etiam exintentione Dec.

3. To improve their induration, and blindnesse. So Calvin. Instit. 1.3. c. 24. n.
12. Those whom he hath created to dishonour and destruction, that they might become instruments of his wrath and examples of his severity; that he may bring them to

more blind and harden them by the preaching of it. (O n. 13.) Behold, He directs his Word to them, but it is to make them the more deaf: He fets up his light amongst them, but to make them more blind : He affords them his Doctrine; but to the end they may be more hardened by it : he applies the remedy, but that they may not be healed. 4. To augment their condemnation and torments. So Beza. Most miserable wretches, alies conscendent, In brevi explic. sot. nt gravius ruant. They are ad-Chrif. Aph. vanced higher by these gifts of 6, 7. Grace, that their fall may be the Resp. ad greater. And Donteclock faith, Scrip cujufd. Christ is preached to the Repro-Anon. bate: but to what other purpose, Resp. pro then that, by this means their Daventr, ad condemnation may be the greater: Apol. Maand Jasob. Rivins faith, the Rethifii. p. 36. probate may frequent the Church, heare Gods word, receive the Sacraments, &c. but yet they cannot be illuminated by thefethings; but all this means tends to aggravate their condemnation, hecause God will declare his glory by their destruction. These are the onely ends for which Master

their end, one while he deprives them of power to heare the word, another while he doth

and think Master Baxter meant (Sufficient) to falvation, the Synod do utterly disclaime it. So the Divines of Geneva De Reprob. Thef. 1. (2. part. pag. 51.) and those of Embden, pag. 73. Quaft.12. & pag. 175. f. they lay, Distinctio illa Gratie in suspicientem & efficacem, sinon de externis medits, sed de vero o interno Spiritus tractu loguamur, minime nobis probatur. They will not allow of the diflinction of Grace, into sufficient and efficacious, if by sufficient you understand any thing besides outward meanes. So Gomarus, (part. 3. p. 24. th. 2.) The Divines of South Holland, (pag. 35. a.m.) and they of Gelderland, cast a reproach upon the Doctrine of sufficient Grace in these words; 2 and de fusficienti graiva & suasione Spiritus S. dicitur, qua potest velle, credere, converti, tui adhibetur, naga sunt. Mere trifles, say they. The Deputies of Over-Ifel Part, 3 Pag. do earnestly deny it toos pag. 195. p. and P. Molin. Part. 1. pag. 290. f. In 2 word, what ever Master Basser saith, the whole Synod denyes sufficient Grace. Ch.1. Art. 15. and Rejett. 8. and Gh. 3, & 4. Rejett. 5. and elsewhere; Nay, (to use his own words) though Master Baxter puts such a

Baxters Common Grace is acknowledged by

those Divines to be sufficient. But if the

Reader be so weak, as to be imposed upon,

face upon it, he denyes sufficient Grace plainty himself, in his very next words; for he saith.

To give them (the Non-elect) the natural power of free will, and a Christ to be here lieved in, and an offer of Christ and life, and an earnest persuasion of them to accept him, and to leave the matter to their own choice, year and to adde common exciting moving help of the Spirit, which yet is uneffectuall, thus is it that the Jesuits call sufficient Grace. Who quarrels with them for the name? The Dominicans yield it them; and though the Jansenians deny it them, the Protestants have no

senians deny it them, the Protestants have no mind to quarroll about a word, the thing is yielded them by all: Nay Master Baxter, abate me an ace, quoth Bolton. All the Divines of the Synod did not grant it. But I wonder, you thould to confidently undertake for all Protest ams, as it you were their Great Distator, or Prologuntor, and had all their Senses in your head, and their votes at your girdle; when alas! we see by too many of your complaints and volumes, that there is not fo good an accord amongst you; Where upon you fay, in your Preface (To your Diff. of Right to the Sacrament ) If I agree with some Reverend Brethren, it must displease the rest by disagreeing from them. And in the point we are about, you disagree

Grace, unlesse taken in those soure lenses a. bove mentioned, as well as the funfenians, whose ingenuity I must commend, that denying the Thing, they abhorre the practifes of others, who study to deceive by equivocating with the Name. But I fee Mafter Baxter will be Catholic indeed; He is grown as charis table as the very fesuits, and allows the Nonelect as much sufficient Grace as they do; The naturall power of Free will, an offer of Christ, and a persuasion to accept him, yea and exciting help of the Spirit too, but uneffectuall. BOOKING ARPON! But let us examine how much it weigheth, that the Non-elect may see how much they are beholding to him for his curtefie. A thing may be faid to be effectuall or uneffectuall in a twofold sense; as for example, a medicine may be effectuall of its own nature, against fuch a difeate, and yet, prove uneffectuall through his fault to whom it is administred; and that either because he will not indure the Application of it; or because he will do fomething or take fomething, that prevents

from a many of them; who deny sufficient

or disturbs and interrupts the operation of it. But when Master Baxter tells us of his sufficient unessetualt Grace, he doth not take unessetualt in this sense. Tis unessetuals in its kinde, and of its own nature (as to the

production of faith and conversion, (according to their Doctrine) and not onely through the fault of him to whom it is administred. So that we may fet forth the sufficiency of Master Baxters Administrations, for cure of the fin-fick foule, by a comparison of the like made to the body. To a man desperately fick, suppose Master Baxter should thus addresse himself in the company of an eminent Physitian; Sir, you are in a very sad condi-tion, and nothing is to be expected but suddendeath, unlesse you will submit to our directions and Prescriptions to prevent it. I Re, God be thanked, you have all your naturall faculties, your mouth and your stomack and here I offer you an excellent Phylitian, and I intreat you heartily to beadvifed by him; he will give you Phyfick shall be wholesome and work very gently, it may Rirre the humour a little. but there is no danger of working too much, for indeed tis uneffectuall. Let the Reader judge whether M. Baxige should not deserve a fee of such a fick perfon, for affording him fuch a sufficient means of Cure Would not this Doctrine make an excellent exposition upon that of Jer. 51. 9. Curavimus Babylonem. We would have healed Babylon [ we applyed very wholesome medicines, yet uneffectuali ] but she is not bea-

sherefore shalt thou not be purged any more from thy filtbine []e, till I have caused my fury to rest upm thee? Yet this is Master Baxters Doctrine, and his concession of sufficient Grace to the Non-elect; and if they will not take his word for the making of it good, he will put in Sureties, the Jesuits and the Dominicans, for the performance of it. But that we may not doubt he is in earnest, herenews his Grant in these words; This Generall and Common Grace which such call Inflicient, leaving the matter to the sinners choice, we jield that God giveth to the worft that perish. Which such call sufficient? Who are those [ fuch !? Such as your felf, the Dominicans and Jeswits. But the Remonstrasts are Non-Such. If you go to the Jesuits Schools to learn the doctrine of the Remonstrants, no marvell you are deceived. But that the Reader may not follow you in your error, I must tell him the Remonstrants have not so learned Christ, having been taught as the truth is in Jesus. But I must not take leave of this passage?

led: forsake her? And would not this do-Arine make a very Pathetical! Glosse upon

that Commination (of Ezek. 24. 13.) In thy

sitchinesse is lendresse; because I have purged

ther ( administring very sufficient remedies,

yet uneffectuall) and thou wast not purged,

Thould bring food, or Phylick, or Cordials, to the door of a fick Bed-rid person (under a pretence to releeve him ) and leave it there ( for him to take in, who is not able to flirre out of his bed ) and depart, taking no further care for the importation of it? This is none of the Remonstrants Doctrine. God doth not leave the matter to mans choice in this fense. He continues his sollicitations, eill he hath been very frequently and very shamefully repulsed (for his Spirit shall not alwayes strive with man, Gen. 6.) He stands Rev 3, 20. at the doore (which is within our fick-mans reach, and is by God's knocking made in his power to open; there God flands) and knocks Hill, for admission and intertainment; but it being mans.duty, and therefore a matter of choice, not of mecefficy, (wherein, as the common faying is, He can neither will nor choose) it must be his own free Act to open the doore, eife Christ will not emer in and sup with him. And this is notably evinced, 1. from Gods command, Dent. 30. 19. Therefore chuse life. 2. from Christs commendation, Luk. 10. 42. Mary hath chosen the good part. 3. from that commination, Prov. 1.29. For that they did not

till I have taken notice of a [perverse instantation] in it, in these words, [Leaving the mat-

ter to the sinners choice ]. What, as if a man

tels his Congregation, in good sober sadnesse, that the reason that most men perish, for all the mercy that is in God, and for all that Christ hath done and suffered, Treatife of and for all the Grace that is offe-Conver DAE. red them in the Gospel, is, What? Even because they will not receive this Grace, nor entertain Christ and the mercy of God, as it is offered to them. And what doth this signifie, but this, because they would not chuse it? And upon this account they are condemned, and very justly. Yet when he is come from his pulpic, and undertakes to dispute with Tilenus, 'tis not sufficient that Gods Mercy and Christs Merits, and the Divine Grace be at his choice, to receive it; this may serve the Non-Elect: But be not angry, faith he, if we thank God for more, even for giving us both to Will and Do. If you may be allowed to be your own Carvers, no doubt, you will be very liberall in the choice of your own portions; and if God ratifies it, 'tis well for you. But we find that Gods design, in his way of dispenfing Grace, is to promote and advance duty : but your way doth evacuate and cancell it. For if he workes the very Att (which we

chuse the seare of the Lord. And this is good wholesome Doctrine with Master Baxter,

when he gets into the Pulpit; For there he

more Grade, but force. That God worketh to Will and to Do, others acknowledge with no leffe thankfuliness then your felves, if you mean a power and ability in us to Will and to Do (as you implyed your meaning to be, a little before, when you said, He gives both to believe and to fuffer) that is, a pomer to do it; yet fo as the will is left, more free rather then determined under an irreliftible necessitation, and confequently man may abuse his Heb. 12.15. liberty, and be wanting to the 2 Cor. 6. 1. Grace of God, and make default in his cooperation, and so his will may remain undetermined, and the work to which he was inabled ; be left undone. But if you think, when God works to with and to do, 'tis not in Mans power to bury his talent, and comradell Gods motion, I mult roject that Comment as a corruption of the Text, and a subversion of the Apostles argument to inforce his exhortation; With all bumility, sollicitude, fear and di-Est. 6. 13. ligence, Left God be offended, and you misearry, nategydoade, Persicite, conficite, interficite, superate (for the word

call duty) by an irrefiftible operation, in no-

bis sine nobis, as the Synod saith of Con-

version, in m but without m, then duty is no

more duty, but necessuy: and Grace is no

grams and works ability, not of necessity and indefinently, but of his mere grace and good pleasure, which he may be provoked to sufpend and withdraw. This sense gives a huge inforcement to the exhortation. But according to your interpretation, the Apostle should argue thus, My beloved, it is God that worketh in you to Will and to Do, determining your wills to the very Ad of duty, insuperably and irresistibly, so that it is not in your choice to do otherwise; and this he doth because it is his Good pleasure : therefore work out Jour Salvation with feare and trembling. Would such exhortations tend to the quickning of your Andience, or rather make them carelesse? Or can it confift with the Holy Spirit of Discipline and wildome to use such a vehement exhortation, and then back it with luch a Reason, as (if granted) would render that exhortation infignificant and to no purpole? for what diligence is to be used out of a feare of miscarriage, if the effect be irrefiftibly determined > In the Appendix to your Aphorisme, you say, Believing is Pag. 52. properly a condition required of the Party if he will enjoy the thing promised. And

all these significations) all difficulties and opposition being subdued, work out, and make

your salvation dead sure; for it is God ihat

What is that rewarding Justice terminated upon? Man's free duty, or God's omnipotent irresistable work in him? Resolve this, and Tilenus will not be angry, that you give God thanks for working in us to Will and to Do.

Reservoirs upon M. Baxters IX.

Section, and the II. Article.

in your Treatife of Conversion, pag. 296. you lay, Salvation is not given barely from

the will of God, but from the faith and obedi-

ence of men, for it is an act of rewarding In-

[Saith this new Tilenus, Thoy hold, that Christ Jesus hath not suffered death for any other but for those Elect onely: having never had any intent nor commandement of his Father to make satisfaction for the sinnes of the whole world.] Here M. Baxter slies out into passion and soule language, and the first Case of his indignation he gives us in these modest expressions [A most shamelesse salshood, made,

Wherein Master Baxter sets up (to be baited and worried, as his Phantasse

pleafes ) the Second Article, in these words,

as they say, of his fingers ends.] By the way, I cannot sufficiently wonder, why a man, that

of Conscience; should throw such Birds of prey off his own fift, to devour a strangers. Reputation: but the best on't is, they are so well acquainted with the place of their breeding, he may lafely venture to fly them without his varvells, they will find the way home of themselves; and therefore I shall not need. to trouble my felf to take them up for him. But whether Master Baxters singers ends be. not more dexterous at such work then are Tilenui's, let the Reader judge by what follows. There is not a word of the Decrees of the Synod that hath any such importance; saith Master Baster. But you have taught. us to diffinguish betwirt Name and Thing;, suppose the word should not be there, I. hope it will latisfie the Indifferent Reader,, and fage Tilenus his Reputation, if the sense be there; and if (at least) this be not there, I shall despair of ever understanding. the Riddles of this Sphina, without the help of such an Oedipus, as Master Baxter. The Synod (in their 2. Chapter, Art. 8.) decrees and declares their Doctrine in their words, For this was the most free counsil, gracions will, and intention of God the Father, that the lively and saving efficacy of the most precions death of his Sonne should manifest it selfe in ALL the ELECT, for the

hath wrote so many Directions for Peace

nall life; that is, God willed, shat Christ by the blood of his Crosse (whereby he was to establish a new Covenant) should effectually redoem out of every people, tribe, nation, and language, All THEM and ONELY THEM, who from evernity mere elected to salvation, and given to him of the Father, that he should bestow saith on THEM (which as also the other laving Gifts of the holy Spirit, he purchased for THEM by his death) that by his bland he should cleanse THEM from all sins both Originall and Altuall, as well committed after, at before they believed, and final-IJ should present THEM before him in glory without all spot, or blemish. Here we see the faving efficary of Christs Death for their Redemption, restrained to the Elect ONEly, and that according to the counfil, will and intention of the Father; and this Master Baxter had under his view, when he exprest 10 much wrath against Tilenus; and therefore he confutes himself with this Confeslion, They do indeed affert, Att. 2. Sect. 8. That it was onely the Elest that God the Father intended by the death of (brift effectually to bring to faith, instification and fulvation: which is the same Dostrine with that of Ele-Etion before mentioned. Who ought Master

bestowing upon them ONELY, Justifying faith,

and bringing THEM infallibly by it unso ever-

cogitancy? The Same Dostrine with that of B. lettion before mentioned? Why, was not that Election of some, certain, culled out Persons: as the Synod declares? So we fee what Master Baxters Universall Redemption comes to: His Redeemed All, are no more then his Elected All: 'tis an All in respect of kindes, not of Persons. But Christ is theirs to be fure, according to the most Free Counsil, gracious will, and intention of God the Father. So faith the Synod, and this Master Baxter. will subscribe to, when he is Disputing against Tilenus, though when he gets into the Pulpit he declares, this to be a Doctrine of an ill influence; for he faith, Christ and salvation are made light of, because of this disun-Elive Presumption, either that be Making light us sure enough theirs already, and of Christ. God that is so mercifull, and pag. 21. Christ thu hath suffered so much, for them, is surely resolved to save them, or else it may easily be obtained at any time, if is be not yet so. Is it not the expresse Doctrine of the Synod and Master Baxter, that Christis sure enough the Eletts, and that God and Christ are resolved to save them, and that this will most infallibly be obtained at God's time, if it be not so yet? This disjunctive presumption which he preacheth down in his

Baxter this shame, to betray him to this in-

Church, he disputes up in his Closet. And though, when he is converting with his papers (inter Adversaria) and drawing Diagrams concerning the Divine Decrees, his good wits jump with the Synod, and tells us, The Father intended by the death of Christ effectually to bring to Faith, justification and salvation, none but the Elect, yet when he bath his Crown, which is his crowd of Auditors about him, he forgets himself, and if not his love to truth, his zeale to fouls transports him into other language, much more patheticall then this Doctrine will allow of; For thus he addresseth his exhor-Ibid. pag. tation to them; Beloved bearers; **49.30.** the office that God hach call'd ms to, is by declaring the glory of his Grace, to kelp under Christ, to the faving of mens souls. I hope you think not that I come buther to day of any other Errand. The Lord knowes I had not fet a feet out of doores, but in hope to succred in this work for your soules. I have considered and often considered, what is the matter that so many thousand should perish [ Now the man is in a rapture and hath quite forgotten his Decree of Reprobation | when God bath done so much for their salvation; and I find this that is mentioned in my Text; [ Mat. 22. 5. But they made light of it.] & the cause. It is one of the wonders of the

as to send his Son; and Christ bath made a Satisfaction by his death Sufficient for them all, and offereth the benefits thereof so freely to them, even without money or price, that yes the most of the world should perish ; yes the most of those that are thus called by his word! Is it one of the wonders of the world, that Gods eternall and immutable Decrees concerning them, should be executed? | Why, here is the reason, (faith Master Baxter.) when Christ hash done all thus men make light of st; God hath shewed that he is not unwilling; (but your Synod hath shewed otherwise; ) and Christ bath shewed that he is not unwilling, that men (hould be restored to Gods savour and be Javed; but men are strually unwilling themselves. God takes no pleasure in the death of summers, but rather that they return and live, Ezek. 33.11. (How came he then to reject them upon Adam's fin, and deny them Grace sufficient unto salvation, as you teach?) But mentake such pleasure in sinne, that they will die before they will returne. The Lord Jefus was content to be their Physitian, and hath proruded them a sufficient playlier of his own blood: (but such as his Father intended should not be effectuall, by your doctrine ) but if men make light of it, and will not apply it ( which your Party confess they are not inabled to do)

world, that when God bath fo loved the world,

Scripture giveth us the reason of their perdition. It is a most lamentable thing, to see how most men do spend their care, their time, their pains for known varities; while God and Glory are cast aside: (and a little after) Obhow should we marvell at their madnesse, and lament their self-delusion! ( who preach such contradictions ) Oh poore distrasted world ! what is it that you run after? and what is it that you neglect? If God had never told them what they were sent into the world to do, or which ther they were going, or what was before them in another world, (or what Decrees had past to thut them up under fin, and deny them the Grace of Faith and Repentance, according to your Disputations ) then they had been excusable; but he hath told them over and over till they were weary of it. This is Master Baxters preaching vein; by which his vulgar flock would be ready to flatter themselves, that they had their Teachers warrant to be confident, that God doth earnestly intend the falvation of them all. But when this pang of soul-saving zeal is over, that he gets into his Polemicall strain, then he disputes them out of all their hopes again; for thus he proceeds; If this Tilenus think that God intended the justification and Salvation of all by Christ, it's absolutely, or conditionally. Here I wish Ma-

what wonder if they perish after all? This

the Reprobate or Non-elect; if not their Ju-Reflection, and falvation; then, I know nothing else it can be, but their greater condemnation, and then ture he is unwilling they should be restored to his favour; which is opposite, point blank against Master Baxters popular exhortations. But if God intended their instification and latuation absolutely, they shall be faved, faith Master Baxter, which no Christian that I know believeth's Trienus, 23 little Christian as you make him, is of This Faith too; and therefore he faith, God intended this but Conditionally. But then Master Baxter tells us, The rigidest Anu-Arminians, even Doctor Twiffe doth over and over grant it you ( and I thank him for nothing) of Justification, and Salvation, that Christ died to procure this Common Grace, that men iball be inflifted and lived, if they will believe. The Reader perhaps may be amused at this Doctrine, That Christ should die to procure Salvation, upon Condition of belief, for such as are absolutely reprobated. But here lyes the pretty knack; In Logick, there is a certain troth of the Connexion of the Antecedent and Confequent, when yet both the Antecedent and Confequent taken apart are false. For though it be true, saith

Rer Baxeer had positively spake out, what

it is that God intends them, whom he calls

euther that Indas shall believe, or that Judas shall be saved. Now by the help of this Logick, Master Baxier, and his Party, may be able to preach a very Common Grace indeed, though they utterly deny Saint Fude's Common Salvation. For the Scripture telling us indefinitely Epiff, ver.3. that Christ came to seek and to fave that which was lost, and Mark 16. Christ giving his Apostles Com-25, 16. mission to preach the Gospel to every Creature; Ricking to the letter of the Text, a man might inferre, that Christ died to procure this Common Grace, for the horse and mule that have no understanding, year even for the Devills; that they shall be justified and faved, if they will believe. For there is a truth of the Connexion of the Anteces dent, and Consequent, Whosoever believeth shall be saved; though taking them apart there is no truth in them . It is not true, ein ther that horse, mule or Devill shall believe, or that they shall be faved. But as Master Baxier faith a little after, Christ did not die to purchase empty Names, as a benefit; So I may fay, he did not die to purchale empty Connexions of Antecedents and Consequents. This would be an excellent Morne to con-

Master Norton, If Judas believe

he shall be saved; yet is it not true,

Albi supra p.

79.

nerate, or a sweet Cordials for the Desolate ipirit, to tell them there is a certain truth of the Connexion of the Antecedent and the Consequent, if they believe they shall be saved, though taking them apart there is no truth at all in them; For really they shall neither believe, nor be saved. Is not this strong consolation and passing encouragement to tell them, they have just as much possibility of grace and salvation as the beast that perish, or the veriest Devill? I know Ifelburgh, one of your Brems, h Di- In Artic. 2. Tb. 1. p. 110. vines, doth fay, that no mortall mans sins are so great, but the sacrifice of Christ is sufficient for the expiation of them; nullus etiam ex genere humano ab eo ita aut pariter alienus fit, atque Satanas & Angeli mali : That no man is estranged to such a distance from Christ, as the Devil and his Angels are; and he cites for it, Heb. 2. 16. He in no wife took upon him the nature of Angels : but the feed of Abraham. But Zanchy faith, he was born, prayed, died, rese again, ascended into heaven, and there maketh intercesfion for the electionely; and then as good he had not been born at all in respect of the Non-elect, nay, it had been good for them, if he had never been born; as we shall shew anon. In the mean while the Reader is to be

version, if made use of amongst the unrege-

least, a many of them are of Zanchy's Judg. ment; and so we have not onely the sense that Tilener charges upon the Synod, but the very words too. For the Divines a De Artic.2. of Utrecht a say positively, Chri-Thef.4. par. stru pro omnibus & singulis homini-3.7.117. bus non est mortaus; &c. Christ did not die, nor procure reconciliation with God and remission of sins, nor satisfie Gods justice for the fins of Every one. Of the fame judgement are the Divines of Over-Ifel b The Hassien c Divines b Ibid. pag. deliver the same Doctrine too. 134-135. And those of Wedderaud, are of · Par. 2. p. the same opinion; Christ perfor-92. med all the parts of his Priestly d Pag. 100. office, fulfill dihe law, paid the ransome, makes interecssion: And of these three, all and onely the Elect are the adequate object. Qua tris pro objecto adequato habent omines & folos elector. And the whole Synod in their Decrees Rejects e it as C. 2. de an errour in those, who teach that Morte Chr. all men are received into the state Rejell. 5. of reconciliation, and grace of the Covenant. If Christ died for the Non elect and purchased neither Reconciliation, nor remission of fine, nor and the mion, nor eternal life for them, as the Synodifts do generally

informed that the Divines of the Synod, at

his life for, and a rare fubtility worthy the profound judgement of Doctor Twife, and a whole Synod of Anti-Remonstrants? But the office of Christs Mediatorship must be a little more evacuated, and stoop somewhat lower yet, to serve the interest of this Doctrine, as we shall now demonstrate. For, why was the Title of a Saviour imposed upon the Son of God? The Angel told Joseph, the realon was, because he should save his peaple from their fins. Mat. 1.21. What, from the guilt of them onely? no fure, from the power of them also, the dominion and pollution. For he came to destroy the works of the Devill, 1 Joh- 3 5,8. Toturn away ungodlinesse from Jacob, See 2 Cor. 5- 19, 20, Rom. 11. 26. To Redeem as from our vain conversation, 1 Pet. 1 18. To redeem us from all iniquity, and purific in unto himself, a peculiar people zealous of good

conclude; to what end then did he die for them? to procure, faith Master Baxter, This

Common Grace, which amounts to no more

then the truth of the connexion of an Ante-

cedent and the Consequent, I if they believe, they shall be saved though there be a Decree

past against them from all eternity, that they

shall neither Believe, nor be saved. Is not

this a worthy atchievement for the eternall

Son of God to thed his bloud, and lay down

tinued and ratified after his Refurrection; For God having raised him from the dead, sent him (which implyes his Commission) to blesse you, in turning away every one of you from his iniquities. Act. 3. last. And this was according to the Covenant, sealed with his bloud, which conteined on Gods part a gracious Grans of power, That we being delivered out of the hands of our enemies, might serve him wishout fear, in holinesse and righteousnesse before him all the dayes of our lives, Luk. 1. 74.79. To this agrees the Grand Commission for the establishment of the Ministery; whole great imployment is, to preach repentance, (and that is conversion, saith Master Baxter ) and remission of sins in Christs name among all nations. Luk. 24.47. To open their eyes, and turn them from darknesse to light, and from the power of Satan unto God, that they may receive for given: Se of their sinnes, and an inheritance amongst them that are sanctified. Act. 26. 18. (From which, I remember Master Baxter, in some of his Papers, proves Sanctification to goe before Justification, as Justification goes before Glory; and to this purpose, he tells his Reader statly, that without a grain of his own falt, he cannot relish that saying of Saint Austin, Bona o-

works, Tit. 2.13.14. His Commission to this effect did not expire at his death, it was con-

for in, Hebr. cession of his bloud, as were ei-9.10. Hebr. ther Pardon, reconciliation, or ever-13,12 **Eph.** mall life. ς. 25. **26**`. 27. Now if our delivery from sinne, by sanctification, be the very first Part of that Redemption which Christ bath wrought for the world; is it not a very groffe and palpable ablurdity, to overlook or overleap these first fruits of our Redemption (as I may call them) and to affirm, That Christ hath purchased the latter for some men, for whom he bath in no wife procured the former, i. c. Remilsion of sins and eternall salvation, for this se to whom he hath not fo much as procured reconciliation or functifying Grace? Yet this is generally the Doctrine of those Calvinists who feem most to advance the Merit and offigure, of Christs death by their proclaiming-

Christ, say the Hassien Divines, appears bo-

pera non pracedunt justificandum, sed sequuntur justificatum. Good works doe not goe

AA. Synod.

Dor. 2. par.

† And they

do alleadge

p. 92. Dr.

before justification, but follow

The Hassien Divines likewise do

most expressy declare, that boli-

nesse and righteousnesse + were as

true parts of Christs purchase, by

the facrifice of himself, and inter-

an Umversall Redemption.

he maj procure remission of sinues, and restitution of righteensnesse for us. Then he undertakes with his Father for our obedience and gratitude; the feat and carnell of which sponfrom or undertaking, (which is) his holy Spi, rit, he conferres upon us, by whom he stirs up inus a care and fludy both to avoid hin, and to performe righteon neffe. Lastly, he makes intercession for us. Quæ tria intercessionis Chrilti momenta nullo modo ad hœdos, fed tantum ad oves Christi pertinent. These paris of Christs Mediation do belong to none but the Elèct. But perhaps these are none of those Univerfalists of the Synod, that Master Baxter will be tried by. Wellthen, to do him wkindnesse, he shall have his own choice. First he named Parass; and having examined him, he acknowledgeth the sufficiency of the merit: but the efficacy, as to Ibid par. I. the procurement of power, for the P.213. GG. Non-elect to performe that Condition, of Faith and Repentance, (upon which pardon of fin, and Ibid. per. 2. eternall life are fuspended) he 946.79. Th. 3. in explic. flatly denies it. Here is but cold comfort from that ftranger; let us therefore come to thole, who fate warm in

fore his Father, as the onely Medi-

merits and accomplishe satisfaction,

Ibid. p. 100. ator, that by the Presentation of bis

It seemesh good to Almighty God (they say) even after bis acceptation of Christs Sacrifice, not to conferre remission of sens and evernall life, actually upon any, but by and through Faith in the Redeemer. And here that eternall and secret decree of Election discovers it self; when that Ranfome which was paid for all, and shall most certainly be beneficiall unto all the faithfull, to life eternall; yet netwithstanding it doth not profit all, became it is not given to all, to perform the condition of that gracious Covenant. Christ therefore so died for all, that by means of faith, all and every one, by verime of this ransome, may obtain remission of sins and life eternall. He so died for the Elelt, that by the merits of his death, especially destined for them, according to Godseternall Beneplaciture, they might infallibly obtain both Faub, and eternall life. Here is very slender confirmation of Master Baxters Universall Redem. pison hitherto; and now he hath but one reserve to trust to, the Bremish Divines; and alas! they say so little to make his affertion good, that I wonder as much, why he should offer to intitle them to it, as why he should lo foully asperse Tilenus for declaring the Diof another judgement. For Ifel- Thef. 1. Burg affirms roundly, that the

the Synod; what say the British Divines?

Non-elect from all the faving benefits of Christs death. And Lad. Crocius, tells us of no other universall Redempti-Ibid.p. 117. on, but Reconciliation, upon Com-Thef.3. dition, if they will repent and beheve but not a word of procu-Ibid p. 106. Th. 21. with ring Grace to inable all men to Pag. 107. perform this Gondition. And Thef. 2, 3, Martinius, whom it seems M. Ba-47 52 xter reposed most of his confidence in, though he tels us of a like Conditionall Remission and Salvation, if they will be regenerated, repent, and believe, yet, really and effectually Christ hath promerited, purchased, obtained, and communicatesh Faith, Regeneration, or effectuall Calling, Justification and Glorification, to none, but the Elect, according to Gods speciall Decree. This is his judgement. And now are not these Divines wonderfull Magnifiers of the merit of Christ, in affirming that it hath procured this Common grace, even for the worst that perish, as Master Baxter Phraseth it, that he Mall be faved, if he will believe? This is just according to the Proverb, If the skie fall, we Ball catch Larks. You had as good (and may do it as reasonably) tell men of a certain inheritance defigned for them in the world in the Moon, provided they will make a Ladder

Decree of Reprobation hath shut out all the

to cause in menthis condition or not? Sure he did. Otherwise, it would follow, 1. That God invites poore finners to confederate with him in a covenant of Grace, and yet is deficient, in affording what is necessary, to inable them to perform it. And then, 2, it would follow that God were the First Defertor in respect of this new Covenant, which is against all sober Divinity. 3. It will make the Covenant of Grace to be no lesse intolerable than that of workes; for by this Do-Arine, it doth exact impossible commands, and afford no strength at all to perform them. It supposeth God to make new Lawes, and lay new Impositions, upon those bruised shoulders of Adams Polterity, whiles he pretends to heal them. It is a Rule in the Coull I aw, Quando quis aligned comments, such am concedere rudetur.

to Climb up to take possession of it. Happinesse propounded upon Condition, but that Condition made impossible, and that by a pu-

nishment, inflicted by the Propounder; and

yet he to be the Father of Mercies, who sent

his own Son to feal a Covenant of Grace

with his own bloud, wherein he hath under-

taken to give both Grace and Glory, this as the

end, and that as the way. How inconsi-

But, laith Malter Baxter, did God purpose

stent 💯

rious Promife, fure he promifeth and granteth therewith, whatever is necessary for the enjoyment of that life. And in our case, (you heard,) provision is made for it by the every Article of the Covenant, Luk. 1.74, and tis a main Part of our Medi-75. ators Office to take care for the Eph. 5. 25. Heb. 13.12. performance of it, (in 2 way sutable to his wildome, justice and mercy) according to that of the Apostle, Act. 5-30, 31. The God of our Fathers raised up fesus, whom ye som and hanged on a tree; bim hash God exalted with his right hand to be a Prince and a Saviour, to Give Repentance to Israel and forgivenesse of sims." See All.3. But because he gives this, not to laft. evacuate but affift our duty, not to discharge us from it, but to inable and so oblige us the more to be diligent in applying our selves to it; Hence it comes to be our duty, as well as hu donation, To have Grace, whereby we may serve God acceptably with rewerence and Godly fear, Heb. 12. 28. and upon this account the Apostle exhorteth so earnestly, Phil. 2. 12, 13. Work out your falvation with fear and trembling; for it is God that worketh in you to will and to do, of his good pleasure.

fine gao res concessa esse non potest. If God

makes a grant of eternall life to any, or a fe-

And this makes a fair way for our Answer to Master Baxters next domand, in these words; If God did ( purpose to cause this condition) then it was Absolutely, or Conditionally if absolutely it it will be done. If conditionally, what is the Condition? and so in infinitum. That you may not tyre out your patience, or run your selfe quite ont of breath in such a long course, I shall endeavour to stop your passage, by telling you, that there is ordinatily, some condition to be performed, not by way of Cansation, Merit, or Congruity, but by way of Order, to the introduction of faith, or the work of Conversion. This is confest by Master Norten, who saith, Ubi supra That Christ in his ordinary dispenсьф. 6. рад. Sation of the Gospel calleth not fin-129. ners as sinners, but such sinners; that is, qualified finners, immediately to be-But because, he may runne with the Hare and hold with the Hound, like your felf, in this course; therefore, I shall send an Abimaaz after you, to give you a turn; I mean Doctor Jackson, 2 Sam. 18. no Navice, M. Baxter, in School, or 27. Pradicall Divinity; His words Book 1 00 . are these, And because Man by the 31cg. c. affistance of Gods specials Providence (without the concourse of san Elifying inherent Grace) is inabled to do somewhat, which

are we faid to mortifie the body; and not fo onely, but to make our Election fure; yea to work out our own lalvation. For so the Apostle Speaks, Phil. 2, 12. But how are we faid to work out our own falvation? Non Formaliter sed Consecutive: Salvation is the Necesfary Consequent of our working, or doth necessarily follow upon our work. Not by any Merit, or Caulality, force or efficacy of our work, or by any naturall Connexion, but meerly by Gods grace, by the Counsil of his boly and irrefishible Will, by the Determination of his eternall Decree, by which Pat. 3110, it hath pleated him to appoint, The Seep.3114. one as a Necessary Consequent of the other; to wit, Spirituall Mortification or life it selfe, as the Issue of our endeavours to Mortifie the flesh, I hus that profound Doctor. To whom I P. 3143. f. may adde the invincible Argument of that Learned, and Judicious Editor of his Works; His words are thele, Let us take a Polemo, (a must shamelefly debauched Ruffian), upon this man we defire the work of the Lord by our Ministery may be prosperous. We must either tell him that there is fomething required of him in this present state, unconverted as he is, and

being done his Conversation or Mortification

[ball undoubtedly be accomplished a sherefore

ded from him. These two be Points Contradictory Diametrally, there is no mean betwixt them, I say, that of this man, something is required. .. The first Minimum quod fig, is Reflecting upon his own actions, and the Law writ in his Conscience. Next I would apply fome of Gods words spoke by Or 1 would the Prophets to some sinfull peoreade to bim, ple or Person; as 1/4.1.16. Wash Etek, 1'8. you, make you clean, put away the evill of your doings, cease to do evill, learn to do well. Or that of Saint James 4. 8. Draw nigh to God. --- Clense your hands ye finners, and purific your hearts, ye double minded. And would Affirm that these words fignific fomething, were (not empty noises, but) Precepts; and if Precepts, have some Duty correspondent to be performed by him to whom I laid them; which is, quod gaarimus that I would have done. My Adversary must say, No: bing is to be done; It's to no purpole for me to Exhort, or him to Try; nothing can be done to purpole. Now what will the poore Patient fay? Men are naturally inclined to believe them, that most ease and please their natures best. The least Consequent of this Doctrine that he will or can make, and that if he were a good natured man too, will be this; Why then I will

fo let him a Task; or that nothing at all is expe-

betake my felf to a negative idlehelle, wrap my body in my atmes, fit ffill, and wait the Good houre when Grece shall breathe uponme. A Second will fay, Go to then, I will eat my meat with joy, and take my portion of the things of this life, till rafts of a better drop into my mouth from lieuven. A Third may perhaps do worfe; wend to a Tavern, or work place, and make work for Grece, with a gracelesse Desperate hope, that the more he fins, tile more Grace, when it comes, may abound; that quo sceleration to Gratia vicinior: If my Adversary saies nay; He must abstain from lewd Courses; we are half agreed: is not that part, the same with Efay's, Crase to do evill? If he maintain his Conclusion; I have no more to say, but to enter an Appeal to God, and this Protestation to man; That I disclaim all such dispositions, preparations, endeavours, as, cooperating to the Production of Grace, after the manner that temperate behaviour concurreth to produce the Habit of Temperance; or that naturall qualities do, to produce Forms merely Physicall: And this will quit me from Pelagianisme or Popery; But he shall never be able to free himself from the Errours of the Stoick or Manishees that holds it indifferent, what workes à man does before he be regenerate.

Ibid.

This is Master Beauters own Doctaine. Sure I am, laith he, that some means is appointed to be used for the Acquisition of Speciall Grace. Of Saving Faith. (pag. 27, and pag. 46.) And that a very command to use such means as means, is a frongly incouraging intimation, that God will not deny mentile end and bleffing, that use the notans as well as they can. For it is corrain that he appointeth no means in vain. But whereas you say, immediately before this, That [you are latisfied, that God bath not entredinto Covenant or Promise with any unregenerate man to give him faving Grace upon any Condition to be performed without it | Give me leave to ask you, Hath not God made a Generall invitation to all the unregenerate (within the pale of the Ghurch )to come unto him, with a gracious promise to receive them? and doth not this promise imply a readinesse, to grant what ever may fit them for his communion, without which, that promife cannot be made good to them? Dare you affirm, that God will deny saving Grace to some, who make the best use they can, of the Gifts of nature and his common Grace, to stirre up themselves to lay hold upon him? If you dare do this, you dare contradict the Apostle S. Peter, and fay 48. 10-34, (though not, as he doth, Of a

Persons: for in every nation, there be some that feare God and work righteen sneffe, which are not accepted with bim |. You " Whi fupra, complain\*, you have people in peg. 40. † Chep. 3. your Parish that are harping on this string; (and yet this is Ipsiff-😝 4. Re. 99**8**4 485. ma Fides DORDRACENA, † a Bring of that very Infirument, which you have provoked Tilenus to play upon). We cannot give Grace to our selves, nor be faved without it; nor can me have it till God give it us a which if he will doe, we shall be saved wif he will not, all that we can doe Will not help it. ] I pray do not you twift another string for them to harp upon, by telling them. Doe what they can to dispose themselves for it . Bad bath made no promise to bestow saving Grace upon them. For this will make as foul a jarring in their minds, and as unpleasant Musick in Gods Church, as the Denyall of works Preparatory and Dispositive to faving Grace. But to give you your due, you Govern your discourse tometimes with more moderation and Caution when you addresse your self unto your Congregation. For though in hear of Disputation, you determine, That God hath Cull'd out some certain persons for himself by his Decree of Election; wherein he had no pravision of, or

truth) [ I perceive that God is a respecter of

other good quality, as wronght in them by his Gospell accompanied with his Spirit; But he therein made provision for it, that in due time it might be erresistably wrought in them, not with, but without them, by His own Omnipotent strength; And for the rest, not compres hended within that Decree, there is another Decree patt against them, withholding from them all internall Grace sufficient and neceslary for their salvation; which though offered them in the Gospel, yet tis suspended upon the Condition of Faith and Repentance, which Condition is impossible, because God did not Burpose to Canfe it in them. This is your Disputation-wile Doctrine, when you are combating with an Adversary : But when you are confulting the advantage of Souls, then you are zealous (as best becomes you) in another strain. In your Sermon (on Mat. 22.5.) you lay, It is true that Makinglight Grace is free, and the offer is uniof Cbrist. p. verfall, according to the extent of 21, 22. the Preaching of the Gospel; and it is true that men may have Christ when they will; that is, when they are willing to have him on his terms; but he that bath promised thee Christ, if thou be willing, hath not promised to make theo willing: and if thou art not willing move , how canst thou think thou shalt be willing

respect unto, either faith or obedience or any

might do much, though you are not able of your selves to come in, if you would now subject year selves to the working of the Spirit, and fet in wille the gales of grace continue. And in your Directions for Peace of Dired. 9. p. Conscience, you afficien, If wicked 65. Edit. 2. austievers would but do what they can, in faily, serious, deep considering of these things, (viz. the vanity of the world, and certainty of damhation, the excellency of Holinesse, with the certainty of everlasting Happinesse) and the like, they would have no cause to despair of obtaining Paith and Santtification. This is your Sermon-wife Doctrine. And you have written | Directions to prevent Missarrying in Conversion]. Sure, you'do not fear a miscarriage of the work on God's part, the danger is not from he falling, but our own. Therefore something is required on our Part, and possible to be performed by us, which being performed, our Convertion is afcertained, but being neglected, it miscarries, and we our felves onely are guilty of it. If this be not true (Mafter Baxter) the  $T_{i-}$ tle of that Book is improper, and your whole Discourse imperiment. And now you have to many blocks in your way, and some of your own sawing out, I hope your course will be Fopt and your Dispute not run out in infinitam.

berrafter? But foon after; Ob Sinners ! you

I return to your Uindication of the Synod: you say, 2. [ But contrary to this Accuser] This is another Cast of your displeasure: A Civill Title; To be an Accuser, is a piece of the Devils character: but such bolts are soon shot, when Faction hath bent her Bowe, and Pride hath a mind to make a quarrell. But if Telenus be the Accuser, the Synod or Master Baxter is the Adversary; For he saith a Contrary to this Accuser the Synod declareth. (Art. 2. Sect. 3.) [ This death of the Son of God is the onely and most persect Sacrifice and satisfaction for fins, of infinite value and price, abundantly sufficient to expiate the fina of the whole world ] and that it is, [therefore fufficient -- because this death was joyned with the sense of Gods wrath and curse, which we by our fins had merited. ] that is, that the finnes of all the world were &c. But how is this contrary to this Accuser? Indeed it is besides him, if you will, and as much besider the purpose. There is in the Chamber of London as much Treasure, perhaps, as will pay the Debts of all the Prifoners about the City; and 'tis so much the more currant, because it is of excellent old Gold: But what is this to the poore Prisoners redemption, as long as the Major and Aldermen, (in whose

sole power it is to dispose of that Treasure)

will not disbutie it to that purpose? The su-

to be in the Exchequer, doth not relieve the distressed, for whose benefit 'tis not imploy. ed, but rather upbraid the want of Liberality. in him who is Maffer of it, and hath the Power but wants the Will, to lay it out in such sharitable and pious uses. Bus, you say, [ the sinnes of all the world were charged on (brist, and be bore their penally, as Paræus in his writings to the Synod (and there conteined) expresses it. ] Answ. Laid on Christ? To what end? to Load him, or eafe them? But you joyne with Paraus, in your first Ashae. Pag. 14. Sermon, where you say, Doubtlesse Christ died not for all alike, nor with equall intentions of saving them and yet he hathborn the sinnes of all men on the Crosse, and was a Sacrifice, Propisation, and Runfome for all. To what end, I say, all this, according to your Doctrine? Was it to purchase faving Grace, Faith and Repentance for them? you say, No., Was it to make satisfaction and procure Pardon? you cannot with any modesty affirm it, if you speak consonantly to the Principles of the Synod, For, as you sonfesse (Sect. 7.) they determine concerning all the Nonselett, that God left them in that mifery, into which they were precipitated by the fall of Adam, and decreed to damn

superabundant sufficiency that is proclaimed

damnation. So that this Decree hath from all eternity, laid the fins of the Non-clect; upon their own shoulders, and they are immutably designed to sink under them; why then should they be charged on Christ? why Should he bear the Penalty of them? Is not Christ a principall link in that Golden chain. of means, composed by the Eternall Predestination to draw the Elect to glory & From hence some of the Divines of the Synod do. conclude, I hat the death, and all falutary benefits of Christ do belong onely to the. Ad aredences quidem, propter indivuisam illam saluin cate-And Rockef. Wetter, Con-#am. Rom. 8. To them alone, fir. Thef. 3. in regard of that inviolable chain, par. 2. pag. Rom 8. And you tell your Rea-98. der, in the Preface of your [ Call to the Non-converted; I For Gods Decrees, you must know that they separate not the end and means, but vie them tagether; I If it be so, why do you untie them here, and aferibe the Death of Christ, which is a prime means of falvation, in any measure to the Reprobaces, who are immutably appointed to another end, unlesse you affirm withall, (-which is the Doctrine delivered by many of your

them for this and all other finnes (which

would inevitably follow upon their derelisti-

on in this condition) as the (auses of their

Party † ), that Christs deatle + See M. Perkins Sybelongs no further to them than ic nopfis above, may cooperate to their End, that and Testimois, be a means of their destruntes cited for this. Ction? But, you say, They adde also (Sect. 5.) That the promise of Salvation to all that will believe must be preached to all without diffen rence, with the command of Faith and Repentance. 7 This Command is either Legall or Evangelicall; Legall 2 I know you will not fay; If Evangelical, a Quia so. lum Evangs. then there is a promife of strength lium novie annexe to these commands, to enreuedium able us to perform them ( for this contra braicmakes the difference b betwixt dililonem legja, folum eprecepts purely Legall, and Evan-धवार मुख्योgelicall; the Gospol doth afford sat paniten.. strength, the Law none.) And Light in bonii-Redemption from our vain Conne Christi. Hemingtus versauson, being (as was laid) Syntage Infl. the first part of our Salvation, €brift. Loc. our Salvation mult needs com-≥ 6.Ybe[. 2 1 . mence in an oblation of frength, Wendolin. Theol.Chri. the tendry of a Gracions Subfilib. z. c. 19. die towards Faith and Repen-Thef. 6. in rance; which yet you deny the unexplie. Difregenerate to have any promise of, ពាម្រាស់ 🖺 🕻 🕻 var & Ltand how then can the Promise of Eit. Salvation be preached unto them?

to repent and believe, for the Full, or upon the Fall of Adam, I say to proclaim such a promise of Salvation, to persons of that quality, under condition of Faith and Repenrance, is no leffe abfurd, than to run in amidft a multitude of blind men, and promife them ten thousand pounds apiece, if they would but view fuch colours and diftinguish the green from the black and white. And if you take remission of fins in to this promife of Salvation; and tell us, that is to be granted too, even to the Non elect, if they will Repens and Relieve; This is as if a Phystrian should come into an Hospitall full of fick and difeafed perfons, and professe ferionfly to them, Alas! poore wretches! what a number of fad Objetts are here! But I have compassion in store for you, and my bowels yern over you, '(and yet he administers nothing effectually to work their ture; but exhorts them vehemently after this manner) Come, be ye ruled by me, do you but purge your humours, and allay the inflammation of your bloud and spirits, and reduce your bodies to a good temper, and I will save your lives, and preferve you from death and tor-

If by falvation, they and you, under-

stand onely eternall life, (which is the con-

fummation of it,) then to preach the unto men, who are punishe with an utter inability

ments. Were not this a comfortable proclamation? But suppose a Command t were added to this Pro-+ As the Non electare mife, and a Commination appendant tied, to Reto that Command, that if those pent and Be blind mendo not diftinguish those lieve by a **command**, but colours, and those sick men do excluded and not of themselves recover their denied abihealth, they shall be tormented lity by the in flames of fire, and kept alsve in Decree. those slames to the uttermost; what would you think of such a tendry of salvation to these poore Morsals? But the Synodists were very willing to passe over this black and more horrid part of the story, whereof the event is undeclinable, and to take occasion to tickle the conceit of the Reader, with the Imagery of glorious promiles, whose fruition, to such Non elect, isutterly impossible. Yet even about these promises of the Gospel, I find a considerable difference amongst them; They are not agreed whether saying Faith, Ganversian, or Regeneration ( which come all to the same reckoning in this point) be pramises or no. Upon that 2 Pet, 1.3,4 passage in Saint Peter, Whereby there are given unto usexceeding great and precious promises, that by En Notes Mithem me might be partakers of the nor. ad lo-Devine nature. Beza faith, He 

Participation of Divine qualities, whereby the image of God w restored in us. And sure, this is nothing else but Regeneration. If this be the matter of those great and precious Promifes, and not the effect of them onely, then here is a promise of Regeneration (conditionally) made to the Unregenerate (for the Regenerate being already possess of them, the Promises cannot properly be said to be made to them as fuch) and truly feeing Amefius Belan Unbeliever hath a Conditionall lar. Enerpromise of Salvation made to him, vat. Your. 3. as an Unbeliever, which becomes cap. 2. num. absolute upon his believing, as io. Amesim laith, I can see no reason, why we may not as well fay, that an Unbeliever, or unregenerate Person hath a conditionall Promile of Faith and Regeneration. The British Divines, if I be not much deceived, were of this Judge-De 5. Artic. AR. Symod. ment; For to prove that Faul Dor.p. 200. and Perseverance are Absolute Pro. \$478. 2e mises, they say thus, There are Some Tramses of God which concern the End; others which concern the Means unto that end; The Promises which concern the end, v. g. concerning Salvation, are condutionall. Believe, and thou shalt be saved. Be faithfull (or per-Severe) unto the death, and I will give thee a

doth not under fund the Divine Effence, but a

crown of life. And seeing no man is able to performe these Conditions, God hath also made most free und absolute promises to give these wery Conditions; which he himself workesh in ns, that by thefe, as the means, we may attain unto the end. To prove this they alledge, Deut. 36. 6. God shall circumcife thy heart, that thou mayest love the Lord thy God, with all thy heart, &c. The end here promised is life; Which the Israelites could never attain unto, unlesse the condition were performed, that is to Say, the love of God. But God doth here Absolucely promise, say they, that he would give them this Condition. Here then we have a promise of Faith and Regeneration or Conversion, (for fo the Belgick † Professors understand [the + Synopsi circumcifion of the heart] and to Pur. Theot. whom is this promife made, but Disput. 32. to luch as were yet unregenerate? Thef. 2 pag. And Master Baxier bimself was 420. once of this Judgement; For in \* Pag. 47. his Appendix to his Aphorisms, in his Answer to the eighth Objection of his Adversary, he saith thus, If the

\*Pag. 47. his Appendix to his Aphorisms, in his Answer to the eighth Objection of his Adversary, he saith thus, If the Covenant were onely Absolute, then it can be made to none but wicked men: and indeed the absolute Covenant is made to none other. Sure those that God doth promise to bestow new hearts upon, and soft hearts, have yet their old

and bard bearts: (except it were meant of a further degree, and not of the first saving Grace.) 2. And as the Absolute, so the great Conditiomall promise [ Believe and be faved] is also made to ungodly men. Is not this spoken to Unbelievers? will your speak it to none but those who believe already ? were none of those Jews ungodly, to whom Peter faith Act. 2.39. The promise is made to you, and to your childrent Oc. Bue it feems be liath fince met with a new light; For, in his Directions to prevent Miscarrying in Conversion, Pag. 265. dividing the Benefits, or Mercies derived to us, from Christ, into Common and Proper, The Proper of Speciall Mercies, he makes to be of two forts. Some Physicall inherent qualities, or Performed alls, 2. And fome are adherent Rights, or Relations. Of the inherent fort, he makes three Degrees: whereof the first is, the first Speciall work of

Vocation, Conversion, or Regeneration, caufingthe Sinner to Repent and Believe, and giving him the Principle of Spirituall life; and of this, he saith, God hath not promised it Conditionally or Absolutely to any individual Person that hath it not. He hath bound all to Repent, and Believe, but hath not promised to make them do it: (onely he hath revealed, that there are certain Persons, so given so Christ, as that they shall be infallibly drawn to believe.) But he hath appointed certain meanes for the ungodly, which they are bound to use, in order to their conversion, and if they will not use them, they are without excuse. What should move Master Baxier to change his opinion in this Article? I will give you my conjecture. The promises of the Gospel are observed to be Generall, and must be preached promisewoully, to Elett, and Reprobate (as they call them ) without distinction. And if the Redemption, wrought by Christ, be not supposed as a common benefit, bestowed on all men: that indifferent and promiscuous preaching of the Gospel committed to the Apostles, to be performed among all Narions, should have no true foundation, as Martinus hath observed. What De Artie. 2. should these men do in this case, Thy. 8. or what should they say? To say, the Promises of the Gospel are not to be Preached to all, the more Sober and Learned, dare not. To say, Faith and Regeneration are Promiles of the Gospell, is to confesse

them to belong indifferently and promiticaoully to the Reprobate, as well as to the Llect; and then maintaining that these are Promised most freely and absolutely, to be wrought in ms, without ms, by God himself; this would by an underlyable consequence intitle the Nonirresistible conversion, as the very elect. What courle then must be taken so to state the Article, that they might avoid this Inference? The Synodists, they divide the Promises, ( as you heard ) into fome concerning the End, which are conditionall; If you repent and believe, you shall have pardon and salvation; and these may be safely preached unto the very Reprobate; it really intitles them to nothing; For, By this Proposition, If you believe, you Mall be laved, it is not figuified that God willeth either Faith or Salvation unto him to whom it is to declared, more then ambeliefe and death, feeing he addeth together therewith, + Rescript. It you do not believe you shall ad Greem. die; faith Amos. † The other Promises (in their divition) are concerning the Means, Faith, Regeneration and Perseverance, which are absolute, undertaken to be wrought of Almighty God without us, by the strength of bis Omnipotency, and these are the Propriety, and Free-hold of the Elect; not to be indifferently and promifcuoully preached. But by this Doctrine ther let up a double Gospel; one for the Elect, which offers falvation upon fuch conditions as God hath abioluiely promised and undertaken to perform himself, by an irreligible efficiency;

another for the Reprobate, which offers falva-

cleft to as good an interest in the Benefit of an

themselves, though there he no competent Arength of Grace, either promised or administred to inable them hereunto. Perhaps ( to give him the Title, he bestowes on Amyraldm) our Oculatiffimus Baxterus, upon his second thoughts, discovered this foule Absurdity; audito preventil, chose rather to call them Rovelations concerning the infallible eftecting of Faith and Regeneration, than Pramises. But there is another faul Absurdity sticks even to his Dostrine, and he cannot possibly shake it off, that is, Hemakes Christ to be fet up as a Double Saviour: For unto Some, he hath procured santtifying Grace. which he doth effectually and irrelifibly communicate, to redeem them from fin and their vain conversation, and this in order to their Glory; And thus he is the Saviour of the Elect. But for others be allows no more, but externall Ministeries, with Common Grace, which is uneffettuall, and these in order to their condemnation too; such a Saviour he is to the Reprobate; Indeed in respect to these, he is made a Pure Secinian-Saviour; from whom (according to this Doctrine) they receive little or no influences, but those of his Holy Laws, and A Grand Exem-

tion upon other terms, though under the

same Name and Title) that is, upon such

Conditions, as they are bound to perform

And yet, right or wrong, Master Baxter now he is ingaged will pursue his vindication, as he imagineth, to a perfect Triumph; and therefore he pleads moreover for the Synod, that they adde, That the Reason why many that are called by the Gospelldo not repent or believe, but perish in Insidelity, is not through any defect of the Sacrifice of (brist offered on the Crosse, or insufficiency of it, but by their own fault.] By their own fault? Suith the Synod fo? Alas! how could that be? It was a punificment indeed inflicted on them, when as yet they had but a mere Possibility of Being in regard of the sufficiency of the Divine Power to effect it. So the Creabilitariant, or Gomarists have determined. But to come as low, as the lowest Calvinists; Admit it were upon the Fall of Adam; yet be could not by that Fall, forfelt an interest in Christ, which he never had before that Fall ( for then, that being ameans and power to rife again after falling, he could not have lost it, by falling) whether for himself, or his Tosterity. Did Adam's Posterity become their Fathers Surery, that he should perform the conditions of that First Covenant, and so became liable to the Forfenure of that Obligation, which he did violate? Or, 3. did They voluntarily, and of their own choice, fet up

Christ, so be their Prince and Savieur, and were the Laws of Repentance and Faith (the breach whereof becomes so exceeding sinfull to them) of their Own Elettion, or were these both Laws and Prince imposed upon them, and they invited to embrace, submit and subscribe to them, as Speciali Acts of Grace, and the onely Instruments to make them happy? Or, 4. Was it ever in their power to Prevent, or is it yet in their power to rescind that evernall Decree of Reprobation, whereby God immutably determined to leave them in the Lapse: issque, media ad fidem & Conversionem, vel simpliciter non dare, vel non efficaciser applicare, idque ex mero Placito & liberrima voluntate, faciente de suo quod unit; (as the Zealanders have defined, and it is inferted amongst the Alts of the Per.3.p.45, Synod; ) And either simply to deny them. Means necessary to Faith and Conversion, or else not to apply it effectually to them, and this out of his mere will and pleasure, Disposing of his own, according to his own minde? By which Dostrine we learn, that it is their Misery to be ruined for Adams lin, but not their Fault to perish in Infidelity. Neither proceeds it from any insufficiency or defelt of the sacrifice of Christ, but merely from the fole Pleafure and incontrollable will of God.

specially, and most clearly Marining, (and Crocius wel) did give in their fuffrages for Universall Redemption, which are Recorded in the Acts of the Synod, and these Decrees are plainly agreeable. How well Crecim and the rest have stated the Point, we have feen already, and indeed one may thrip arosse or pile, whether he quares his judgement by their suffrages, or the Decrees of the Synod. What the sense of those Decrees is, you find in their eight Article upon the second Chapter or Head of Do-Arine, cited above, at the beginning of our Reflexions upon this ninth Section; and he that would fee more, may examine their 5. and 6, Rejections. What is this Universall Redemption you or they speak of? Doth it consist in the Ablatien of the Curse or Pain, the Impetration of Grace and Righteoninesse, and the collarion of Life and Glory? Mans Mifery confifts but of two parts, sin and punishment. Doth your Universall Redemption make sufficient provision to free the Non-elect from both, or from either of these? From the wrath to come, the Damnation of hell, or from iniquity and their vain conversation? Indeed in your

· And yet, for all this, Mafter Baxter runs

on, and to make a fuller Uindication, he tells us. The British Divines, and the Bremish e-

Keeper, or their Lord Treasurer, to communicate his laving Grace, for their Conversion, or to fecure them against the affaults and rage of their Ghostly enemy. These last Officee you suppose him to bear, in favour of the Elett onely. So that your Universall Redemption hold a very faire Correspondence with your Sufficient Grace (as to the Non-elect); there is not one fingle person sanctified by this, or faved by that. Nay further, Reprobis Deseeing all the influence, that Christs us Mediato. death hath upon them, according Tem patefato your Doctrine, is of a killing ch. ut negle-Ba conditionature and tends clearly to no one pointenther end, then to carry on the tia & fidei Decree of their Reprobation, and inexcusabiles they being the far greater part, it seddantur. Wendel. had been a much more proper The ubi fupta extle, if you had faid, Those Divines plic. Thef. 8. did give in their Suffrages for Univerfall Perdition. Martinus, (who deals to clearly, as you fuppose, in this Question, ) saith, De Artic, 2. That the Redemption by Christ Xbef.8.11. must be proclaimed, not onely as a Common (milicient Benefit, but as really and ir-

Assis Sermons, you did very seasonably Preach up Christ, to be a Lord Chief-Justice,

to Judge the Reprobate; but I cannot finde that ever you Declare him to be their Lord

way, doth somewhat take off the edge of the unregenerate, from endeavouring after Regeneration, if there be no promise concerning it, as Master Baxter's New Light, hath difcovered.) But what is this common Benefit, and what doth that Redemption amount unto, which is to be thus universally preached? why, (not saving Grace; for that † 18.Fb.14. is peculiar 4 to Believers, but) remission of fins, and everpall life, if Ib. Thef. 11. they Repent and Believe. It will be worth our while, to observe, after what manner, God is supposed, by this Dostrine, to addresse his Pesications and Calls of Mercy, to these Non-elect, who are dead in firs, and fick of an imporency to Believe and turn themselves, that he may woo them unto Repentance. He must (conformatly to these opinions) bespeak their Repentance aster this manner: " O ye children of Ref probation, once, in your first Parent Adam dearly beloved of me, but now rejected and cast away by me, out of an eternal and simplacable hatred; how long will ye abuse my Patience? how long will ye fpin out the war of your Rebellion against me? Know

' ye not, how acceptable a factifice Repentance

can be deduced from it, to ingage me to be-

lieve, that it belongs to me, (which, by the

and a broken heart are to me? Go to, therefore, recollect your selves and believe me; I intrest and pray, request and supplicate, beg and befeech, turn your felves, and feek after Righteonfnesse; I swear by my self, (that ye may have no temptation 'to be doubtfull of it ) Obedience s is better to me then Sacrifice, and I " will be the Author of eternall Salvation to \* All them that obey me: And if these things cannot move you, behold the tears of your 'God, your Creator, a Father of Mercies to you, and will ye be deaf also to his fighs and moans, complaints and lamentations? Othat ye would be wife. O that ye would confider, Oh miserable wretches, why will 'ye die and perish in your fins? What plea-' fure is it to you, to provoke your God to anger, who loveth Righteousnesse and ha-\*teth iniquity? Tis very true, and I know 'it right well, that you cannot but Rebell against me, because I have deprived you of the power to will and to do rightly, and from all eternity rejected you from all com-\*munion in my saving Grace: but yet I do feriously affirm it, and protest and swear by 'my Holinesse, that your Repentance will be very acceptable to me And do you but Re-\* pent thorowly, and I will unfeignedly give syon Pardon and Salvation. Behold what a

that you ascribe so the death of Christ for all, then the Synod of Dort doth? I must say, if you can, it's yet beyond my reach or my remembrance. Then I must say, you have a shale low reach, or a Treacherous memory, or a Partiall judgement; The first, if you could: not apprehend; the second, if you have forgotten; the third, (which lyes most under my suspicion + ) if you will so rashly condemn, whom you will + Because 🗜 find you renot vouchfafe to hear, pleading ferring your Gods cause, as well as their own, Reader to fo earnestly and fo convincingly Books that in their many Writings. areconfuted, and yet you take no notice of it. E.G. Saints Rest. par. 1. pag. 154. in the Margin. Bogerman, Vedelius, dgc. I pray fee Corvinus against Bogerman, and Vedelius Rhapsodus. But tis time to take up here, that we may reserve our strength and patience, to follow you, in your next stage, where you run on in

Patheticall Scheme of Persuasion God should

use 1 Behold, what affections and bowels his Invitation of the Non-elect should be

cloathed with, according to this Doctrine!

Master Baxter puts it home to Master Pierce,

with sufficient confidence, in these words, Can Tilenus, or you, or any that is most pass-

enate in these points, tell us of one jot more

And yet for all this in the next (10.) Sect.

after this mannet; They give more to Christs death for the Elect then you, but no lesse that I know of, ( the more shame for you then, to condemn opinions and persons unheard and unexamined) to his death for all then you. For you say, that he dyed to brong it to mens choice, whether they will have Christ, and life er net? and so say they, ( you should adde EQUIVOCALLY, and perhaps you may fay true;) and Calvinists, commonly, ( as Dallæus hath told you, in the very words of abundance of them.) If you say, that according to you Christ back purchased Grace for all, or for more then the Elect, to Canse them to believe. I answer, I. That the highest Grace with you doth but bring it to their choice; and help, but not determine their wills; and this (but not verily thin) they grant to others, as well as you do. 2: Is it the Name of Jufficient Graces or the Thing? The thing that you call so, as I faid. (too often already, unlesse it had been to more purpose), they grant to be as common as you can Reasonably expect them to imagine, (you say right, considering the rest of their Principles, ) and Christ did not die to purchase empty Names, as a benefit. (I pray, what is that Remission of fins, and evernall life, which you fay, he purchased for Reprobates? Is there Name and Thing too? They heare the

Tausologies of a tedious length. You let forth

Christ purchased more for some, then you do; but no lesse for others.

Here we have Master Baxter in extreams; he is excessive in his bounty towards the Elect, but desective in his Charity towards the Reproduces; and therefore no wonder he is out in the mean, which is that Grace that brings Salvation to Man's choice, as stated (not by him but) by the Remonstrants.

For the First, he is descient even in his

found of it, but never any of them talted

how sweet it is.) The difference (you conclude)

For the First, he is deposent even in his Fretended Sufficiency, and the Accompts being truly and exactly cast up, we shall find the Reprobates are very little obliged to him, or to the Synod, for their Alms of sufficient Grace.

For when they speak of Grace, they understand either Gods Love and savour; or the effects of it. Gods Grace in the first sense is,

either Generall, extended to all mankind considered, as Rationall Creatures, but out of Christ, and this, though the Reprobates have an interest in it, will not serve the turne; or Speciall, which passeth into a Decree of Election, and thereby provides Christ and all other means necessary to the working of Conversion and bringing Salvation (as they as-

firm) insuperably. And this is a peculiar

free Love and favour; this Grace is divided (as the former) into Generall and Speciall; The Speciall Grace which is faving, is Proper to the Heirs of Salvation, faith M. Baxter, and the Synod too, that is to the Elett. The Generall, is that Common Grace, confishing of such effects as flow from Gods Generall Love, and this is vouchfafed to the Reprobate. Which Grace, though adorned with the Title of Sufficient, to tickle the fancy of the unwary vulgar, and flatter him into an apprehension, that it containes all that is need. full unto his falvation, yet really it fignifies onely (in the very acknowledgement of the more ingenuous fort of Calvinifts) fo much as is sufficient to Convince men of their sinne and milery, of their infirmity and want of a Redeemer; and because it informs them likewife, that Christ is such an one, sent to give life and parden upon condition of Faith and Repentance (though intentionally designed for the benefit onely of the Elect ) and that life and pardon is tender'd to them upon those conditions, which are irresistibly effected in those Elect, but made impossible to the Reprobate; therefore by the administration of this Common Grace, they become guilty of impensioner and unbelief, and so this Grace

Inclosure to the Elect. If we take Grace in the Second sense, for the effects of Gods

Reprobation; And this is all the Sufficiency I can find in it, whether I examine it by Perkins: his Table, or the Dostrine of the Synod. We see how little the Reprobates are beholding to you for your bounty. For this your sufficient Grace, both Name and Thing, is of no more value then a New Nothing, which many times is promifed unto children to please them; but with an intent really to cousen them; and therefore discovering the fallacy, we account it a piece of ingenuity in them to flight the offer. If the Non-electneither have, nor can have interest in that Grace of God (by what name. foever you will call it ) which is of force to

is inservient to the execution of the Decree of

procure Conversion and a saving faith, what do you telling them of the rest, by which never man was, nor ever shall be, nor ever can be faved? And is it possible for any man to. arrive at Salvation, who lies under the Decree of Preterition, and is thereby, spfo fasto put in the order of men certainly to be damned, Damnation being the unavoidable execution of that Decree, whereof Preterition and Predamnation are but feverall + Refpects? I must therefore preserve, † Synophie Parinte to fuch collution, the ingenuity of Theologia. those men, who spiak their opi-

nion fully our, and tell us that all

Diff. 24.1b.

49, 6 52.

them the more inexcufable. You alleadged above, in the words of the Synod, that it is not through any insufficienof or defett of the facrifice of Christ, that men Perish in their Infidelity; and may not as much be said in respect of the Devils, that it is not through any defect, or insufficiency of Christs Sacrifice, that they are damned eternally? The Reason is the same for both according to the Principles you go upon, namely because God wills to have it so. And I wonder with what confidence you can tell the Non-Elect (and them you must be supposed to speak to, the Elect not being concerned in it) as you do in your popular Sermon of Alaking light of Christ, PAR. 52. That, "It were better for him he had been a Turk or Indian, that never had 'heard the name of a Saviour, and that ne-"ver had salvation offered to him: For such men have no cloak for their sin. Joh. 15. \*22. Besides all the rest of their sins, they have this killing fin to answer for, which

the Dispensations of Grace administred to these Non-elect, are designed but to make

men have no cloak for their sin. Joh. 15.
22. Besides all the rest of their sins, they have this killing sin to answer for, which will undo them. And this will aggravate their misery: That Christ whom they set slight by must be their Judge, and for this fin will be judge them. On that such would now consider how they will answer that

\* lors, Mat. 23. 33. How will ye escape the damna. Suian of Hellsor Heb. 2.3. How shall we escape if we neglett fo great salvation? Can you escape without a Christor will a despiled Christ save 'you then? If he be accurled that let light by Father or Mother, Deut.27. 16. What then " is he that fets light by Christ? &c. How 1 fay, can you say this, unlesse you lay better grounds to glorifie Gods Justice in punishing the Non-elett for their Infidelity? For by that Doctrine, the most part of the Christian world are sofarre from being deligned a benefit by the exhibition and tendries of Christ to them, that they receive far more hurt by it, and to their condition is readred a great deal worse than that of Devils, to whom Christ was never offer'd; For the most they could say of Christ, was to expostulate or cry out, Art show come to corment us before the time: but these may complain, that, though there was as little benefit intended them, by the offer of Chritt, as the Devils to whom he was never tendred; yet it did serve to aggravate their fin, and made them guilty of inpidelity and liable to a greater condemnation. Be not startled at the news, ( if it teems so to you,) for it is the very Doctrine of Go-Thef 31. de marus; Thiris the difference, faith

he, beiwixi the Reprobation of men

præd Difpui.

1604.

Question that Christ puts to their Predeces-

and Angels, that the Angels never have Christ tendred unto them, but unto men he is tendred often, (outwardly in the word, and inwardly by the Spirit, ) that being convinced of Infidelity and a stubborn heart, they may by that means, be rendred the more inexcusable. Now I Conjure every Christian Reader, as he tenders the Glory of our ever Bleffed God, and the Honour of his most Moly Ordinances, and the eternall Salvation of mens precious fouls, fadly to weigh and confider, the pernicious influences of such a Doctrine; that his understanding may be awakened to apprehend, and his will inclined to entertain, more solid practicall principles of Divinity; that every mouth that utters such wickednesse, may be stopped. For certainly this cannot † See and love towards mankind, in fend-ing his Sonne to die forbe the purpose or purport of Gods Generall 306.3.16.17 be preached unto them t.

And now Master Baxter, perhaps will rub up his memory a little better. What I Doth neither Tilenus nor Master Pierce, nor the Remonstrants ascribe one jot more, to the death of Christ for all, than this amounts to? Doth that Grace ( of Christs purchasing) which they account sufficient rise to no higher a pitch, no, not so high, as to put them into a

difference is to wide, betwixt the two Parties in this Point, that nothing can well be wider-For 1. Sufficient Grace in your fense, is, that which never did, and never will, and never can, bring falvation unto any man; for let him use his semost diligence to cooperate with it, it will not, it cannot fanctifie him, being (not through the Receivers default, but) of its own kind and nature uneffectuall. For thus the Profesiors of Leidenspeak Censura in of it; Concedimus omnes illos, &c. Confess cap. We grant, that all who are called 17. **94**7.3. by the Gospel are sufficiently called, Pag. 235 3 that u, God is not obliged in ju-236. stice to call them otherwise, then he doth call them, and by that Calling they are sufficiently deprived of all presence of excuse, before Gods Tribunall, if they be not conversed; because the fault of their non-conversion restech in themselves onely. This is their definition of Sufficient Grace, and the Compiler of this work was the Synod. I shall referre the Reader to the Remonstrants Descant upon this Definition (in their Examen Censura. cap. 17. parag. 3.) But sufficient Grace in the Remonstranes

possibility of being restored by Christs mediation, into at least as good a condition as that

of Devils? This is a very strange story. But,

God be thanked, there is no truth in it. The

sense, (which is like to be Master Pierce's, and Tilenes bis lenle too; ) is, Gods Grace which bringeth salvation unto All men, to whom it hash appeared, Tit. 2. 11. For, they lay, To the end man may not onely be able, but also freely and heartily willing to performe the Divine commands; God willeth to do all things, op his part, necessary to the effecting of both in him: that is, he hash descriptined to conferre Such Grace upon finfull man, whereby he may be rendred fit and able, to perform all that is required of him in the Gospel, (and Confess. Rein their next Thefis ). God therefore mon. c. 17. Thef. 1. whom he calls sinners by his Holy Gospel, be bestows upon them Grace not onely necessary but also sufficient, to performe faith and obedience (the Requiries of the Gospel.) 2. They do not deny, but God may, and many times doth conferre, Imparia Paribus, Paria Imparibus, & Pationa Pejoribus. They acknowledge God hath not past any Decree, whereby he hath debarred, either Himself of Liberty to bestow, or men of a Possibility to receive such severall Dispensations. And although they contesse, there is a Ibid. Hoef.3. In ficient calling, which yet is uneffelluall, yet they lay, the reafon is, because it wants the Saving effect, on mans part: Perque folam hominis volunta-

tur, and that it obtains not the due and defired event but becomes unfruitfull, is through the fole voluntary and avoidable fault of 3. This Grace doth not onely Prevent the will, and conferre a power of willing, upon them that are Called, ( for I think it unprofitable to speak of the rest, )but ( if they oppose not a new contumacie to check it, ) it doth also accompany and help the Will of man, fo, that the will, when it astually willeth. that is, believes and obeys God, ought to ascribe this, to that very Grace, as the Principall Moving Caule, by the prevention and concomitant affillance whereof, men, duely cooperating with it, are many times, really converted and fanctified, to fuch a degree, that there is nothing wanting, but Perfeverance, to obtain the crown; To which purpose our Saviour Christ saith, He that consinuesh unto the end shall be saved; which saying of His, doth not onely imply a Peffibling of defection and confequently of destruction, for want of continuance; but an affurance also, of the happinesse of such, if God should please to put a prefest period to their life, in that condition. 4. Doe not the Remonstrants hold, that 'tis possible for a man to fall Totally and Finally

riam, ac vincibilem culpam, infructuosa est

five eventum optatum ac debitum non forti-

that Fountain of Election; (which Grace, according to his opinion can never be loft, ) this therefore at least in his sense, is but Sufficient Grace, and yet 'tis farre more, then that common lufficient Grace, which the Synod speaks of; and how could this be either out of Master Baxters reach, or memory, having to lately, before this, put forth his Account of Perseverance? More distinctly, for the satisfaction of the Reader touching the Remonst ants opinion of the operations of Divine Grace; 1. They hold, that it works upon the un-All. Synod. derstanding inlightening and indu-Remonst. de ing it with the knowledge of Di-G141.p. 14. vine truth. And, that God by the fole illumination of the understanding, without any formal immediate or direct impression or action upon the will, makes all the Elect, of children of weath and servants of sinne, to become children of light, and fervants of Righteousnesses This is all that Camero requires unto their Regeneration; as appears in his Thefes, and his Conference with Tilenus, where he faith. Fidem properties ab illuminatione Spiritus Sancti, That Faith pro-

from a true justifying Faith, or /aving Grace, and that this doth sometimes eventually come

to passe? and yet Master Baxier, will not al-

low this to be speciall Grace, flowing from

shice moveri pesse; It is not to be understood how Free will, being a Morall Principle, can be moved otherwise then Morally. But the Remonstrants say, 2. That the Divine Grace worketh upon the affections also, and that 17resistibly, (as likewise it doth upon the understanding;) to which purpose, we may consider those Passages, There came a fear on all, Luk. 7.16, and, Did not our hearts burne within us, while he talked with us? Luk. 24. 32. 3. That it works directly and immediately upon the Will too, and that irrefiftibly, as to the collation of power to believe; Preteres minime quoque difficensur Spiricum Sanctum immediaté agere in voluntatem, in illam virts infundendo ac potentiam supernaturalem ad credendum. That the Holy Ghost wer-AB. Synof. keth immediately upon the will, in-Remonti de fusing strength into it, and a su-Grania p. 14 pernaturall power to believe, we doe not deny; and in the next Page, Si per gratiam habitualem intelligere libeat potentiam quandam supernaturalem, concessam voluntate adhoc ut credere & bene agere possit, cam libemer admitimus; If by habituall Grace,

ceeds, from the allumination of the

cipi non poffe quo palto liberum arbitrium,

quod principium Ethieum est, aliter quam E-

holy Spirit; and also, animo per-

Thefiz.

be understood a supernaturall power conferred upon the will, to this end, that it may be able to believe, and all well, we admit of it willingly. And after, If any one de-Bid. pag. 20 mand of us, whether, the altion of God conversing (a sinner ) be onely morall, confisting of proposals, invitations, suasions; we answer, say They, that it is more then Morall, and in respect of exciting Grace, we say there is also a supernaturall power, infused into the will, distinct from the illumination of the understanding; and if we respect cooperating Grace, we say, that may be called Physicall, and has a reall and proper efficiency. If it be demanded whether there De any immediate action of the Spirit upon the will, (they lay) we do not deny it. If it be demanded, n bether, he sides the illumination of the minde, and excitation of the affections, and invitation of the will, Grace dath nothing after the manner of a principle, or aute-Pog. 21. cedantly unto Conversion; we say, it dorb. And after, (spag. 62.) Potentiam credendi ante omnia conferri dicimus per irrelistibilem Gratiam. We say the power of believing is conserd by an irresistible Grace. And, If it he demanded, whether he, who doth not oppose a new contamacy (or rebellion) but yields to the motions and operations of Grace) and consequently is

Converted, hath more grace, then be, that doth eppose (and check them, ) and consequently is not converted; we answer, the antecedent and preventing Grace may Pag. 21. be equall, but the first hath cooperating Grace, which the later hath not. Indeed after a man is instructed with this Supernatural power to believe, they acknowledge no other Grace necessary towards the Eliciting or educing the act of faith but what is Morall, or that which nieth the word, as the instrument, not excluding fundry secret inspirations, impressions and motions of Gods Gracious dispensing, which yet do not produce confers otherwife, then in a morall way of working. For if the Artuali confent, to what is offerd in the word, be infell' or inspired into the will, as it is a Principle of Election; Then, 1 there is a Confest in the Will, before it be elected or drawn out by the will; which is abfurd. 2. Then it is not the with consent unto the motions of Grace: but Grace that imprints that Actuall confent, doth consent unto it self; which is no leffe absurd then the Former. 3. Then a power of believing in the Will were unnecessary; and it would be in vain to conferre it; because the Confest or Act of Believing should not be drawn, out of that power, but be imprinted upon the will by another internall force or

mition. 4. Then the word should conduce nothing to the begetting or effecting of faith, or confent in the will of man . For the word cannot concurre but as a morall instrument, nor act but objective and morally, and such actions are reliftible, and may be uneffectuall, which such an Actuall consent instilled into, or imprinted upon the will (by an Omnipotent Grace, as t they fay it is) can-🕂 Contraire. not be. So that by this Doctrine, monstrants. if it should be granted, ( say the Remonstrants); the Ministery of the Word would be made word and altogether unprofitable. This inconvenience Master Baxter could discover well enough as to Of Sevine the Infusion of Habits; And Patto. per, therefore he follows the stream of those Divines, who take Voeation, which (taken Passively) conteineth the Acts of Faith and Repentance, to be Ansecedent unto Sanctification, which comprehends the Habit of them. Placing the Act before the Habit, he faith, This makes the Word the Instrument of that work, whereas ( which moves me very much, faith he) according to the contrary opinion, the Word cannot possibly be the Instrument, or means, of our Regeneration, as to the Habit, (nor as to the Act neither, if that Act be irrelistibly infused or imprinted ) but enely a subsequent means to elieite tor educe the Act, which + Whose cems against the stream of Scri-AB? not pture, and Divines of All Ages. Gods ; that Were too A faire Confession. groffe : them it must be man's; and then by this means, the will and the Detd. Man worketh The Remonstrants then, do, not onely grant an Illumination of the minde, which, upon the matter, is made the Ail-fufficient Grace by Camara, but also a Collection of Supernaturall power; which yet they cannot allow to commit such a Rape upon the Will. as to force it, in its manner of working, or deprive it of its naturall Liberty to will or Nell. They referve to ber, as her undoubted Preregative, that freedome Still, as entire as ever, to Act or suspend her action, without which power man is able to do no more Duty properly to called, then the Brute Beaft, which hath a Spontaneur as well as Man, but no Rationall Election. But Master Baxter will here step in, with his objection, and tell us, This is but to bring the matter to mans choice, and so they do. But I must acquaint the Reader with a vast difference in the Porrage, whether you confider the matter or the manner of it. For, 1. Your Doctrine doth not bring the same Thing, to mans choice. It brings Christ as

you fay, and remission of sins, and eternall life, to his choice upon condition, [ If he will Repent and Believe | But doth your Sufficient Grace, by an irrefiftible Collation of power upon the will, bring Faith it felf, and Repentance it felf, to the choice of them that perish? It doth not, it cannot. For by the conduct of an Immutable Antecedent Decree, Grace Inflicient to bring it to their choice in this sense is denyed them, and their choice otherwise determined and that Infallibly; unlesseyou equivocate in the use of the word choice, and put it for Spontaneitie, whereby the wretched Reprobate, for all the influences of that sufficient Grace, is unavoidably led, as an Oxe to the stanghter. 2. And as your Doctrine brings not the same Thing to their choice; fo neither doth it bring the same affiltance. You bring Remission and eternall life, but as a covered dish With a Nolime tangere, upon it. They must not touch it with unwashe hands, and shutting up the Living fountain, and scaling it by an immutable Decree, you afford them neither towell nor water that is sufficient or of force to clenfe them. What you bring to their choice and lay" at their doore, you leave as a burden too heavy for their strength to take up, and their feeble shoulders to carry in to their quiet possession and comfort. Rose

there. God continues to illuminate the mind; and inspire the will; and thus he knocks at the doore of the heart; till man freely opens to him, or gives him fuch rude and shamelesse Repulses, as proyoke him to Gcn. 6, 3. withdraw himself in a sore displeafure. Here then being such a free, preventing, irresistible efficiency of a supernatural power, and a no leffe Gracious concomitant Affiliance both of outward meanes, and inward motions, towards the carrying on, and accomplishment of our Faith and Repentance, our Conversion and Salvation; The Glory of this Work ought in all Reason to be ascribed to the Divine Grace, as the principall Cause or Author of it. † But, + Itaque mec illi debens 🏗 if under the conduct of such, no bi tribuere lesse sweet, then powerfull Disgul venet 🦈 pensations, there proves to be rant, quia vo. cati venerit. a mifcarriage, to what can we, in nec illi qui justice, impare this unhappy enotherwal va vent, or what can we charge the nire, debent alteri tribuere, sed tantum sibi , quoniamue venirens vocati , eratiu corum libera voluntate August. Ab. 83. 99. 9. 68. Agens de invitatis ad cenam.

But with ms, Faith and Repentance, are as

well brought to choice, as Christ, Parden, or

eternall Life; but not laid down and left

fault upon, but mans own wilifull and execrable Rebellion? For we must consider, though the anderstanding be inlightned with the knowledge of Supernaturall excellencies, yet it apprehends still, the whole variety of fenfuall or carnall Goods, the will, † (though † Adde bereimpowered to do better,) hath a liunto, that berij to embrace them 5 and both which the the understanding and the will Brivis Dihave a naturall Inclination ( tendvines do averre [ de ing also to their own eafe and Pre-Att. 3, 19 4. fervation) to gratifie the Infirmi-\$4.122.pr. ties of their neighbouring Appe-Per verfitatites, whose objects being at hand sem five refi-Stendi poten to affect and nickle the fenfes, with tiam remothe foft infinuations and relifies of tam 👉 in atheir immediate presence, have a En primo pogreat advantage over spiritual obfitam, in fud jects, which are remote at a huge amerà redice, elian in

Renatorum and bloud; yea and over the chief Voluntate de-Good upon a like Account (whom litefaere, unde pronitai being enjoyed would transcendentad refistenly fill, latisfic and swallow up all dum motibus our most insatiable Appetites;) Ipirinu S. v. Hence it comes to passe, that malocum. ny times Sense finds too great an indulgence with the more noble Faculties, and being preferre above it's rank the Objects

distance, and out of fight to flesh

of these ( for want of Morisfication and a Main Guard, they pursue the enjoyments of them to eagerly, that they can brook no check. Video meliora, proboque, Deseriora sequor. When men are not able to relift the Spirit speaking to their understanding AH. 6. 10. by way of Conviction, they will Rebell against the light thereof, Job. 24.13. and abute the Liberty of their will, to relift the Holy Ghoft; And A8. 7. 51. having grieved this Good Spirit fo long, till they have made him, even weary of striving with them, no marvell be complains fo bitterly of fuch pervers. ties; This peoples heart is waxed Mai. 13.15. grose, and their ears are cull of hearing, and their eyes they have closed, lest at any time they should see with their eies, and beare with their ears, and foould under Rand . with their heart, and should be converted, and I should heal them. That this was most unquestionably the Car tholick Doctrine of the Church for the Flist three hundred years atter Christ, Par. 1 pig. we have M. Baxters own acknow-1 5 4. in Mar.

thereof are entertained with too inordinate

a complacency; so that, we observe, how

men, though irrelifibly convinced of the

truth and excellency of things Spirituall, yet once bemuched with the charmes and pleasures

ledgement, in his Saints Reft. 4 Lib. 2. de Thus I Saint Ambrofe brought it vocat, Gent. to mens choice : Jgitur ficut qui c. 9. crediderunt, suvantur, at in fide fic & qui nondum crediderunt, jumaneant : vantur, ut credant. Et quemadmodum illi in sna habent potestate, ut exeant, & ifti in sua habent potestate, ne veniant. As they which have believed, are affiled, that they may continue in the faith : to they also, who have not yet believed, are affifted, that they may believe. And as they have it in their power to depart (from the faith), so these also have it in their power, not to come, (or accept of it ). Samt Auftine, the Great Champion of Diwine Grace, he brings it (in our sense) to mans choice too; even in that book | De Litera & Spirica, I which was oppoted to the Pelagians: Nemo habet in potestate quid venuat in mentem, saith he, sed consentire vel dissentire proprie voluntairs est. What shall (be represented, or ) come into his mind is not in mans power, but it is in his power, to confent to it, or diffent from it. And in his Book de Dogmet. Ec-Cap. 21. c'i fiast. Initium falutis nostræ a Deo milerante habemus; ut acquielcamus salutiteræ inspirationi, Nostræ est Pote-We have the beginning of Salvation from Gods mercy: to affent unto his laving ansparation, and requestee in it, is in our own pe-

wer. And in L. 1. Retratt. he hath these words; Also loco di- Cap 22. xi, &c. I have faid in some other place, that except a man change his will, he cannot work that which is Good, which, the Lord tracheth, us, to be placed in our own power, where he faith, Either make the tree good, and his fruit good, or the tree evill, and his fruit evill: which, laith he, is not against the grace if God which we preach i for it is in mans power to change his will for the better; but that power is none, unlege it be given of God, of whom it is faid, He gave power to them, to be the four of God. By which words 'cis evident, his judgement was, that the fame man, under the same helps, hath it in his power and liberty to bring forth good or bad fruit. And Hierome writing against the Pelagians, faith, Eisam bis, qui L. 2. Adverf. Pelag. mali sunt saturi, dari potestatemi Conversionis & Panisense; There is a power of Conversion and Repentance, given even to fuch as will be wicked. It is in our power, faith S. Bernhard, not to be ov.r-Seim, s. de come, and in this Spirituall marquedragef. fare, none of us can be conquered against his will. The Appeare O Man, reput under thee, and thou shall rule over it. Thy enemies may make a commotion, and levic form: forces of Temptations, but it is in thy power,

er to deny it. It is in thy power, if them wilt, to make thy enemy thy fervant, that all things may cooperate to thy advantage. If these testimonies be not sufficient to give the Reader satisfaction, he may find abundance more, if he consults Grotius his Disquisitio, An Pelagiana fint en Dogmata, Ge. Nay, doth not Master Baxter himself preach this Doctrine unto his people, in his popular Sermons? What means that part of his Application, in his Pag. 54. [ Making Light of Christ, where he tells his Hearers; I come now to know your Resolution for the time to come. What fay you? Do you mean to set as light by Christ and salvation as bitherto you have done? and to be the same men after all this? I bope not. Ob let not your Ministers that would fain fave you, be brought in as Witnesses against you to condemn you. At least, I beseech you put not this upon me. Why Sirs, if the Lord shall fay to us at Judgement, Did you never tell these men, what Christ did for their soutes, and what need they had of him, and how nearly it did concern them to look to their falvation, that they made light of it? We must needs say the Truth: Yea Lord, we told them of it as plainly as we could; we would have gone on our knees to them, if we

of thou wilt, (to make peace with them, or give battle to them) to give them thy Confent,

every day; but alas, we could never get them to their hearts: they gave us the hearing, but they made light of all that we could far to them. And in the Preface of your Call to the UN-CONVERTED, you tell them, Our Preaching and Persuasion, and your Hearing and Considering, are the appointed means to get this Morall Tower or freedome, that is, to make you truely willing. You know thefe have no other way of operation, but, what is Morall, which may be rejected or embraced; and therefore if there be not a Supernaturall power sufficient (in altu prime) confer'd upon men, with a liberty to reduce it into all, which they may freely use or suspend, to what end are all these exprobrations of their neglect? And yet we mult account them Rationall, (and that cannot be but upon supposition of fuch power and Liberty ) because we find them used by our Saviour Christ, with a denunciation of woe against such contemners; Then Mat. 11.20. began he to upbraid the Cities, wherein most of his mighty works were done, because they repented not. Wee unto thee Chorazin, were unto thee Bethfaida: for if the mighty works which were done in jou, had been

had shoughs is would have prevailed; we did

intreat them as earnestly as we could, to consi-

der these things: They heard of these things

I say unto you, It shall be more tolerable for Tyre and Sidon at the day of Judgement than for you. And thou Capernaum which art exalsed unto heaven, shalt be brought down to bell: for if the mighty works which have been done in thee, had been done in Sodome, it would have remained untill this day. But I (ay auto you, that it shall be more tolerable for the land of Sodome in the day of Judgement, than for ebee. By which severe intermination and afseveration of our Saviour, it appears, that if God had afforded the men of Tyre and Sidon, or those of Sudoms, that Grace which he granted to the Cities of Characin and Bethfailes, they would have repeated. But this Grace was not any quality or motion determining the will by a Physicall, or irresistable operation: for if it had been such, they whom Christ so bitterly reproved and threatned, for Non-conversion, had been infallibly converted. This Grace therefore did but impower, and (bringing the matter to their choice, affift and solicite them, morally to embrace it; which folicitation and affistance, ethey obstimately rejected, when they had it in their power and at their liberty, freely to cooperate with it, to their effectuall conversion. Our Saviour gives us another Empha-

done in Tyre and Sidon, they would have re-

pented long ague, in Sackcloth and ashes. But

because they repented at the preaching of fonas, and behold, a greater than Jonas is here. How was our Saviour greater than Jonas? in respect of his person, or office onely, and not also in respect of the efficacy of his Ministemy ? He was full of Grace, had Pfal. 451 the words of eternall life, taught with Authority, Grace came by John T. him. Was Jonas a better Preacher than our Saviour? Did a more efficacious Grace of the Spirit accompany his Ministery, than did that of the Son of God, who came from beaven to feek and to fave that which was Loft, by calling them to repentance? The horrour that follows the conception of fuch a blafphemy, will not fuffer any fober Christian bosome to entertain it. Yet the men of Neneve repeated at the preaching of Jonas: But that generation did not repent at the Sermons of the Son of God. Wasthis through any defect in Christs Dispensations? No: The administration of Grace bere by him was more abundant than that of Jonas. The fault therefore lay in their abuse of their power and liberty, in opposing new contumacy and obstacles to these more Gracious Dispensati-

call Instance in the men of Nineve, Mat. 12.

41. where he rells the Scribes and Pharifees,

The men of Nineve shall rise in Judgement,

with this generation, and shall condemn it,

presly, (lib. 2. De vocat. Gent. c. 26.) The Grace of God, saith he, is principally preeminent in all our Righteousnesses, persuading us by exhortations, moving us by examples, terrifying us with dangers, inciting us by miracles, giving understanding, inspiring Counsil, and inlightening the heart it self, and imbruring it with affections of faith: but the will of man is also subjerned and conjoyned to it, which is excited by the forefaid helps to this end, that it may cooperate to the Divine work in it felf > that it may begin to exercise soward the attainment of rewards, (ad meritum), what through the (power of the) supernall seed it conceived, towards an endeavour [ ad ftudium ], bawing it from its own mutability, if it fails, from the help of grace if it proceeds. Which help is applyed to All, by innumerable wayes whether hidden or manifest, and that it is rejetted of many, is their own wicked fault : but that it is received of many, is both of the divine grace, and mans will. I shall shut up this with an Instance out of Fal-In libr. de gentius, framing a comparison be-Pradeft. & twist Nebuchadonofor and Pha-Gret.c. 15. rao, he faith; In respect of their nature, they were both men; in respect of their Dignisie, they were both Kings; in respect of the Cause, they both kept the people of God in

ons. To this purpose Prosper, writeth ex-

their ends to be so different but this, that one sensible of Gods hand, bewailed the memory of bis own iniquity: the other fought against the most mercifull cruth of God by his own free-But all this will not serve Master Baxters turne, though he contradicts the faith of Primitive Antiquity, and overthrows, not onely mans naturall liberty, and way of working; but likewise all the commands and exhortations, comminations and promifes of Holy Scripture, he will not be fatisfied without Gods irrefishble attingencie of the will: to apply and determine it to the very Confent or All of willing; which is that we are now to take into examination. But to attain Master Paxters meaning may be a matter of some difficulty, he doth say and unfay, fo often; (which makes many not to regard at all what he faith; ) For Physicall Predetermination he denyes it in this Preface; and in his Sermon of Judge-Sellion 5. ment, he faith, That God doth determine all Astions, Naturall and Answer to 1be-234. and Pree, as the first Efficient Physicall 24. excuses, inomediate Cause: or else nothing

could Act; This Principle, he

( mihi. pag.

242, 243-*1* 

Captivity; in respect of their punishment, they

were both chaftifed and admonifhed by the rod

of Clemency. What was it therefore that made

aich, is most tikely to be false. And, that the Wil is necessarily and infallibly determined by the Kracticall Understanding, which is unresillibly necessicated by objects: and therefore whatever Ace is done by my under fanding or will is necessitated, and I cannot belp u. And, that Liberty is but the Acting of the Faculty agreeably to its nature: And it was God as Greaton, that gave Adam bis Faculties: and Ged by providentiall dispose, that Presented all Objects to him, by which his understanding, and To bis will, were unavoidably necessitated; This, laith M. Baxter, is of the Were this true, for ought me can see, is would lay all the fin and misery of this world on God, as the unrefiftible necessary Cause; which because we know infallibly to be false, we have no reason so take such principles to be true which inserve it. I with Master Bexter had kept himtelf alwayes of this minde, and then he had laved me all this labour. But a little after, he tells his Reader, There are other wayes of Determining the Willis which yet he mentions not; But in his first Affize Ser-Par 9: monte faith; Christ hath undertaken bunself to be a Physician to the world, (who are now Morally dead in in, though naturally alive,) to cure all that

'pitall, his Church, to this end; and commanded all to come into this Ark. Those "that are far distant, he first Commanderh 'to come nearer; and those that are near, he inviteth to come in. Too many do refuse and perish in their refusall. (And your dodrine declare they cannot do otherwife.) . He will not faffer all to do for but mercifulhy boweth the wills of his Elect, and by an " insuperable powerfull drawing, Competisthem to come in. So that we have an insuperable \* compulsory determination. And yet in his forelaid Sermon of This Supra. Judgement, He telsus, The will of maninus very Dominion dosh bear Gods Image. It is a felf Determining Power, though it be byassed by Habits and needs a Guide. a Guide would ierve Master Baxters turne, we are content to allow him one; not an Ignu fazumes bur a: Lantern that doth direct the underitanding infallable, and belides this, a reall influx, that after the manner of a Physicall Ca se, inclines the will to Act. But he must have such a one as doth controull and Determine the will to Act and Operate, (notwithstanding the Dominion over its own Acts, which he feems to ascribe to it ) which we

'will come to him, and take him fo to be, and

trust him, and obey him in the Application of his medicines. He hath erected an Hof-

think not onely unnecessary, but, in the ordinary course of Gods providence, very abfurd, inconvenient, and of dangerous Confequence to be affirmed. That it is unneceffary is evident by Gods complaint, Ifa. 5.4. Judge I pray you between me and my vinyard. What could I have done more to my vinyard, that I have not done to it? Wherefore when I looked that it bould bring forth grapes; brought it forth wilde grapes? That God administred all things necessary and sufficient (not in Master Buxters sense, of sufficiencie which is unsufficient ) to this effect, appears, by his expectation of grapes ( of good worker;) for the All-wife God doth not, he cannot, expect to gather grapes of thorns or figgs of thistles; and to expect conversion and good works from them, who have not grace necessary and sufficient to their production, is as unreasonable as to expect a Bird should fly without wings, or a man gae without leggs. But here was no determining Grace administred; for then they would have been infallibly converted, and have brought forth good works. Therefore such Determining Grace is not necessary. 2. As it is unnecessary, so it is inconvenient; For (1.) it overthrowes that Dominion which (by Master Baxters own confession) the willhath over its own Acts, and destroyes

wer to want that operation, to which it is so determined; which takes away the liberty of the will quond exercitium, in regard of the exercise of it. 2. It destroyes the proper nature of duty, for a Duty is a work perform'd conformably to a command, for his Anthority take, who doth commandit; that giving proof of our free obedience, we may avoid the Penalty, and gain a Right to the Reward, upon which the Command is established. This cannot be agreeable to the nature of that work to which God doth irrefistbly determine the will; for 1. though the work be conformable to his command; yet is cannot be properly faid to be done because of his Anthorny, but because he doth insuperably determine it. 2. The doer (or rather the sufferer) gives no proof of his free obedience, because he cannot do otherwise. 3. This can procure him no right to the reward, because it is not 1 Pet.2.19. thank-worthy, (as the Phrase is,) breing no part of a free obedience. And 4. upon what Title can it free a

ies Connatural manner of working > For it

puts a necessity, in order of Nature and Caufality, Antecedent to the Act of the will, so

that all Prarequifites put in order, the will

hath not a fimaliancom power ( that may be

reduced into Act ) to Act otherwise, or a po-

manifrom punishment? For we see God doth over-sule such as become the Rod of his anger, and direfteth them to do his 14.10. 5.6, work, (according to his Secret, which the Calvinifes account bis emply proper with) and yet when the work is done, he casteth the Rod into the Fire. But M. Baxters Determining Grace bath she Doctine of the Synod to justificate, in making Faith and Conversion, Repentancence Regeneration (for the termes are promulcuoutly used here) no part of mans work or dilay. For the Synod faith, Thu Regeneration 1865. is a work, for the mightineffe thereof, not inferient to the Greation of the morld, or reifing up the dead, quam Deus fine **GOIG O** 4. nobis, in nobis operatur, which de Convers. Art. 12. God without us, worketh in us, and (they fay) that Faul, whereby we are first converted, and from Wid.An. 14 which we are flyled Fairbfull, is CHROLL S rently inspired and insused mo the Sec. 200 will wand that God. in regenerathid. Reye4, 8. ting aman doth employ the strongib of his Omnipotency, pomerfully and infallibly to bow and bond bis will .th Faub and Conversion. And in \* Of Saving this work faith Madbaxter , the Faith; pag. Spirit is as the Hand, the Object 20. and Word as the Seal, the Act of

and Habit immediately are effected by it. Is this Faith and Conversion (thus wrought) Gods or mans? It may be called Mans in regard of the Possession of it after it be wrought: but in regard of the efficiency, the production is so meerly a piece of New Greation, that it can in no fense be accounted a part of Mans Morall daty. For this is not performed by man because Gods will commands it; but wrought in him, because Gods power imprints it. And then ..... 3. This will evacuate the force of the Ministery, the tile of Commands, and exhaumons, expostulations and reproofs. For Now can you in Gods Name feriously command a man, under pain of death and promife of life, to do that ( as bis duty,) which you teach him to believe, that God will insuperably esfed himself? If he believes that God must and will do it, by his weekfille determining Grace, he cannot reasonably believe that he doth fersonsty require it as his duty; because it implyes a contradiction, that God should at once will, an effect to be done by another, and yet will to do it himfelf alone. What do your Ministery then amount unto?" "Tis but the Revelation of what God will do in mens fouls, like the Angels Message to the Blessed

impression on the Insellect is first in Order of

nature, and so upon the Will the impressed All

In Ghost shall come upon thee, and the power of the Highest shall overshadow thee. Therefore that Holy thing, that Faith and Repentance. that shall be borne of thee, shall be called the work of God. Thus you may fignific to your Beloved Disciples what God will doe for and in their fouls; But if you should attempt the use of exhortations, &c. to move them to undertake that work as their duty; your exhortations would lose all their force and propriety; for that work, you fay, is actually and really of Gods Impression; Now when Gods Omnipotent hand of Grace sets the Determining Press on work (which is not mowed at all by your exhortations, they being directed onely to fouls that are merely Taffive under it ) that work (of Faith and Repentance ) is stampt upon them erresstibly. And can it consist with Gods wildome to arraque a Sinner thus : If you will be wrought upon. and converted and believe, as the force of my insuperable Grace shall irresistibly determine you, you shall be saved? And can you find in your heart, to exhort your Anditors, and to fathdown upon your knees to them (as you say, many times you would do ) to intreat and beseech them, not to wrastle with Omnipotency, but to suffer themselves to be moved

Virgin (Luk. 1. 30. with 35.) Fear, not for

thou hast found favour with God; for the Ho-

not thus determined, telling them withall, (which is a part of your Gospel Truth, ) that there is no other internall Grace defigued for them, but what is specifically different from that, administred to determine the will of the Elect? Is this a Dollrine according to Godlinesse? or were this a good way of Preaching! Yet this is exactly according to the sense of your School-Divinity, if you would deal ingenuously and speak without equivocation. But if you come to exposulate with your Hearers in good earnest, what rationall evasions and subserfuges doth this Doctrine afford them to repell the force of all fuch Expostulations? For whereas you apply your self (in your Sermon Of Making light Pag. 59,60 of (brift.) to try them, whether Gr. they will not make light of him 'hereaster; and demand of them, 1. Will you for the time to come, make Christ and falvation the chiefest matter of your \* care and fludy? 2. Will you for the time to come, let more by the word of God, which conteins the discovery of these excellent things, and is your charter for falva-

tion, and your guide thereunto? 3. Will

'you for the time to come esteem more of

and determined by it? And can you threaten woe and eternall death to others, if they be

oposely appointed to guide you to salvation? 4. Will you for the time to come make con-'science of daily and earnest prayer to God, \* that you may have a part in Christ and salwation? 5. Will you for the time to come e resolvedly cast away your known sins, at the command of Christ? What fay you? Are 'you resolved to let them go? To all these Quaries you have furnishe them with a neady Answer; They will bell you, (and with great Reason, according to your Doctrine .) yes; if God shall, not onely bring it to their choice but also insuperably determine their will thereunto. The like Answer will they return to your demands that Gefollow; 6. Will you for the time to come ferve God in the dearest as well as in \* cheapest part of his service? not onely with your tongues, but with your puries and your deeds? 7. Will you for the time to Frome make much of all things that tend to wour fatvation, and take every help that 'God offereth you, and gladly make use of fall his Ordinances? 8. Will you do all f this with delight, not as your toile, but as 'your plessure? They will tell you, Yes; if God shall wouch fafe, not onely to bring it to their choice, but insuperably determine their wills to it. In like manner are all Gods most

the Officers of Christ, whom he hath pur-

mination, and made frustrate; For example, Zac. 1. 3. Turne ye unto me, saith the Lord of hosts, and I will turne Mel. 3.7. unto you, faith the Lord of hoftes. And Revel. 3. 20. Behold! I stand at the doore and knock, if any man will heare my voice, and openthe doore, I will come in to him and sup with him, &c. The finner is taught by Mafter Baxters Doctrine to Answer, Alas! Lord, how can I turn? how can I open! Do not illude and mock at the impotencie of a poore finner; for feeing Conversion and the opening of the heart cannot be peracted, unlesse I do determine my left to it: and feeing I am indifferent and underermined to Ad, it connot be that I should determine \* Ordina my self unto Conversion, unlesse caufalitatis divinum othou doest first, in order \* of napus prace\_ ture and caufality, determine me dat, nofite a. to the same conversion, and that peratio seby some such potent and insuperaqualur, neble motion, as I neither have, nor cesse eft Brit. Divin. A2. can obtain by any Act of mine, if Syn. par. 2. thou hast not decreed to conferre p 131.f. the same upon me. And this Doctrine doth furnish the most obstinate sinners with an Apologie against all Gods most vehement exprebrations and re-

Patheticall and carnest invitations to con-

version put off by this Master Baxters Deter-

thee Chorazin, woe unto thee Bethfeida, for if the mighty works, which are done in you, had been done in Tyre and Sidon, they would have repented, &c. The excuse is very ready and eafie, out of this principle; Lord thon knowest the men of Tyre and Sidon could not have repented by this means, unlesse thou hadst decreed to administer determining Grace to them herewith; and if thou hadft communicated that Grace to usalfo, we should have repented, hay we could not but have repented, as well as they. When you shall upbraid them for rejecting the Counsil of God against themselves, and putting the word of life from them, and despising Gods Goodnesse, and negletting fo great (alvation; what influence can these exprobrations and reproofs have upon them? If they have once imbibed your Doctrine, they will return foorn to your reprehenfions, and tell you, this means was fo tendred, that it might be rejected and despifed; for it was not accompanied with  $D_{\theta-}$ termining Grace, but administred onely to render them the more inexcusable, and upon that account fit for no other entertainment but neglect. 4. Whereas Faith is so the work of the Saints, that it is said to procure them praise I Pet. 1.7. and honour and glory at the appear-

proofs; For whereas, he faith, Woe unto

ing of Jesus-Christ; By this Determining Grace, which makes them mere Puffives in the reception of it, working it irrelistibly in them, but without them, (as the Synod faith;) All those Enlogies, which are given them, [as, Well done good and fasthfull servans ] are rendred ablurd, (not to fay ridiculous.) Should a man cause his Servant, (that of himself, hath no minde to goe ) to be nailed up in a toft chariot, and brought to London, and then commend him for his care and faithfulneffe in taking fuch a journey to come and ferve him; when he is merely passive in the businesse; would you think him in good earnest? I think not. 5. If this Determining Grace be necessary, there is another groffe abfurdity, and of more dangerous consequence than the former; for from hence it follows, That a man cannot sinne, unlesse God be first descient in what isnecessary. So Piscator ( in ter-Refp.ad Duminis), Defercio Divina est causa plic. Vorft. desertionis humana, obediendi Deo, 9. 145. non autem contra hac causa est illim. Gods desertion of Man is the cause of Mans deserting his obedience towards God, and nor the contrary. And of all true Believers, he faith, They are no more able to Ibid . pag, omit or neglest the study of perse-314. verance, then a Blackamore is able

But because this affertion is so palpably contradicted by the foule fins of such as have been Regenerate, therefore Doctor Damman doth mend the mate pag.6. ter thus; Regenerati non possunt om seere prastitionem ejus quod abillis postulatur, mido Demillis p eftet quod promisit. The Regenerate cannot omit to perform what God requires, unlife God dotb omit to perform what he hub promifed. And, Quando Deun partibus suis defungitur, nos no-Ibid. p. 37. Stras omittere non possumus. When God doth his part, we cannot omit ours. How do you like this Doctrine, Master Baxier? This is the genuine fruit of your opinion concerning Determining Grace. But it yields another fruit no lesse unsavoury and of a juice as pernicious in the diffusion of it, as the former; For, 4. This Opinion is a great and ready Inles to all Enthusiasms; And it is not onely easie but ordinary for men to intitle their Diabolicall delutions to the Determinations of Gods Spirit; and his broad Seal is frequently stampt upon that Commission (to Authorize it), which is drawn up by a tring, and one haply a great deal worse than their own priwate Spirit. When men of high ambition, and hot Brains, and Arong Phantafies, and

change his count, or Male and Femaletheir fex.

Gods clear and diffinct Revelations concerning their dury, but entertain new Deligos, Pretended to a Good end, though the onely means visibly conducible to carry, them on be apparently unwarrantable; What Methods do they follow in this case? God is earnestly sought and wrattled with, for obtaining a Dispensation, and successe in a course of disobedience, against his own expresse command. When God (who is not so much call'd upon to counsel, as to countenance and affift in the affair fuch men have refolved upon, and are præengaged to transact ) being provoked by the perverse importunity of such Addresses, permits them, in displeasure, to the fway of their own inordinate. Paffions, and to prosper in the irregular pursuit of them, this is presently interpreted to be Gods gracious return unto their prayers, and his casting voice (the intimation of his secret Beneplaciture) for the Determination of their Will to this choice of their very Rebellion against him, and consequently it hath, as is pretended, his unquestionable Approbation. When Balaam upon Balaks invitation of him to curie Israel, consulted the Lord first about that Message and Expedition, He gave him a clear and peremptory fignification of

Passionate Appetites, will not at quiesce ( as you know, many times they will not ) in

his will and pleasure. Num. 22. 12. Thou shalt not go with them, thou shalt not curse the people : for they are bleffed. But Balaam, upon a new and more urgent invitation, lecks God again, that he may yet obtain leave to gratifie his Avarice and Ambition; Almighty God provoked with the perverfity of this folicitation, permits him to his own lust, and upon this (which was but an instance of Gods indignation against him, that he was not sarisfied with his expresse command at first) without doubt Balaam would have concluded, that God had now infallibly determined and actually fent him, had he not been rebuked for bu iniquity by a miracle: 2 Pet. 2.16. but the dumb Affe speaking with Mans voice, forbad the madne [e of the Prophet. What practices have been suggested and put in execution at Munster, &c. upon a persuasion of such an irresistible Determination? and what work that opinion may yet help to make in other parts of Christendome, if not timely prevented, is casie to foresee without a Spirit of Divination. Lastly, you may be advised to consider, how much you fail in your intended Commendations and praise of the Divine Grace; For you do wonderfully disparage the excelleney of its nature, and sweetnesse of its infimuations, while you think to advance it, by

fetting it up to so high a pitch as an irresistable Determination. For who performs the most ingennous and commendable Cure upon a Difiracted Person, he that puts him into irons in Bedlam, or he that makes such applications as keep all his limbs found, and lets him go at liberty? Your Determining Grace, doth withhold the Will from the Contrary Object, and restrains it from one part of the Contradiction, ere it does prevail with it to cheefe and imbrace the other: But Grace with us, the more to illustrate her own Glory, and that the may truly appear to be, as the is flyled, Grace, doth win the Will to act even then, when the preferves to it, its naturall indifferency and freedome to Act and not to Act. So that in short, the effect of your Grace, is as an obligation which a man is drawn to enter into by Durance; that of ours, as one which he enters into out of † Generolitie, ingenuitie, or justice, where-Generoficie. of though the first will not hold good in Law, yet the last doth remain in full power, force and virtue.

O Reflexions

Reflexions on Section XI. and the III. Article:

Adams fall his Posterity lost their free, will, being put to an unavoidable Necessity to do, or not to do, whatsoever they do or do not, whether it be good or evil; being thereunto predestinate by the eternal and effectual secret Decree of God. Answ. Unworthy falsistation still I saith Master Baxter. But I remember when Christ sent out his Disciples, He gave them a Commission, and charge, when ever they came to a house, to say, Peace be unto this house; telling them withall, that if the house were not worthy,

Commission Master Baxter bath to apperfe, slander, and calumniate his neighbour, I know not; I am sure the Divine Grace did not, whatever the Doctrine of the Synod might do, to determine his will to this unhandsome language: but because he was not very well advised in the disposal of it, having bestowed it upon a person altogether unworthy, it doth infallibly return to him again. But, Not a word to any such sense in

their Peace, (i.e. their Apprecation and

Bleffing ) should return to them again. What

the Synod; I faith be; What, treither Name, nor Thing? Let the Reader judge by what is already faid, and still to be alledged; whereby it will appear how little reason Master Baxter had to adde that Sarcasticall expression [ Well might this Author conceal his Name for shame of the world. ] What induced the Author of the Epistle to the Hebrews to conceal his Name, or your good friends Martin MarPralate, and Junius Bruius to conceas theirs? Was it for fhame of the world? Is there never a Propliet left, think you, to lament the Defolations of Gods Church, and say as Jeremiah did, If ye will not bear, my soul shall Jer. 13.17.
weep in fecret places, for Jour Pride? I pray you therefore use no Arts of conjuration to call the Choft of Tilenes out of his Retirement, where he loves privately to exemerate his passions. But you say, As the words be not in the Decrees of the Synod; so much is there in many suffrages against the sense. I with you had produced, that much, that we might have examined how much it will amount unto. But fuppole the words be not in the Decrees of the Synod, it is enough to justifie Tilenus his affertion, if they be found in the writings of such as Adhere to the Synod, or such as were before it, if the Synod hath not reje-

cted them, as I am confident they have not-But you go on and tell us, [ It is but the Morall, or Dispositive, or Habituall Freedome of the Will, that they or other Protestants commonly say that Man bath lost. ] M. Parker whole Thefes you do so much Thef. 13. p. cry up, upon all occasions, faith, Licet logica superfuerit ratio, sen principium quod, unde remeta ac passiva materia potenția, perit tamen principium quo fine Forma facultas ad bonum. And the Deputies of Over-Isel say, In the Dirdp. 197. mansit libertas bene agendi., there ,par.3. remain no liberty to do well. And in their Decrees, the Synod faith, .C. 3. 6 4. .. All men are conceived in fin, and च्या ३० born the children of wrath, untoward to all good tending to salvation, forward to en vil, dead in fins, flaves of fin; and neither will, nor can (without the Grace of the Holy Thost regenerating them ) Set free their own crooked nature, no nor so much as despose themfelves to the amending of it. See also the 2, 3, and 4. Rejections; and Tilenus charge them with no more. He needs not. See Embdanorum exam. circa 3, & 4. Artic. Quaft. 26, & 29. ps. 185, 186. psr. 2. Yougoon; They all professe that man bath she naturall Faculty of Freewill; ] And will pages in my Treasise of Judgement of this. ] If by that Reference to those Pages, you intend to raise in us an expectation of something extraordinary, you have deceived us; for we can find no luch matter; if you onely directed us, where we might find an account of your Opinion more at large in this point, we have maturely confidered it, and must prosesse to you, that it doth not satisfie. 2. You say, [ There is not a word in the Decrees of the Synod, that men me put to una. voidable Necessity. 3. Much lesse so do or not do, whatever they do or do not, good or evil. You take fanctuary very often in the Decrees of the Synod, which, you may know, were contrived with a great deal of Artifice to ferve the interest of two Parties (as was obferved above) and wherein they inferted nothing but what might feem most plausible to fave their Reputation. But the Reader may remember (or if he doth not he may look back and finde) that every branch of this Arnels was sufficiently made good out of the Writings of the Doctors of the greatest note amongst the Calvimsts, See Amidot. pag. 41, 42. viz. That men are put to an unavoidable Necessity of doing or not doing

you not acknowledge as much of the very Devil? But you bid us, [See my fore-cited

good, or evil; fo that they can do no more good then they do, nor omit more evill then they do omit; and that in regard of the Divine Decree : And these positions are no where Rejected by the Synod; but rather confirmed by some of the Divines thereof, as was alledged above. That they are under a Necessity of Immubiling (and that is an unavoidable Necessity) in respect of the Decree, is to be evinced from the Judgement of the Divines of Wed-P.150. par. & in Corol. they conclude con-2. pag. 154. cerning the Necessity of evil thus, An peccata finnt necessario? Do fins come to passe of Necessity? They answer yes; by a necessity that depends upon a double bypethesis, Decreti scilicet permittentis, & sinis boni; That is, in respect of the Divine Decree ( aperatively, for to they declare their sense a little afore) permitting it, and in respett of the good end, which God accomplishcth by it. And then for good; To begin with the first Act of it, Conversion or Regeneration, the Divines of Weddersu do affirm, Whi fupra, and 'tis inferted amongst the Acts of the Synod) Sicut bomo ad Sui generationem nibil prorfus confert; itanec ad Jus regenerationem's Aman can confer no more

generation. Is not this work wrought by an unavoidable Necessity? and yet the whole Synod, in their Decrees, speak the same sense, (Cap 3, & 4. Art. 12.) They say, It is a work which God, without us, work; th in us, by an operation, for mightinesse not inferiour to the creation of the world, or raving up the dead. Is not here an unavoidable necessity? and (Art. 17.) they compare it to that powerfull operation of God by which he grueth being to this our naturall life. And Reeff. 6. They lay, that faul by which we are first conversed, and from which we are styled fullifull, (So that we have that denomination for a work wherein we are merely Passive) is infused by God; and this is faid to be done, by his Omni-Jacent, Brength, and treessfibly; Rejett. 8. All which expressions do clearly evince an unavoidable necessity; for they affirm in the lame place, that it lyeth not in mans power to be or not to be regenerated. And for all succeeding good, the Divines of Post finem A&. Synod. South-Holland do conclude, that the Spirit of God doth promove, (mihi.) pag and apply and desermine the mind, 292. will and affections, to act, and not onely ad exercisium Altus, fed etiam ad fin gularitatem, to the singularity of the AEF, as Well as to the exercise of it; that is, (as they

to bis regeneration than he doth to bis naturall

explain it ) the Holy Spirit doth net onely determine us simply to do, but also, to do or to Act in this place; at this time, after such a manner, as pleaseth him. This Determination of the Will unto every good Act, makes a Neceffity in order of Nature and causality, antecedent to every such Act. Without doubt, the meaning of Daniel Tilenus (the compiler of these Articles) was this; That, in respect of the Divine Decree, (according to the Doctrine of the Synod) All men, whether Election Reprobate, are under an unavoidable Necessity, of being saved or damned, and of performing See Synopf: fuch works t, as do inevitably pur. Theo. conduce to the accomplishment of difp.24 tbef. their severall Endrirespectively. 18. **G** AD. Syn. Dord, e. And this is evident, even to the s. Artic. 7, eye of fense, in M. Perkins Deli-👉 Reje#.6, neation of the Decree exprest And for the Salvation of the Elect, hereafter; Means conducing infallibly therewith the is clear by the Synods Definition unto, that Thid. of Election therewith inferted; † Ibid. Arı. and that the Damnation of the 15. **(y** Rej. Reprobates is under a like #na-8. *С*У *Syn*op. voidable Necessity, may be colpur. Theol. lected from bence, that many Cal-Difp. 24.14. 44. *(T Al*l. vinists do Resolve of that, by the Sy. Dor par. Rule † of Contraries, as it stands 2.3.19.4 m. in opposition to Election. And Jud Th. pal.

sins, and the Reprobate perform many good workes, ( at least, quoad substantiam allus,). yet these do not remove either of them one inch from under that unavoidable Necessity; because those sins are Ingredients, that help to make up one full and perfect Medium, conducing infallably to their End, as All. Synod. concerning the Elest: and those Dord par. 2. Good workes are Ingredients like-₽.118.m. wife, that help to make up one ful and perfect Medium, conducing infallibly to their End, as to the Reprobates, as is thewed out of Perkins, Szegedine, and Norton, elsewhere. When therefore thefe men deny, that Mankinde is under fuch an unavoidable Necessity, to good or evil, they do but impose upon the unwary Reader, and abuse him with equivocerions and Fallacies; and herein it lyes, They make Liberty to confift in a spontaneous motion or Lubency, and a freedome from the Necessity of Coallion and violence; and when you charge them with this Opinion, that Man is under an unavoidable Necessity, to do good or evil, in this fense, they will as stifly deny it, as Master Baxter doth; They are under no Such Necessay, they will say, meaning they are not compelled by violence. But if you

presse them with a Necessity of Immutability,

And though the Elett may fall into many

tion, as in the conversion and perseverance of the Elect; or from his ineluttable Decree, as in the Government of the Reprobate towards their Finall doome sthis Necessity they will not, they cannot deny. For the truth of the first branch, i. e. in respect of Gods irrest-Stible operation, See P. Molinaus, amongst the Ads of the Synod. par. 1. pag. 295. m. The British Divines, par. 2. pag. 132. Thes. 2. The Hassiens, pag. 145, Thes. 3. Those of Wedderau. pag. 150. p. m Those of Embden, pag. 169. thef. 59,60. and pag. 185. Quest. 26. and Sibrandus Lubberius par. 3, pag. 157. m. For the other branch, i. e. an unavoidable Necessary arising from the Immutable Decree, you had it even now, from the Divines of Wedderau ( ubi supra) where they adde, Multa funt necessario &c. (as above) Many shings come to passe of Necessity, upon supposition of the Divine Decree, which are done freely in respect of mans will. Thus, they say, the Jews crucified Christ necessarily, and yet freely. Necessarily; because being delivered by the determinate counsil of God, they took bim, and with wicked hands fastened him to the crosse and slew him. Act. 2.23. Yet freely; because with a sull Lubency, and a deliberate or

(which is no leffe unavoidable then the other)

whether arising from Gods irresistible opera-

Mulia must be extended to Omnia, to All fins as well as that, or some others, else the rest shall be exempted from Gods Decree; which is abfurd. Will a spontameitie alleviate the Necessity of finning, or the Perdition that follows it? Let me put a case to M, Baxter. Suppose 2 Prince intending the ruine of such a Noblemans Posterity, makes a Law, that who foever affociate themselves with Strampets, and are not reclaimed by one or two Admonitions, shall be Rack! alive, and have their bones broken, and their bowels and heart torn out of their bodies and burnt before their faces; And yet underhand takes order to Careffe fuch persons, and give them opportunity and entertainment amongst Harlots, with plenty of wine, and ravishing aires of Mulick to take them off their Guard, and applyes charms and Philiers to work upon their Phantalie, blond or spirits, till those persons are no lesse drunk with luft and passion than with wines M. Baxter is fent once and again to admonish them, and he faith unto them, Sirs, Ide-' fire you to confider, what a fevere yet a ve-'ry just law, is made against this Luxury s wherein you live. You have a most gracious Prince that tenders your wellfare, and

enterpretative will, they sought to slay him, as the Evangelicall Story witnesseth. And this ' reform your lives and manners, that you may be restored to your Princes favour; " whom these crimes have so highly exasperated. I pray, as you tender your lives reflect upon the borrour of the punishment awar-' ded to such vices, &c. But the men inthral'd by the witchcrast of the foresaid Artifice; (which is still applied to them) do hugg their unlawfull pleafures, and defie the threatned torments, till the houre comes that fummons them to the dreadfull execution, which all men, that understands the whole processe of the businesse, bewails with bitter lamentations. But then in steps M. Baxter to justifie the equity of the sentence that is past against them, and he aggravates their guilt, and taking no notice of those underhand practises, by which these forlorn wretches were captivated to this mifery, he tells the fad Multitude of Complainers, that the proceedings are very just; for they knew the Law, and he had taken pains to informe, exhort and admonish them, in the bowels of his tenderest compassion, and he is sure they had the nainrall faculty of Freewill, and they lay under no Necessary that compel'd them to those leud

from that exorbitancy, which otherwise

will bring you to utter tuine; I carneftly befeech you to recollect your felves, and

application? For to fancy that the Decrees of the most wife; just and holy God have any fuch influence or any Aspect that looks that way, were horrid blessphemy. And if there were any such Decrees made in heaven, Almighty God fhould for his honour fake, vather give Master Baxter a Fee to hold his peace, than to divulge them. And yet they have been divulged by men of no small account amongst the Calvinists, As God denies the Reprobates his Grace that they cannot but fin, so also bath he destint a them to this condition, that of their own nature they cannot but commit diverse wickednesses. Zanch. de Nat. Dei. pag. 534. And, we doubt not to confesse, that by the immutable Reprobation, a necessity of sinning is incumbent apon the Reprobate, and of finning even unto death without Repentance, and of suffering eternall punishment for it.pag. 571. (alia edit. 743, 744. ) Piscator to the tame purpose. in Notis ad Duplie. Vorst. pag. 217. Although the rebellion of the Reprobates depends upon the antecedone, absolute and irresistibly estimacious will of God, yet by this they cannot nor ought to be excused from the fault of Rebellion; Also pag. 223. When God ne-

of Luberty and alacrny; and therefore the

infliction of their fufferings was very just and

warrantable. I am very loth to make the

for fin, he dothinglif, because he bath power to governman as be list.

By this it appears how little reason Master Baxter had to say ( as he doth in his following Investive) [ All this is such a self-devised tale, that no honest man should have been guitty of against the pogrest neighbour or enemy, much lesse against a Party, and a Synod of so many strucky learned and worthy 73671. Answ. I. What Self do you mean? Self-Will? or Self-Richard? Mutato Nomine, I am fure 'ris justified by Zanchy-Self, and Pisoator-Self, and why I may not adde Synod-Self too, I fee no reaton but your Selfsdenyall, which in this case ought not to be accounted of to great validity as D. Tilento's affirmation, who (as we have been informed) was privy to the transactions of their very close-Committees. 2. The Calvinists do impute for worse mat-

cossitates wan unto sin, that he may punish him

ters to Almighty God himself, as appears by sundry of their Testimonies cited above; to which one thousand more might be added out of their writings, if it were needfull; and what think you of that modelt expression (lately mentioned) of D. Damman a Synodist, That if God performs his part, we cannot omit ours?

3. I make a very great difference betwixe the Forein Divines and the Provinciall, and betwixt the fingle Doctors and the Where not † Synod. And M. Baxter is a man the best ar. of so much observation, (if afgements, bus fection hath not darkened his the mast votes do carfight) that he cannot but see, ry il z as when men have espoused a Cause, Luk, 23,23. what unworthy \* courses (to say See Antino worse) they will take to prodot um in Prafat. 🕼 vide a Dowry for it, and make it 181,081.4 fruitfull. These Divines took a solemn Oath at their entrance into the Synod (as was faid above) to examine these controversies impartially, without affection or prejudice, according to the word of God, yet fo unmindfull were they hereof, that they condemned the Remonstrants unbeard, thut them out of the Synod, not permitting See, ibid. p. them the liberty promifed in their Letters of Citation, to explain and defend their opinions. By which it appears clearly that they were a Party indeed (in another sense, than M. Baxter takes -the word) and therefore as unfit to be Judge in thefe

Controversies, as the Councel of Trem was to be Judge of thole, betwirt the Church of Rome, and the Protestants. That + And his

they were such a Parin t is further the more scandalous that a Synod should be such a Party evident,

they solicited the Prince of Anhalt by Letters, that he would transmit the Confession of his Divines, that they might examine whether it were ealculated, to ferve the in-See ibid. p. terest of that Doctrine, which 7. &cc. they were resolved to establish, before they would admit them to their Convention; which motion that Prince resented so ill, that he rejected it, not without disdain and indignation. The onely Divines that carried themselves worthily, that is, with prudence and equity towards the Remonstrants, were the Helverians, who darkly taxed the crafty practices and prejudice of the rest, and protest a defire to suspend their judgement concerning enther Party, till the whole cause were fully known; For they say, Caterum ut crimi-All. Synod nacio acerba est venerandum banc Dord.p. 102 Synodum appellare Schismaticam, f. par. s. e-dit. in fol, ita intempestivum nobis videri non difficemur, Remonstrances criminis ejusdem, hoc quidem tempore, agere reas & condemnare. Est enim veneranda & sancta hac Synodus congregata eum in finem, ut Dostrinam Remonstrancium propositam, explicatam defensamque audiat, ad Dei verbum probe examinet,

evident, by the Diligence used in exploring the Judgement of Divines before they were

invited to this Assembly. To which purpose

usque igitar sententiam de schismate, ejusque Authoribus suspendendam esse sentimus, quandequidem pars ea, que post examen convicta suerit doctrina erronea, hoc ipso Schismatica quoque intelligeiur, nisti cum corpore, à quo se fentit avulfam, rarfus coalescati But their judgement was not followed by the rest, who were so much the lesse worthy See the An. for dealing so unworthily, both in tidotum, &c. word and deed, with their Reverend Brethren. You go on; The Question is, Whether men have Originall fin or not? These of you that are of Doctor Jeremy Taylor's minds in this, speak out, and disown the Pelagians no more, but speak as bisterly of Austin as of the Synod of Dorr. To which I answer, 1. That men have originall sinne; the remote Cause whereof is Gods imputation of it : but the next Cause is their Carnall Generation. For the fin of Adam is therefore of right imputed to us, because we are carnally propagated from him, now become guilty: and so according to the flesh we were as a certain part of him finning: because we then existed in his loines, when he finned. The like, in a manner, is faid of Levi paying tithes in the loines of Abraham, Heb. 7. 9, 10. The Question then, is not whether men have originall fin derived to-

de ejus vel veritate vel falsitate pronunciet. Eo

them from Adam, for that is yielded : but whether being called, they have a new power given them by Christ to become Evangelically Righteous? and this question M. Baxter stated with fome thew of moderation in his first Affice Sermon, and resolved it Pag. 12,13. seemingly according to the sense and meaning of Tilenus. His words are thefe; '[ The last Question is, Who they be that are and may be urged to glorific God on this ground, that he hath bought them? Doubteleffe, onely those whom he hath bought; but who are those? It discourageth me to tell you, because among the godly it is a controversie; but if they will controvers points of such great moment, they cannot disoblige or excuse us from preaching them. Among the variety of mons opinions, it is fafe to speak in the language of the boly Ghost, and accordingly to believe, viz. that, As by the offence of one, judgement came upon all men to condemnation a even so by the righter ougnesse of one, the free gift came upon all menso justification of life. Rom. 3.18. And that he gave himself a ransome for all, and is the onely Mediator between God and man. I Tim 2.5,6. That he is the propitisation for our fins, and not for ours enely, but also for the fins of the whole world. 1 Joh. 2. 2. That God is the Savieur of all men, especially of those that

as he defines it, it is not fo much Grace as Severity, having no power to fave, and being designed onely to render the persons, upon whom it is conferred, inexcusable, and their damnation the more intollerable. But Tilenus, farre more to the advancement of Gods Grace and Christs merits, doth conclude from those Texts, by him alledged, That God for Christs sake doth conferre upon all those, who are called by the Golpel, a new power, whereby they are inabled, if they use their diligent endeavour, and be not wanting to themselves and that divine Grace, to expedite and free themselves from the servicude of sin. But by what consequence this should be drawn to prove a denyall of Originall fin, I am not able to imagine, seeing this Sufficient power all men will not make use of, no not so much as to exempt and free themselves from that servitude of sin, which is superadded to sin Originall. As for Doctor Jeremy Taylor, you should do well to stop his mouth first (not by impotent and unworthy infinuations, but by felid

believe. 1 Tim.4. 19. That he is the Saviour

of the world. Joh 4.42. I Joh. 4. 14, 15 That

he tasted death for every man. From which cleare evidence Master Baxter is so fully con-

vinced, that he doth acknowledge a Generall

Grace in words, though indeed, in the refult,

and convincing Arguments) before you invite or provoke others of his minde to open theirs.

But why disoren the Pelagiaus no more? would you not persuade your Reader that Doctor Taylor is a perfect Pelagian? and is not this suggestion as odious and uncharitable, as the felf-devised tale, with which you charged Tilenus even now? how then comes it to passe that so honest a man as Master Baxter is found guilty of it, not against the poorest neighbour, but against a very learned and worthy person, though haply his enemy

for telling him some truths that go against the grain of his interest, Popularity or Ambition? M. Baxter may remember a little Pamphlet inticuled [ A Testimony to the truth of Jesus Christ, &c. | Subscribed by 52. Ministers (his Brethren) within the Province of Pag. 4. with London; wherein amongst other the 9. abominable errours, damnable here-

ster, and horrid blasphemies, they reckon this for one [ That Christ was given to undergo a (hamefull death voluntarily upon the crosse, to Satisfie for the sin of Adam, and for all the fins of all Mankind. | Now seeing the Pelagians are charged by the Ancients with this Doctine [ that Christ did not die for all, as appears by Saint Austin, contra 2. Epist. Pelag.

L. 2.c.2. Pelagiani dicunt Deum non effe omnium

statum in hominibus mundatorem, Salvatorem, liberatorem, &c. Suppose a man should return Master Baxters language upon his Party, [ These of you that are of the, 52. Ministers mind in thus, speak out, and disown the Pelagians no more; How would they take it? or how would Master Baxter interpret it? This would be called a hamelesse calumny, at least a perverse infinuation in Tilents, though it must passe current for a piece of ingenuity and candor in himself. But doth not Doctor Taylor, in stating the Question, that there may be no clamours against. the person interested in either persuation, nor any offence taken by errour or misprisson. tell you. It is not intended, nor affirmed; that there is no such thing as Ori-ginall sin; for it is certain, and af- Further, explicat p.452 firmed by all Ansiquity upon many grounds of Scripture, That Adam sinned, and his sin made Personally bis, but Derivatively enristhat is, it did great hurt to us, to our bodies direlly, to our souls indirectly and accidentally. So great hurt, that the Doctor faith, (in his Unum necessarium) Peg. 431. That our Spirit, when it is at the best, it is but willing, but can do nothing without the miracle of Grace. He doch not flick (in his Answer to the L. Bilhops second Letter) to call it the Pela-

gian Heresie, and saith, it did serve it self by Saying too little in this Article. And Pag. 101. in his Vindication to the Countesse of Devonshire, he saith, I desire to be observed in opposition to the Pelagian Herefie, who did suppose Nature to be so perfett, that the Grace of God was not necessary, and that by Nature alone, they could go to heaven; which beeause Lassirm to be impossible, and that Baptism witherefore necessary, because Nuture is insuffievent, and Baptism is the great channell of grave; there ought to be no envious and iguorant lead laid upon my Dostrine, as if it complied with the Pelagian, against which it is o estally and so mainly opposed in the main difference of his Doctrine. I do not infert this, as if I had a minde to vindicate the Doctors opinion, or esponse his quarrell; ( he is of age to answer for himself, ) but to give the Reader notice of the difingenuous practifes med by this great pretender unto truth and God kneffe, in his unwar by defamation of some, no leffe than in his undue vindication of others. But for the Dectors honour and comfort, Mr Baxter purs him amongst very good company, (under this accusation,) Samu Riff. \* viz. All the Fathers of the first por it per two hundred or the ee hundred years; and the plant truthe, faith he, till Pelagius dutes, all spoke like Pelagians. And

the Fathers that wrote before him, and condemittely that of Pelagini, as a recent cirour or novel Prefumption. However M. Baxter should do well to consider, that the Manichees are, at least as ill as the Pelagians, and therefore he should take need, he runne nor into the extreams of very many Calvinifts who think they are never lafe from the danger of this Charybdis; till they fall into that Scylla. And if I should fay the Synod of Dore did fo, he would not spare to tell me, as he doth Titensus here, that he fronks bitterly of them. Why binerly? Some men are to tender of their very errours, that they are ready to complain, Truth bires them, when the doth but imploy her tongue to bick their foars, in order to their healing. All other mens Gall and Copperas, it feems, doth corrode and free : but Master Baxters is purely Balfamical. But is it all Gofpel that was faid by Saint Austin, or the Synod of Dore? Rara. Saith, Youdillent from the first as much Augustinung as Tilenus, and the Canons of the lath startions later are no Authentick Text with fuisse in fervore disputationis bujusque passim nec fecum, nec cum Scriptura concilia ant poffit. De grat. & lib. ark lib. 2. cap. 14.

yet how this opinion can be true. I understand

nor, seeing S, Austin maintains his Ductrine

against the Pelagians, by the Authority of all

Confession of Faith, concerning Articis. Sect. 12 Art. 3, Sect. 12. & 15. Art. 5. 24.25. Sect. 9, 10, 11, 13. And having cleared your self of the imputation of Arminianisma, you proceed in these words, [ So I shall think that those who go as much on the other hand, and differ from the Synod one may, as much as the Arminians did the other may remain censurable as well as they; and soon after. Yet les me Pag. 27. adde this, left my feeking to (atisfie the offended, may draw me into guilt; Though I have woluntarily my self profelled my consect so these severall Canons and Confessions of Faith, (but this is upon liberty taken to explain what Phrases you dislike in them, and putting your own fenfeupon them; and therefore you might very well Inbjoyne what followes ) Tet for the Synod of Dort, the Confession of the Assembly; year or the Larger Catechisme, without some correction on, I do hereby protest my dissent against the so imposing them to a word upon all Ministers, that no man that cannot subscribe to them, hall be permitted in the Church: , whether our confession were intended for such a vecessary Test; I know not well; But that the Synod of Dort was, is expressed in the end; seith M. Baxter. Whence we may collect, had Master Baxter

you, unlesse you may be allowed to make your

own expolition. So you professe in your

ning of Master Baxters Artifice, to insimuate into his credulity, that the Synod of Dort maintains no other Doctrine then what was taught by S. Augustine; which suggestion, had it any truth in it, might gaine some reputation to the Synod. But M. Baxter acknowledgeth (in his Account of Perseverance) that Austin's opi. Profession was that some who are effectivally Called, Regenerated, Justified, and Sanstified, do fall away and perish; which

neither the Synod nor He will allow of for sound Doctrine. And that he never intended to teach such an irrespective Decree, (I say Irrespective in the same sense) as the Synod holds forth, is evident by that one Argument even now mentioned; † That he desended his Doctrine by the † Antiquities fundation Catholicam sidem adversus recentem Pelagianorum barresissus mediantes desendings.

reticorum pi asumptionem perniciemque desendimus. Aug. lib. 4. adversus Pelag. Epistol. Es cap. 12. Quibus demonstratur quomodo sit bac quam tenemus sides vera, vereque Christiana atque Catholica, sicut per Scripturas sancias Antiquitus tradita, sic a patribus nostris es usque ad boa tempus, quo isti eam convellere tentaverunt, retenta atque servata, es deinceps propsito Deo retinenda atque servanda.

Authority of all the Fathers that had written before him, and accused the Pelagians of Novelty. What the Antionts opinion was Prosper hath expresly delivered in thele words: Pene amnium parem inveniri & unam, fententiam, qua propositum & pradestinasignem Dei Secundum prascientiam receperunt. us ob boc Dens alies vafa bonoris, alies vafa contempelia fecerit, quia finem uninscujusque praviderit, &, sub ipso gratie adjutorio, in qua futurus effet Voluntate & actione Prascienit. They all held that Predestination was according to Gods præscience; that God made some vessels of honour, others vessels of difhonour, because he foresaw the end of every one, and foreknew what he would be, by his own will and choice, under the affiftance of Divine Grace. S. Austin doth professerhat S. Ambrose by name maintained this cause, with him. But Saint Ambrose (lib. 5. de Fide. c. 3.) faith expresly; Non emm ante Predestinavit , quam prosciret : Oc. Gods Prædestination was not afore his foreknowledge; &c. And in those Commentaries that go under the name of Ambreje, and thought to be of the fame Age, 44 Rom. 8. We read thus; Iftos, quos prafesit funnos fibi devotos, ipfos elegitud promissa premia capessenda. He chose them to receive the promised reward, whom he fore. icricordiam dabo ei, quem præscii, post errorem, recto corde reverturum ad me. I mill give mercy to him, whom I foreknow, would after his errour, return to me with a right beart. To him we may adde Hierome, the Marpelt Adversary of Pelagius, who is of the fame judgement; for he taith, Ex Dei prescientia evenit, m, quem AdGal. 1. seit insbum fumrum, prius deligat, quam orinear ex utero. It is from his foreknowledge, that whom God knowes will be righteoms, he loves before ever he comes forth of has mathers womb. And upon the first Chaprer of Maluc. Delestio & edium Dei vel ex prascientia nastitur susurorum, vel ex operibus. The love and harred of God arisesh cither from his foreknowledge of future things, or else from works. And upon the eighth to the Romanes. Proposuit fold fide servare, ques profescuras crediouros. He determined to Save them by faith, whom he foreknew would believe. Which is more confiderable, in his heat of Conflict against the Pelagians ( lib. 3.) He faith, Eligis ( Deus) quem bonnm cernut. God chaofeth him, whom he feeth to be good. I may adde to these Saint Austin himfelf, who in his fast writings ( being Bishop ) ad Simplicianum † , hath these words, Nemo eligitur, nisi jam di- L. 1. Ru.2.

know would be devoted to his fervice. Et mi-

chosen, but he who is diftant, or differs from him who is rejected: bereupon I cannot see how shat faying [ shat God hath chosen us before the foundation of the world is to be understood, but of Gods foreknowledge of Faith and good Works. And a little after of facob, he faith, Non electricest ut sieret bonus, sed bonus factus eligi potsiit. He is not elected that he might be made good, but being made good he might be elected. From which clear passages I argue; either Saint Auften taught the Same Doctrine which the Antients had taught before him, or he did not; If he did, then he taught Electien upon Gods foreknowledge of faith and piety, which is against the Doctrine of the Synod at Dors: If he did not teach the same Doctrine, when he falfly pretended their Authority and concested against all Antiquity, as well as against the Pelagians; which is very absurd to affirm of him. So that mangre M. Baxters pretention, a man may disown the Pelagians and the Synod of Dort 200, and yet speak Reverently of S. Austin. Master Bax or shuts up this Scene with a notable Question, in these words; Do you believe that all ungodly men, or any man Na-

stans ab illo qui rejicitur : unde quod dictum

est, Quia elegit nos Dens ante Mundi Constitu-

zionem, non video quemodo sie dictum, misi de

prascientia sidei & operum pietatie. No man is

rate. The Synod holds the Affirmative of both branches, as touching all the Reprobate: But I deny such a Decree, and confeguently that unavoidable Necessity, supposed to follow it; and if there were such a Decree and fuels a Necessity of wanting Faith and Repentance, that want could not be the fin, but the Fate of such Reprobates. Reflexions upon the XII. Section and IV. Article. He fourth Article, you say, so-ged by this Ghost of Tilenus. You have been truly informed, that the Article was drawn

turally, hath the Habis of Faith, or Love, or

Holinesse & This is the very Question, if you will rightly understand it. To which I an-

swer, That, I do not believe that all ungodly

men, or any man Naturall; hath the Habit of

Faith, or Love, or Holinesse: But this is

not the very Question, if I rightly understand

it; but rather this, [ Whether to continue

in the want of these, by reason of an Antece-

dent Decree, that denies Grace Sufficient and

Necessary to perform them, be a matter of

-mavoidable Necessity, and yet a sinne proper-

ly fo called, in the Naturall and unregene-

up by Daniel Tilenus himfelf; but you are a very bold man in venturing to combate with a Ghoft; upon whom, your own Reason might have told you, (if your passions had not transported you to fall on without consulting is ) that you were like to make no impression with fuch blunt weapons, as you manage against him. You must therefore be content, till you can come better arm'd, to leave the Field and the victory behinde you, which your Confidence, no doubt, at your Marching forth, promised you the Glory of in the attempt. But this Ghost must follow you into the next Field, ( where he is to try your ftrength and skill, a little further, ) which is, I That God to save his elect from the corrupt Maile, doth beget faith in them by a power equals to that whereby he created the world, and raised up the dead, insomuch that such unto whom he gives that Grace, cannot reject it; and the rest being Reprobate cannot accept of it, though it be offer-'ed unto both by the same Preaching and Mi-"nistery. ] That I'm work of Register Meton at Conversion, for mightinesse, is not inferiour to the creation of the world, or raif-\* C. 3. & ing up of the dead \*; is the ex-4. Aib. 12. Gr fuffrag. prese Assirbation of the Symod, Genevenf.de im termina What is it then that 3. 4 Cap. Master Baxter hath to object a-Žb.10≠1 13,

gainst the Article? 1. Where did the Synod say that this was to save his Elect from the corrupt Masse, excluding all others salvation? Tilenus hath not the words [ excluding all others falvation; ] but the Synod hath the Thing, sure enough; for they conclude that the Election of fome implyes + the f Eleain Rejection of others, and that is ( quom de exclusion, in Zanchy's sense, as Jacobo inwas shewed above, and in any relligh).abfmans sense, I think, but M. Baxque reprobaters. Do they not fay, many of Lione (quant vi oppositiothem, and 'cis the judgement of nis intelligit them all, that the numbers of the de Efavo 🕽: Elect can neither be diminished ne cogitari quidem po nor increased? and are not the test. Piscet. rest excluded then by that Do-Refpon. ad Ærine ≥ And although you say, Syllog. God invites them to salvation up-1. Taufreri. on Faith and Repentance, yet Contra abfot. Refrob. Dethis Condition is impossible, and cret. made to by his own Antecedent Decree, which first ordained their \* Oportuit fait \* (as many Calvinists do ergo Deum teach) and then the deniall of Sufquoque banc ficient and Necessary Grace unto unicam viam fibi apo. rive, id eff. Adami Lapfum ordinare, sed ad eum quem dini finem. Beza. in refp. ad S. Caftel, de Prædefi, in refurar, fecunda Calum. p. 361.

Master Baxter goes on; [ And if you quarrell not with a supposed exclusion but an incluson, then he that denyeth a necessity of salvation from the corrupted Masse, may tell God he well not be beholding for such a mercy, and stand to the venture ] Here you are really guilty of a perverse infinuation, to rene der Tilenus and his Doctrine odious to the world, whereof you falfly charge him in osea, 6. & ther parts of your Preface. Why else should you hold forth such a supposition, if it were not to impole upon your Reader, that Tilenus or the Remonstrants deny a necessity of salvation from the corrupt Masse? Where do they say this? or what temptation have you to suspect they think so? If you had no such intent, your [ Inclusion ] might have been excluded, and so might the other branch of your diflinction; which follows in these words; [But if you mean it Exclusively, they professe that Faith is the means of our Salvation, not enely from the corrupted Masse, but from Insidelity, and the Curse of the Law, and from damnation, and all the sin that would procure it.] Before Master Baxter spake of an Exclusion of Persons; but now he comes to resume this

Faith and Repentance, as the whole Sy-

nod hath declared; and thereby they exclude

all others Salvation,

the corrupt Maffe? And furely the corrupt Masse is the Terminus à quo, and if you be a Sublapsarian, you must conclude that as Mans Mifery, fo Gods Mercy and Salvation must begin there; otherwise, if men be lest in the corrupt Masse, till they arrive at finall damnation, Faith will come too late then to fave them. 2. Here you separate Infidelity from the corrupt Masse, and hereby you implyedly acknowledge, that we are not made guilty of Infidelity by Adams fin, and consequently that men being Reprobated upon the account of this finne, were Reprobated without any respect to their Insidelsty, as Tilenus chargeth the Synod, to hold, in his first Are ticle. But why do you teparate the carfe of the Law, and Damnation, from the corrupt Masse, as it thu alone were not sufficient to procure both, as your words infinuate; though I prefume as much contrary to your own fense as it is, most certainly, + to the doctrine of the Rejea. 8. Synod? Master Baxter runs on in perverse Insinua-

Exclusion as the second branch of his di-

stinction, he speaks of an Exclusion of Things,

which is not very Artificiall, in the way of

discourie. But why do you professe Faith is

the means of our salvation, not onely from the

corrupt Masse, but &c. ] Who said onely from

doth not cause Faith in us, you will not then pray for it, nor be beholden for it. ] I am fo well affured that it is God that causeth Faith in us, (in the fense of Holy Scripture) that I account my self obliged, not onely to pray for the working and increase of it, but most humbly and heartily to thank and blesse him also for the Possession and benefit. But, then laith Mafter Baxter, If you yield that he cause that, but not by such a power as you mention, you either think that God cau-Seth it without power (which is an opinion that needs no censure) or that he hath many Powers, and causeth one thing by one power, and another thing by another: which is as unbeseeming a Divine or Christian to affert. Answ. 'Tis acknowledged that God causeth Faith, and that by his Power, which Power of his is one and the same Omnipotencie, essentially; but exerted and put forth to the production of severall effects, not like the Powers of Naturall Agents, which Act Ad Ulimum sui Posse, to their utmost strength, but in such a Proportion and Measure, as seems meet to his All-wife Good pleafure, to allow every Agent in order to its operation. For it is a certain Rule; Licet non possimus Deo tribuere virtntem agendi Limisatam, nil tamen vetat, qued influx us extrinsecus ab eo orcus, non con-

tions fill, laying; 2. [If you think that God

influxus. Though God be omnipotent, yet every influx of God is not omnipotent, for what is Omnipotent is Infinite, and what is Infinite can neither be increased not diminished. If therefore every influx of God unto Second Causes were Omnipotent or Infinite, no one Influx of the Divine Power could be more strong or forcible than another. But let us hear Master Baxters Problems upon the point in these next words; [ Is not all the world of sober Christians agreed, that Omnis Potentia Dei est Omnipotentia? Enther God Causeth faith by the same Omnipotency by which he created the world, or else be causesh it not at all: For he hash no power but one, and that is Omnipotency. ] Here Master Baxter straines his wits to palliate the Synods abford Doctrine. To which end he confounds Gods essentiall Power, which is Omnipotencie, with the Egressions of the same Power to diverse effects and purposes, which, as was proved even now, are more or leffe powerfull, as the wisdome of God is pleased to send them forth. But let us try what use we can make of this captions way of arguing; and I shall inferre from this Position of M. Baxter, one of these two Conclusions: either, 1. That there is no Sufficient Grace given to the Non-Elect, which is against M. Baxters Doctrine;

tineat ömnem perfectionem possibilem in racions

or 2. that those Non-elect can conquer Gods Omnipotencie; which (to we his words) is as unbefeeming a Divine or Christian to affert. Thus I argue; Some power of God is exerted towards the Conversion of the Non-elect. All power of God is Omnipotencie. Therefore some Omnipotencie is exerted towards the conversion of the Ge. But that M. Baxter may not Gavill at the Form of the Argument, being in the third figure, we will reduce it according to the Rules of Art; and 1. Oftenfive. All the Power of God is Omnipotencie. Towards the conversion of the Non-Elect is exerted some power of God. Therefore, to the Conversion of the Nonelett is exerted some Omnipotencie. 2. We will reduce it [ Per Impossibile. ]. No omnipotencie is exerted towards the conversion of the Non-elett. All power of God is Omnipotencie. Therefore, No power of God is exerted towards the (onversion of the Non-elect. Now Master Baxter may take his choice of their two Conclusions. If he faith there is no power of God exerted towards the conversion of the Non-elects then his sufficient Grace is vanisht; for I presume he will not lay ibat is sufficient to an effect, which

he saith the Omnipotency of God is exerted towards their Conversion; then seeing they are not [ de Facto ] actually Converted, it. will follow, that they can insuperably resist, and prevaile against Omnipotencie; which (to take no advantage) of the blasphemy of that affertion ) if they can do, though they shall be the greatest Rebels in the world, they will deserve to weare the crown for their exceeding proweffe. This will awaken Master Baxter to the ale of some of his Five senses, which, to make a show and fill up the Muster, rather than for any great service in this Controversie, are displayed in these words; [ In these severall senses it may be said, that a thing is the effect of Ommipotencie. 1. Properly and strictly as denominating the cause. And So all that God doth is the effect of Omnipotencie, even the life of a Fly; and therefore you cannot deny it of Grace: 3 This I shall not Question. But 2. Improperly, as meaning that the Agent doth Act to the utmost of his Power, and could do no more: and thus never did any Divine that was well in his wiss fay, that Grace is the effect of Gods Omnipotency. 3. Improperly also as meaning that so much power as was put forth in causing Faith, would have created a world; had it been that way imployed.

hath nothing of Gods power in it. But if

greees of Power (unleffe [ which is a good Reserve for you denominarively ab effectis) to God: and if they did, yet would they not pretend to judge of the Scantling, and say, This work hath more power, and this leffe: especially in such Mysterious works: Answ. 1. Whether the Divines of the Synod were well in their wits, I shall not examine. Tis too evident that many of their Followers are not, which is the fairest excuse that can be made for many of their Doctrines and Practices. And whether they thought, that God did Alt to the utmost of his Power, and could do no more, in the conversion of a Sinner, I shall not dispute neither. Nor shall I put any of their words upon the Rack to force them to speak their meaning to be this, That so much Power as was put forth in causing faith, would have created a world, had it been that way imployed. ] But whether their sobriery conteined them from afcribing severall degrees of power to God, at least from implying them, and judging of the Scantling, I shall leave to the interpretation of the Judicious and Impartiall Reader, having first fer down their very words, which Cap.3. et, 4. are thele; In the Regeneration of Rejell.8. man, they lay, God dees, lux Omni-

And this cannot be their meaning, because so-

ber Divines do not use to ascribe severall de-

frength of his Omnipotencie. They do not speak here of Gods Essentials power, which we doubt not to be Infinite, or Omnipotent; but of the influx or emanations of it, applyed to, or imployed in this work, and thise, they fay ate vires Omnepotentia fua ] the firength of his Omnipotencie. And he that denies this, they adde, that he doth [ Astronem Dei Omnipotentis subsidere voluntati hominu I Subject the Altion of the Bid. Art. 12, Allmighty God to the will of man. Which Action or Operation, Virinte Ina, nec creatione, nec more worum resuscitatione minor, aut inferior, for the mightinesse thereof ( as the English Translator hath it) is not left; or inferious to the creation of the world, or the resuscitation of the dead. If this be not a pasfing of Judgement upon the scantling of Gods Power, I professe, I know not what Master Baxter means by it. And I would fain be informed, whether, of the work of Speciall Grace in Gods Elect, and the work of Common Grace in the Reprobate, we may not truely say, That work hath more power, and This leffe; which yet, faith Mafter Baxier, Suber Divines do not Pretend to judge of, espeendly in such Mysterious works. But he summis up all in these word : [Gods will is sufficient is exuse the thing Willed: And the willing of

potentiæ vices adhibere, exert or imploy the

Will, but about the Influx of his Power; For tis not the will of God Reduplicative, or quatenus Will, that causeth the Thing willed, but quatenus Potentia, as it is Power, as well as Will. And we must not forget to take notice, that Things willed of Almighty God, are either willed Absolutely, as his own workes, or disjunctively and conditionally, as Mans duty; Gods will alone is sufficient to cause the things willed in the first sense, but not in the latter; And this, not because the Will of God alone cannot, (at least if it doth not imply a contradiction, to fay, God can do that which is Formally our Duty ) but because alone, it will not. But it is time to feel the polle of Master Baxters fourth sense, which beateth thus, [4. Improperly, as onely describing the degree of excellency in the effects, as related to the Cause. As if they said, there is so much excellency in this offect of Grace, that no Cause below Omnipotency, that is, below God himself, could procure it. And he that denieth this, let bim prove if he can, that any Creature without God, can Sancisfie. ] A very Profound Argument ! I will requite you with such another;

Grace, will not canse a world, nor the willing of a world, will not canse Grace. ] Here

1. Master Baxter slips away from the Questi-

on, which is not about the Object of Gods

to cause every Creature to fetch every haust of breath, and move every step. But let us zeduce M. Baxters Argument into form, and see what will follow from it, What sever cannot be wrought without God, is wrought by omnipotency, or a power not inferiour to that by which God created the world, or raiseth up the dead. But Grace or Sanctification is not wrought without God. Therefore, Grace or Sanctification is wrought by Omnspotency, or a power not inferiour to that whereby God created the world, or raiseth up the dead. I deny the Major, That what seever cannot be wrought without God is wrought by Omnipotenty, &c. For i'le affume upon that propolition; thus, Man cannot breathe, nor fet one step, nor perform any one naturall action without God; Doth it follow therefore, that besides Gods Generall concourse, there is required a Speciall omnipotent influx not inferiour to that power whereby he created the world. or raiseth up the dead, to cause us to breathe and walk? Then every breath we fetch, and every step we set, is irrefistible, and cannot be suspended or forborn. The Fallacy in these

Let Master Baxter prove, if he can, that any

creature can breathe or move one step without

God: Ergo, therefore Omnipotency is required

cause Grace in us, is Omnipotent? If it be so, then God Acteth in this work, to the atmost of his power, and can do no more; Our preach. and no Divine, that is well in his ing and perwits, will say so; as Master Baxfuefion, and ter hath acknowledged. Tis true your hearing and confider. no creature, without God, can Saning, are the *flifie:* but God wleth the creature as appointed . his instrument and means to work means, Ge. Sanctification; Now are ye clean Call to the Non Conthrough the word: and SanTifie verted, Prethem through thy ermh; and Christ toce. doth santtiffe and clenfe his Church Jan. 27, 27. Epb. 5. 26, by the washing of water through the word: yet the word is neither Omnipotent not irresistible. And 🕈 2 Tim, 🤉 🦠 it is mans duty t to sanstific him-2Î: · FPet-1. 16. self, and as the possible for him to 1. 7ch, 3-3. perform, so its possible for him also to neglect it. Master Baziers fifth sense is given us in thefe words; [ And if encly the severalleffests are compared, as if the meaning were [the work of Grace doth more clearly demonstrate Ofmipotency in the cause, then the creation of the world ] I have met with none that dares present to be a Judge in the compa-

Arguings is A Dicto Simpliciter. God is

Omnipotent, doth it follow therefore that the

power which he exerteth, or putteth forth to

which tell us [ In some respect the work of Grace demonstrateth Omnipotency more, as beong against more actuall resistance: In other respects the creation demonstrateth is much more. ] Now how can we reckon this Judge amongst the number of those Sober Divines, who you say, did never intend to † Hi funt make themselves † Judges (I wongui fo ubri der who elfe made you (o) of these वार्थे । सार्व things, or trouble the Church with TOTAL CONTIN disputes about them. This Affermer fine de vina disposta tion will argue want of Sobriery in rione piaff. some body a let the Reader judge cium, ant fe in whom. Prajofitos fine ulla Ordi-Bationis Lege confituunt, qui vernius Epifeopation dante Epifcopi shi nomen affermut, sodentes in pestilentia Cu-thedra, Gr. S. Cyprian. de unit. Escles pag. 23. In the mean while I shall proceed to Master Baxters 13. Section. Where I find his Dufcourse ulhered in again with a new Reproach cast upon Telemus, whom he upbraideth in this language; From flanderonfly say, &c. J Now

rifon or competition. ] Then I have been more

happy in this than you; for I have met with

a man that doth more than pretend to it, one that hath plaid the part of a Judge in the

comparison or competition; and I am forry,

you are no better acquainted with him : but

you may read his decision in the next words,

at a venture, I submit it to the Impartiall Reas der, to stamp the brand of Infamy, in an indelible Character, upon the Forehead of him who is the greater flanderer of the too, Tilenus or Master Baxter. But what is the Sander? [ That the Synod faith, The Reprobates cannot accept it, viz. saving Fairb;] How dares Master Baxter call this † He dorb a flander t which is so easily proacknowledge ved to be their Doctrine? That it of them, in which is neither given to them, Se#. 36.0f nor designed for them, by Althis Preface. mighty God, that the Reprobates Reprobi crecannot receive, or accept: Faith dere non pofand Repentance are neither given funt. Gema-FRIS in Theto them, nor deligned for them, Abus de Praby Almighry God: Therefore dell, difs. they cannot receive or accept it. 1604 these 32, Reprebes nec obedire vocanti Deo, nec credere, nec resipiscere, nee justificari, nec falvari posse, inquit Musculus, in locis Com. Loc, de Reprob. The Major is proved by the words of the Baptife; Joh. 3. 27. A man can receive nothing except it be given him from above. The Minor is the Doctrine of the Synodist; For if you examine their Suffrages, most of their Definitions or Descriptions of Reprobation, do include the Denial of Grace Sufficient and

Mescalary unto Faith and Repentance. But we

for we have it amongst the very Pecrees of the Synod. Cap. 1. Arrie. 15. They lay, God Decreed to leave the Non-elect in the common Misery, and not to bestow saving Faith and the Grace of Conversion upon them. And Reject. 2. They reject it as an Errour that troubled the Belgick Churches, That an Election unto justifying Faith may be without peremptory Election unto Salvation. And Cap. 2. Reject. 6. Whereas Some, rather than others, are made partakers of forgivenesse of sins, and life eternall, They reject it as an Errour, [ That this diversitie depends upon their own free-will, applying it self to Grace indifferently offered: and not upon the fingular gift of Mercy, effeothally working in them rather than others, that they may apply this Grace unto themfelves. By which Doctrine it is evident that this Faith is denyed unto the Reprobate, and consequently, that they cannot receive it, which is all I intended to evince from it. By all which, and much more that might be alleaged to prove it, it appeares that the Synod hath the perfect sense, (and it is that and not fo much the numericall words) that Tilenus chargeth them with. But faith Master Baxter [ They deny them no power but Morall, which is the willingnesse

need not be at that trouble to finde proof;

ent and Necessary for the Introduction of that Supernatural! Habit, from whence should flow (if it be at all) that Habit mall milling ne se, which you call Morall Power. But they knew that all had a Passive and obedientiall Power, and also a Naturall A-Hive Power or Faculty of willing, and so far can Accept. ] Answ. z. For your Obedientiall power, it is no more than what was in the first Chaos towards the Production of the world; and you may with as much reason asfirm it possible for all Creatures sublunary, to have been educed or drawn out of that Chaos without Gods Fiar, or Omnipotent word, as for Faith to be drawn out of mans Obedientiall Power, unlesse God bestowes a new supernaturall power towards the production of iz-And for your Naturall power, what proportion bath that to a Supernausrall, Alt or Objest? So sittle, or none at all, that Saint An-Stin acknowledgeth, that the mane of such Acts in reference to such objects, is not culpable, but where a new sufficient power \* is conferred towards them. His Lib. 3. de words are thefe, Ex so quod non lib. Atbierio accepit, nullus rens oft; ex covec. 16. re qued non facit, qued debet, justè reusest. Debet autom si accepit, & wolun-

Habituall is felf's Yes by your favour, they

deny them Supernaturall Assistance Suffici-

No man is guilty for that which he hath me recrived; but upon this account, that he destinet what he ought to do, he is justly Emilia. And be ought to do, if be hath received a free will and sufficient ability. We may say of the Devils, as much as you do here say of the Reprobates; we know they haven Passive Obediensial, and also a Northnal Active Pewer or Fuculty of willing and fo farre-can Accept (Grace). And the Divine Decree makes no difference betwirt them, (as the Synod states it ); for that is irreversibly past against them both. But doth Godallow thate Reprobetes, (whom he calls by his Guipela) no more Grace and Power towards Faithand Repensance, than he allows the Dewils ? you do not, you dare not affirm it; and yet you affirm what is tansamount, if not worle; For what be does afford them, won would say furely, if you had occasion as well you infinuate ( Sect. 15.) that it is not with a purpose to convert them. To what end is it administred then? I am sure many, if not all the Synodists, doe tell us, that it is to make them inexcufable; by which Doctrine, God should deale more hardly by these men then by the very Devils (as was faid above) to whom as the benefit is in no sense tendred, to the unavoidable refutal of it, doth in no

patem liberam, & sufficientissimane facultatem;

fort procure them, (as it doth those ) the aga gravation of their fix and sorments. But I must return to take notice what a pitifull Put-off Master Baxter is fain to make use of, to save the Reputation of his own and the Synods Doctrine. We will illustrate it by this Similitude. Suppose a School to which are fent many children; There is an Ufber appointed to read a part of a Latine or Greek Author to all these Children every day - and the head-Mafter culls out a small number, to whom he privately communicates the Confiruction and way of Pursing, and inculcates it with to much earnestnesse and assiduity that the meanth capacity amongst them cannot but understand it; the rest, which are much the major Part, are past-by and neglected, no

care at all taken for their folid inflitution. When notice is taken, and complaint made of this incongruous and partiall way of Instruction, according to the method whereof, those few peculiar Favourites cannot refuse, the rest not receive the benefit, and therefore had as good ablent themselves from the School: An Impertinent person undertakes the vindication of this School and the Master of it, telling the Complainant, Toss ' flanderoufly say, those children cannot re-\*ceive benefit by this way of teaching; for

to my knowledge they have all their fenfes

on the Masters part, to inable them to gain the Construction and way of Tarsing the Authors read to them; This Impertisient waves that which is the onely thing in Queftion, and falls a commending the Boyes Naturall Abilities, crying up their nimble eies; and quick eares, and faithfull memories, and ready apprehensions. Just thus doth Master Baxter here; The Question is (not about the Habit of faith or Morall disposition to believe, as Master Baxter suggesteth a little after, but ) about Necessary and Sufficient Grace for the begetting or acquiring that fupermuturall Habit, or Spirituall Disposition. The Synod faith; God doth so dispense this Grace, that the Elect cannot reject it, but the Reprobates cannot accept it. No faith Master Baxter, there is no reason to object this against them; for they knew well enough the Reprobates [ bave a Passive and Obedientiall Power, and also a Naturall Active Power or Faculty of willing, and so far can Accept. ] What a piece of Sophistrie is here! a mere Ignoratio Elenchi. M. Baxter fets himself quite besides the cushion; And it would make one wonder to observe, that men, who handling other points of Divini-

' and Naturall faculties, for Discipline, as per-

fect as the otherchildren.] When the Questi-

on is about the helps and means administred

the Arts and Sciences, when they come to dispute these Controversies, should be driven to such evalions and fallacies, and are content to serve themselves of these mean shifts, rather than abandon their beloved er-XOUY. Bue, saith M. Baxter, The Question is onely of the Moral Disposition: And I pray tous if you are a Christian ( which is more fure, than that you are charitable ) or a man of Observation, tellus, Whether you think that an Infidell bath a Habit of Faith, or a Dispofitton of believing; or whether a drunkard hash a Habit or Disposition of Sobriety, or a whoremonger of Chastity, or a worldling of heavenline ]. I think not. But give us leave to ask you another Question; Whether an Ebet Infidel, drunkard, whoremonger, worldling, have the Habits or Disposition of Faith, Sobriety, Chastity, Heavenlinesse? Yet thefe can and do accept (rather cannot refuse) Grace, the rest cannot accept it. Is the Passive and Obedientiall power, and the Naturall Active Faculty alone sufficient hereunto in those Elect? No certainly there is something else required. Therefore the Question is not onely of the Morall Disposition, as you say; for becwixe the Naturall Active Faculty, and the Morall power which you call Habituall

ty make some shew of Learning and skill in

qui fitorum. helping the Unregenerate to repent And you fay, and believe. In your Treatife of Our new Conversion, you lay, That Habibirth is a tuall willingnesse none hath, but he new creation ordinarily in that hath proportionably received materia difthat Grace that doth effect it. There pofite.Of Sais then some belpe to supply the deving Faith. feets of that Morall Disposition, pag.40. 2 Pag. 294. or rather to work it, through want whereof the Reprobate can-Excus. 22. not have it. And in your Sermon of Judgement, you say, [ If we take Power Ethically, (and who takes it otherwise in this Question, but such as love to lurk in Ambiguities?) none but the effectually called have a power to believe. The Elest Drunkard, Whoremonger, Worldling, &c. cannot levy forces enough of his own to subdue those Rebel lusts that fight against the soul-You confesse God is pleased to send them forrein insuperable aid, out of his Omnipotent and irresistable Armies of Auxiliaries; And though the Principes and Trieris be kept for a Grand Referve to fall in to their succour

willingnesse, there is a sufficient supernaturall

or spirituall influx in order to the Acquisi-

† For, He-

bitus Infusi

se babent ad

modum Ac-

tion † of that Habit or Dispositi-

tion. And I conceive the very

Question is about this influx and

the degree of its Activity, in

blesse God for such Gracious Supplies; understanding their great need, many times, as well as Gods free liberty to flew mercy) yet some of the Velices you might allow the Reprobate, at least to ballance the force of the enemy; And then being upon such equall termes, ( as the first Adam stood upon in Pa. radife, which I do not understand in respect of Innocency, or, a prefent and immediate freedome from the servitude of fin, and guilt of death; but in respect of a measure of Grace proportionable to those temptations and infirmities they are to contest against, which is that condition to which the fe-Rem. 5, 17' cond Adam is faid to have restored us) if they will not fight it out then, and quit themselves like men, let them be led into captivity to the Law of siri, till they perish in it. But it seems 'tis but a folly for them to expect such Relief. They are required to bring in their tale of Brick, but no straw will be allowed them : they must make a shift with such stubble as they can rake up upon the Fallows of their own Nature i for so M. Baxter intimates in his next words, which are thefe, The Synod never doubted but that men have the Naturall Power of willing; and what then

when they are routed and discomfitted, ( and

we do not envie them that Affistance, but

can be moreover imagined to be in the will, besides the Alorall Inclination to will? ] 'I is true's if by [being in the will] you mean, as being there by the Right, of improvement of Nature but you have told us formerly of a Sufficient Grace, bringing Christ and Salvation to the choice even of the worst that perish. What is become of this Gracenow? without this man hath a Naturall Affive Faculty of willing, and if that Grace superadded to it, cannot inable him. to will above Nature, that is, Graciously, or to Believe, what is the reall effect, purpole, and intent of it? I pray speak out, without any equivocation or Mentall Refervation. But your Sufficient Grace, as farre as I can perceive, is like those men, which deceitfull Officers use to take up against a Generall Muster, that the cheat of their dead Payes may not be discovered; they will serve to make a shim, and skirmish a little in a way of Pastime, but are never ingaged to fight. Thus you furnish out your Common Grace, (which you are pleased to allow the Non-Elect) and if it be handsomely harnessed carrying a bow, yet wanting string and arrow, like Ephraim it turnes back in the day of battell. Never was the body of fin vanquisht, nor the soule of any one fingle Christian crowned under the conduct of it. And therefore perhaps you

may Accept of, yet they cannot improve to their salvation : It is of another fort, and delign'd to another end, a means (not to save, but) to harden and render inexcusable, for accomplishing the Decree of Reprobation. But let us hear Master Baxiers appeal, which he enters in these following words; [ Now I dare appeal, saith he, to any Reasonable man, whether these vicious persons have holy inclinations to the contrary vertue? that is, whether a wicked man be Habitually or difpositively a Godly man? This is the very Quefion when you have driven it to the Head, about the power of unfanctified men to Repent, Believe, Love God, &c. ] To which what bath been feturned already is sufficient to make it appeare, that Master Baxter hath not yet hit the nail o'th' head in this Queftion. But there are two things which I have obferved to fall frequently from him in his writings, to which I must apply an Answer. 1. That even the Reprobates may have Christ and life, or salvation, if they will.] ScHion 8. But Anionius Thysius \* speaks \* Ad Sum. more ingenuoufly, according to Baron p. 38.

thought, you were as good to leave the Re-

probate to their Naturall Active Facultie

without that Assistance; which though they

willing, but because God wills not. And † Donteclock faith, Duo ergo sunt †Contra Caqui nolunt, Deus & homo; There ftel.pag 102 are two that are unwilling, God and man. And Calvina, Quediquer 2 Inflit. 1.3. sibi patefacto Dei verbo non obtem-C. 24. A. 14. perant reprobi, probè id in malitiam pravitatemque cordis corum reficietur, modo simul adjiciatur, ideo in hanc pravitatem addictos, quia usto, sed inscrutabili Dei judicio suscitati sunt ad glori im ejus sua damnatione illustrandam; That the Reprobates obey not the Gospel of God, may very well be imputed to the malice and pravitie of their own heart, so this be also added to it, that they are therefore addicted to that pravitie (or naughtinesse) because by the just, but unsearchable judgement of God, they are raised up to set forth bis glory by their Damnation. And little leffe then this is implyed, though very modestly,in Sect. 15. of M. Baxters Preface. The second Thing I must take notice of in Master Baxters Doctrine about this Article is, That the Reprobates cannot, is no more then they will not, for thus he saith (Sect. 36. of this Preface ) When the Synod sayes they

the Doctrine of the Synod, (where of he was

a member); Multi salvi non finnt, saith he,

non quia ipsi nolunt, sed quia Deus non vult.

Many are not faved, not because they are un-

slanderously charged upon them; yet now himfelf findes it in them and expounds it thus ) When the Synod Sayes they cannot, they speak but of a Moral Impotency, which is nothing else but Habituall unwillingnesse, and so the cannot and the will not is the same thing ] But Beza + doth diftinguish them, and † In brevi saith, Nec volunt, nec etiam posexplic. sot. funt; So doth Master Fenner; The Christian. c. Reprobates are not damned because 5 Aph.4. they cannot (though they cannot) faith he, but because they will not; Cap.3,6 4. And this is the very Doctrine of Arric. 3. the Synod, They say, [ All men are conceived in sin, and born the children of wrath, untoward to all good tending to Salvation, forward to evil, dead in fine, slaves of fin; and neither Will, nor Can, (without the grace of the holy Ghost regenerating them ) [ which is denyed to every Reprobate by this Synod] set streight their own crooked nature, no nor so much as dispose themselves to the amending of it. And both this Cannot and this Will not, when you have driven the Question to the very Head, do flow by an inevitable Neccsfity, from the Divine Decree, according to the Doctrine of the Calvinists, speaking even by the Synod; as was shewed above, in the Testimonies alleaged in proof of the last

cannet, (which he told Tilenus even now, he

branch of the third Article; and as appears by the Decrees of the Synodie felf; compare Chapter 3, and 4. Artic. 3. with Chap. 1. Artic 15. In his next (which is the 14.) Section, Master Baxter runs on in his wonted Brain of accusation; for he tell Tilenus, [ You wrong them also (and 'tis just so, and no otherwise then formerly) in feigning them simply to fay, that those to whom God gives grace cannot reject it.] It were a strange Fistion I confess to affirm, that they fix fimply, when tis to cvident they speak so doubly and equivocally upon all occasions. But doth Master Baxter think that every Reader will bring the Colliers faith, and fellow him with a blinde obedience in what soever he please to impose upon him, if he be ushered in with a valiant Calumny? If he understands Latine he will finde the charge Islians brings against them, acknowledged in the next words, which tels us, [ Therfig indeed har, Post Dei operationem, (quoad ipfum) non-manet in hominis potestate regenerari vel non regenerari, e. What alters the case? is it Master Baxters (for 'tis none of Tilerus's) Dictum simplicities, or the Synod's, Secundum quid, or, quand ipfum, the first of which is a more blinde, and the other fuch an intignificant P ireathelis that the English Translator thought those Decrees. It is a notable sign men are ashamed of their opinions when they use such figg-leaf distinctions to cover their nakednesse. But it seems [ quoad ipsum ] would not do it; and therefore Master Baxter makes it quoad ipsam, in his Descant upon the words, or the Reason he renders to excuse them; which is this that followeth, [ For, faith he , when effectuall Grace hash done its work, the man is regenerate already, or else grace were not effectuall: (do you give it that denomination ab eventu then? ) Besides, (saith he) by [Power] here, they mean nothing but the proportion of mans corruption and resisting disposition, (would any man interpret it of mans wirtue and cooperation?) compared with that Grace that shall infallibly prevaile against it.] What need these tristing circumlocutions; you might have told us in one word, if you would have used your Christian simplicity, that, the Masculine Omnipotency, and the Feminine Irrelistibility of the Synod, are for infallibly pravalent in this work, that the Elect cannot reject it; which is that we contend to be the Synods meaning. But, by the way, let the Reader take notice of the sincerity of this Pravaricator. This Article of Tilenus is taken out of that very Decree of the Synod (Cap. 3, & 4. Artic. 12.)

fit to leave it quite out in his Translation of

and takes the confidence by unworthy suggestions, to wrong him, under an unjust pretense that he hath wronged them. For evidence whereof, it will not be amisse to give the Reader a more full view of their sense and meaning. The Belgick Pro-De Art. 3, felfors say, that Faith, by which we 🕁 4. Ibef. are first converied, and from which 6.p.159.p.3 we are styled Faithfull, is not an Alt, but a Habit infused of God: and that so Potent, that the will of man cannot resist or hinder it. This is subscribed by Ibid. p. 157. Polyander, Gomarus, Thyfius aud and these 4. Waleus; and approved by Lub-Rrofeffors fabfertbed berims; which Lubberius rejects, thefe Rejer amongst others, these two propo-Rions. fitions, as unfound opinions; 1. In hominis irregeniti Potestate esse, primam gratiam accipere vel rejicere; That it is in the Power of the unregenerate to accept or reject the first grace. 2. In himinis irregent i Potestate esse, prima gratia benè vel male usi. It is in the power of an unregene-† Part. 2.p. rate man to use the first grace will 153.m. erall. And the Divinesof + Wed-

which he professeth, (in his Con-

scribe unto; yet here, he quar-

fession of Faith, he cannot sub- Ubi supra.

rels Tilenus about it, and undertakes the

vindication of it, by his fleight Comments,

narily or extraordinarily) is irrefistible: That man neither will nor can resist it, Ita Britanhis visiofity being conquered by the mi: ad hoc oinsuperable power of God. That MI Tegenerathe will of man is merely Passive in tionis babet thus work. So that God is the sofe bomo paffrue, neg; eft licary Cause of the first Converin potestate sion. And doth not the whole Syvoluntatis nod sub'cribe to this doctrine? bumanæ im-They resemble this work to that pedire Deum fic immedia. Powerfull operation of God, by he regeneranwhich he giveth being to this our 8*cm*. waturall life. (Cap. 3, & 4. Ast. 17.) A work to the production whereof he imployeth his omnipotent strength. (Recett. 8.) A WOIK for the mightine se thereof not inferiour to the creation of the world or raising up the dead, which God workerh in its, but (not with us, but in without us; an operation so carried on, that when God hath done his part, it remains not in mans charge, to be, or not to be tegenerate; to be, or not to be, converted; Art. 1.2. & Reject. 8.) From whence I argue thus; That work wherein man is merely passive, which is wrought in him, but without him, like his first birth, creation or refurrettion from the dead, by Gods Omnipotent strength; That work, or that Grace that worketh after

deran lay, that the Grace of the Holy Ghost,

which effecteth faith (whether it be done ordi-

of a Fiction: But M. Baxter accountable for them both. But, faith M. Baxter, [ For the manner of Gods operation, they confesse it such, as man cannot here comprehend, ibid. Sect. 13. ] They were then very bold men, so positively to define it to be insuperable, infrustrable, omnipotent, irrelistible; And was it done like Worthy Learned Divines to exauctorate, persecute and banish, † their Learned and Godly Brethren, for diffenting from † For it is well known, them in the explication of an Arthey were inticle, which they confesse themfligators in felves unable to comprehend? this work. These things sound ill to impartiall cares. Let us heare if the rest will found any better. And Sect. 16. they tell you, faith M. Baxter that | Sicuti post Iapsum homo non desinit esse hemo, in ellecin & voluntate praditus, nec peccatum, quod universum genus humanum pervalit, naturam generis humani sustulit, sed depravavit & spiritualiter occidit : ita etiam kac divina regenerationis grafia, non agit in

this manner, he cannot reject. But such

is the operation, or Grace that effecteth his

Regeneration, or Conversion, according to

the Doctrine of the Synod (as was alleaged

out of their very words.) Therefore the Sy-

nod are not wronged, nor is Tilenus guilty

crit. To this I shall answer in In responsad the words of the Remonstrants, Epift. Miniprinted a year before the Synod Arerum Waof Dort began. [ At nullum bic lachrian. P. discrimen datur operationis divine in homine & trunco, de∫umptum ex modo & efficacia operandi, jed solum ex parte subjectorum, hominis & trunci, inter que infinitum intercedere discrimen nemo inficiabitur, nisi qui truncus sit ant stipes, ideoq; quid mirum, si non possit truncus & stipes secundum modum ereatura rationalu simul agere, sicut nec homo seeundum modum creasure irrationalis (aut non rationalis?) Qued fi e à quam Contra-Remonstrantes urgent ratione Deus hominem convertat, nullumnos discrimen videre ingennè fatemur inter hominis & tranci vivificationem. Cum enim in homine quamvis voluntate pradito ita operetur ex ipsorum placitis Deus, ut non possic non volumas agere id quod agit. & sola Conversionis Causa sit Spiritus gratia, non cooperante voluntale, & bac ex innato principio reluctans Spiritui ad obedientiam tam'n potentia cui refisti nequit flictatur, ita guidem, ut causa istius actus dicinon possit; certe non alium hunc esse agendimodum censemus, quam si ex La-

bominibus tanquam truncis O' stipitibus, nec vo-

luntatem ejusque proprietates tollit, aut invi-

tam violenter cogit; sed spiritualiter vivificat,

Sanat, corrigit, suaviter simul ac potenter fle-

Sum attolleret. Breviter, non magis spontance, nedum indifferenter, quad essentiale est libertati, ad conversionem sui concurret homo, quam cum truncus aus lapis motu non naturali à Motore impellitur. Thus facre in answer to the Epistle of the Walachrians; And in their Antidorum they fay, Verum quidem est hominens non esse truncum Pag. 97. ant stipitem, manet enim homo intellectu & voluntate praditus, sed tamen certum etiam est eum non plus conferre ad illam Jui mutationem & conversionem, quam stipes aut truncus ad sui tractionem, id est, esse tantum Subjectum merum impressionem recipiens, &c. And this is very roundly acknowledged by Amessus in his Medulla Theel. (1.1.c. 26.Th.25.p. 135.) where he saith, Voluntas respectu bujus prime receptionis (Christi sc.), neque liberè agentis, neque naturaliter patientis rationem babet, sed obedientialis tantum subjection หน่- (For the Scripture (2 Cor. 4.6.) alleaged to prove this affertion, it speaks of the extraordinary Gifts of knowledge inspired into the Apostles, or the Revelation of the minde of Jesus Christ to them by the Holy Ghost to sit them for the service of the Church then a planting; and so makes no-

pidibus filios Abraba esset facturus Deus, aux

ex limo verre Adamum, aut si comra natura-

lem inclinationem molem aliquam saxeam sur-

frequently produce it. This by way of caution; to return;) And Maccovim † is no leffe Positive in the point † In Colleg. Misc. quast. than Amesius; Hoc non est crude difp. s. in afferere, ut lapis se babet ad car-Corollar, mi. nem, sic irregenitus ad regenitum. bi. 410. The summe of all is this; The Synod alleages, that (however, they state the Question) they teach that Regenerating Grace doshnot work upon men, as if they were stocks and stones. The Remonstrants on the other fide acknowledge a vast difference in respect of the Subjetts; a man is not a stock or a stone, nor a stock or a stone a man; one hath fense and reason which the other hath not; and therefore no wonder if they do not Act alike: But withall, they fay, if the Conversion or Regeneration of man be wrought after fuch a manner as is taught by the Synod, they can see no difference in the Manner and efficacy of the operation t, from that, whereby God should of Si homo mi nime liberi stones raise up children unto Abraarbitrii fiham; For what higher expredilius est, atq; ons could flocks and flones thus ideo non pozest, diversa eligere, fed necessario femper monet quod est, quafi lignum vel lapit in feipfo subfiftet natura vincults non arbitrii libertate unitus. Cyr. Alex. Thefaur. lib. 3. c. 2.

thing to that purpose for which he doth so

timesse not inferiour to that whereby God created the world and raiseth up the dead, and it was lo carryed on that when God had done his part, it remained not in our power to be, or not to be, thus transformed into children of Abraham? The case is plain enough. But then there is a Quere, whether this work can properly be called a Duty, and a wife God account it Laudable and remardable in these sons of Transformation; and whether upon any icore of Justice he can impute it for a fault to those other stones, that Iye still in the high wayes, untransformed, and adjudge them to an intolerable curse, and subject them to an implacable vengeance (could they be fensible of it) because they are not obedient to such an Almighty operation, though never put forth towards their change. This is the very Question, whether Master Baxter will, or will not understand it. But I shall tell him Saint Austines judgement, who saith thus, Lib de duab. De quo nesciente, vel resistere non va-Anim.contra lente quispiam quidriam malifece. Man. c, 10. Cap. 1 2, 1b, rit, juste damnarı nullo modo potest. And again, Peccasi reum teneri quenquam quia non fecit que facere non potuit, summe iniquitatis

transformed into childrens use, than to say,

God imployed his omnipotent strength in our

transformation; and it was effected in us, but

without us, and that by an operation for migh-

iniquitatis est & insanie. It is a part of the highest iniquitie and madnesse to hold one guilty, because he hath not done that which he had no power to do. By what is faid already we may give judgement of the truth of Master Baxiers Inferences which follow in these words ; [ So that you see they deny not Naturall Freewill, which is a Power of Choosing or Resusing, but Morall Freewill, which is a Spirituall Inclination: ] This is fairely spoken: But for my part, I am not so quick sighted; I cannot see, but they deny the exercise at least of Naturall Freewill in the work of Mans Conversion, unlesse [ Non manet in hominis Potestate regenerari vel non regenerari | must fignifie, that man hath a Power of Choofing or Refusing; and if he hath so, then it lyeth in his power to be or not to be, Regenerated; which is rejected by the Synod as † Cap.3, & an errour †. That they have a 4. Rejell 8. Naturall Freewill, which is a Po-And bow can wer of Choosing or Refusing in rbey tefuse Naturall or Civil matters, is vean omnibotent aperatiry truely granted: but tis nothing en? to the purpofe. But let us follow him, to hear the end of his discourse, which proceeds thus, And so they deny not in the Regenerate the Natutall Power of sinning and resisting grace, (much

ny for shame of the world. Common experience is such a Consutation of that Doctrine, (should they or you deliver it,) as would admit of no Reply. But why do you kindle fuch an Ignis Farnus before your Reader; unlesse it be to blind or dazle his eyes, while you lead him from the Quæstion, that he may take no notice of the pitifull Subterfu-+ The Quegest you are driven to? fion is onely this ; Whether God doth infuse Faith and Repentance, into mans will by a strength and operation irresistible? You tay, They deny not in the Regenerate the Naturall Power of sinning and relifting grace, ( much leffe in the elect unregenerate ) ( fo you told us : what then ? ) but onely that this Power, or any ill disposition of theirs, shall evensually frustrate the Grace that comes from a

lesse in the elect unregenerate. ] I thank you

for nothing. This is what they dare not de-

Resolution to renew them. This is comfortable newes for all such Elect, if true; but Matter Baxter and the Synod may be mistaken in their Intelligence, and therefore every man that tenders his everlasting safety, had best not depend upon it. The Reasons of this advice are given before. But here the Reader may take notice, that the common sufficient Grace, which Master Baxter puts such a face

faying it brings Christ and life to mans choice, and they deny it not to the worst that perish, This Grace, I say, is like to do them but a little good; it must needs perish with the Receivers; for it comes not from a Resolution to renew them; And therefore as good never a whit, as never the better for the coming of it. And yet to affore us this fell not from Master Baxters pen unadvisedly, we have as much implyed in the next, which is the fifteenth Section, which shuts up the fourth of Tilenus's Articles. This Section advances hupon the Reader after this manner; [ I would at this time onely ask you, whether every fesuite will norconfosse that God did from Eternity Foreknow who would Believe and Repent, and who not? When you come to be Ghostly Father to men of that Society, you may vouchsafe to put the Question to them your felf, and it is very probable, without the feale of fecrefie, they will confesse thus much to you. But what then? [ If so, then whether it be a rationall Conceit, that God in sending Christ to die, and the Word and Spirit to convert men, hath as full a purpose that these shall be effectuall to convert and save them, that he foreknew from Eternity would never be converted or faved, as them that he foreknew would certainly be converted and

upon, (to speak to him in his own language)

1. That it is more modestly propounded than Maccovius his Stulins foret (Chri-In Gól. de stus) si finus esset unus pulsandi, ut Prad. difp. incraret. It were a foolish thing 15. p. 49. in Christ, to call them unto Conversion, whom he foreknew would never be converted, if one end of his calling were, that they might be converted. Master Baxter saith not fo. He will not speak so broadly: but he asks, whether it be a rationall conceit, Oc. 2. The word [effectuall is equivocall; It may fignific de facto and eventually prevailing and irrefiftible; or it may fignifie to powerfull an administration as will take effect, if man doth not oppose a new contumacie to check and hinder it. Here we may not admit it in the former, but in the latter sense onely. 3. Master Baxter feems in these words to establish Gods Purpole, as to Conversion and Non-conversion, to Salvation and Non-salvation with the effectual means thereof upon Gods Foreknowledge; if he doth so, as to his Decrees of Election and Reprobation we should foon agree. But this he doth not. 4. Those words [ hash as full a purpose ] are ambiguous. Doe you mean as steafast a purpose? I suppose you will not so interpret it i for God doth not use lightnesse in his purposes, which

faved? ] To which Question I Answer,

that this Purpose is Absolute as to some, but Conditionall to others? or if Comditionall to All, yet that that Condition is intended to be wrought irrefiftibly in some, but made impossible to the reft? If this be not your meaning, I am not able to divine what it is. It is as farre from my apprehension as Nebuchadnezzars dream was from the notice of the Astrologers. But I will have recourse to some Daniel or other to whose spirit Master Baxter may be Familiar, and by that means perhaps we may find out the Interpretation. Calvin (in Ezek. 18. 23.) faith, Sed notandum, Deum duplicem personam indnere; That God, in calling finners, puts on a double person. And Donieclock saith, Quantum abest à Des proposito ac sincerà intentione servare cos, ques per totam vitam ipsorum nunquam vocat, tantum ab ejusaem proposito ac intensione abest, Reprobos, qui vocaniur, salvos facere. Ad Script. Anon. Lit. k. 3. And Pifcator saith, Interimen Dei verbo constat, Deum ciam Reprobos aliquos ad salucem vecare, O interine tamen non velle, ut ullus Reproborum salvus fiat, quippe ques omnes immutabili Decreto ad exitium destinavit. Contra Schafm. praf. pag. 7. God calls some of the Reprobates to Salvation, but he wills not that

the Apostle did not like to be

2 Cor. 1.17. thought guilty of. Do you mean

any one of them be faved; because he bath , by an immutable Decree, destin'd them to destruction. And (Thef. 120.) Nempe hoc vis dicere, Deum quod lingua profitetur, idem Guelle, At id non semper, nec in omnibus veram est. God doth many times professe one thing with his mouth and intend another. And Thef. 83. Et & Dens non semper vulc, quod se velle significat, nequiquam tamen υποugioteus vitio contaminatur. Though he speaks one thing and wills another, yet is he not defiled with hypecrifie. But according to this Do-Arine, God, though he calls them, he cannot feriously intend or will their Salvation, having Antecedently and immutably willed and decreed their Reprobation. Neither can he feriously will or intend their faith and repentance. For their Reprobation from Faith and Repentance follows unavoidably their Reprobation from Salvation; so that whose falvation God seriously wills not, their faith and repentance he cannot will seriously, lest he should seriously will things contrary and disagreeing. But faith Mariinius, with much reason, Quomodo ex Ubi supra. beneficio, sufficiente quidem, at mihi non destinato per veram intentionem, deducetur nevessitas credendi quod illud ad me persinear? If the benefit though never to sufficie ent, beingt really intended and designed for

me, how can a necessity be imposed upon me to believe that it belongs unto me? Master Perkins distinction offers its service to this cause, and tis most ex-De Prædeff. f Though it actly conforant to their Dono way saiif\_ drine t; Every man within the fieth Marri-Pale of the Church, faith he, is nius bis arbound by the tenour of the Gogument. fpel, to believe himfelf redeemed by Christ, whether he be Elect or Repro-"bate; but upon a different account. The E-"lect is bound to believe it, ni credendo elecrionis particeps fiat; that by believing he "may be made partaker of the benefit of E-"lection: The Reprobate; ut non credendo, fiat inexcusabilis, etiam ex intentione Dei; that by not believing he may become inexculable; and this according to Gods intention. And mhis book [De libera Gratia & libero hominie Arbitrio, pag. 48. The faith, The commandement of Kaith and Repen-'tance, is, to fuch as are ordained to eternall 'life, a Precept of Obedience, because God doth inable and confirm them to perform it: 'To the rest, 'tis a Commandment onely for their triall and conviction; that their fin may be derected, and all occasion of pretense taken away. Thus therefore, when faith is commanded, and yet the gift of Faith, Or 'power of Believing ] not conferred, Deus

citque; God doth not mock, but in his righ. \* seousnesse, he doth reprove and convince men of unbelief. And Maccoussis de-Colleg. difp. livers his minde as fully, That 2. pag. 7. 6 God in Commanding men to come difp.15.pag. unto him ( which is to believe in 49. him, and to be converted) though he wills not that they should come, yet he Aiterb herein very firioufly, because he hath a fourfold end, hereby to be accomplished upon them, (though he doth not propound their obedience for any of them ) I. ut exploreniur; 2. ut convincaniur; 3. ut exprobret illis impotentiam; 4. ni condemnationem in illis augeat. 1. To try them; 2. To convince them; 3. To upbraid them with their impenicency; and 4. To augment their condemnacion. By thele Lights I presume we may see to read Master Baxiers meaning, and gain the perfect sense of his [Rationall concert] and his [as full a Purpose; Oc. ] namely that God hath other defignes and ends to ferve upon them; and therefore in sending Christ to die, and the word and Spirit to be alministred, he bath no purpose at all that these shall be effe-Anall to convert and fave them, they come not from arefolution to renew them. And this is the summetorall that Master Baxters P. sive

minime ludificatur, sed homines incredulita-

tis, idque in justitia sua, redarguit convin-

obedientiall Power, and his Naturall Allive Faculty, and his Sufficient Grace, that brings Christ and life ( as he laith ) to every mans choice, do amount unto. 5. God certainly foreknew the Non-converfion of these men, you speak of, to be a sinne of ingratitude and perversenesse, of Contuma. cie and Rebellion, and decreed therefore to damn them for it. And if he did foreknow their fin to be such, then he did also consider them under such a dispensation of meanes, as might possibly render them ingratefull, perwerse, consumacious and Rebellious. But if in fe: ding Christ to die, and the word and Spirit to convert them, he hath no purpose that these shall be effectuall to convert and save them, then these Non-converted cannot be ingratefull, perverse, contumacious, or rebellious. Do you think God in his foreknowledge can look upon men as obstinate and ingratefull towards the tendries of his Grace, unlesse he sees also that such Grace hath been seriously intended and offered to them for their Conversion? If he fends not his Preachers with a ferious and gracious intention and purpose to save them, and, in order thereunto, to hinder or recall them from a course of Rebellion and obstinacie, how is it possible his Goodnesse should finde a will to convince them of Rebellion and ingratitude; and not being convicted,

what Right can to pure a Justice finde, to proceed against them, in judgement, as persons obstinate and ingratefull, when he never had a serious intention to save them, or to free them from fuch Rebellion and obstinacie? For what ingratitude can there be against a Perfon, that hath no will to do a benefit, but onely a will to feem to do it? What obstinacy can have place against him, that calls not out of a purpose to save, or with an intention to benefit ? No man can be ungratefull towards a Cruel Hypocrite No man can be obstinate against an unmercifull Deluder. And is it not a Cruell Hypocrific and an unmercifull Delusion, to carry a will of seeming to convince those persons of Ingratitude and Obstanacie, for their rejection of Grace and favour, whom we have Antecedently, for some fault of their Ancestors imputed to them, seeluded from all the falutary effects and benefits thereof, with a delign and purpole, to take advantage of the next plaufible pretenfe to ruine them? Can the odious crimes of ingratitude and obstinacie have place in such a case? The first might with as good reason have condemned our Saviour of Ingratitude and Obstinacy against that invitation to accept their faith and his own deliverance, when, having first nail'd him to it, they, in mockery, cryed out to him, Come down from the Crose, and we will believe

en thee. What is offered in a lusory way, or onely tendred to render us mexcusable, nothing in the whole world can be more excufable, then to reject it +. We are not wont to bear our children but 🕇 Neque enim ea fingt to commend their ingenuitie, potesi bomiwhen in fuch cases they turn Renes readere cusants. I hope we will allow Alinexcusabimighty God to be full as equall, if les per verbum 👉 Spinot an infinitely more indulgent ritum voca-

namum fine exhibetur, ut reddat inexcusabiles. Suffrag.
Brit. de 3, & 4, Att. thes. 3, pag. 129, par. 2.

Master Baxter shuts up his discourse upon this Article with these words, \[ \begin{aligned} And will not most of your most adious inferences fall upon your own Doctrines, if you confesse Gods Fore-

Father than man is.

tio, que co

Inowledge, as well as upon theirs that maintain his Decree of giving effectuall Grace to some. I pray what are those odious inferences you mean, that are drawn from Gods Decree of giving effectuall grace to some? and who are they that have drawn them? name the Persons, and produce the Inferences. Who denies God a liberty to dispense what undeserved favours he pleaseth, and to whom he pleaseth, and in what measure or proportion he pleaseth? I know no man repines at it, or disputes against it. Hereby, had he pleased so

to Reveal and communicate himself, he had done great benefit to them, and no injury to others.

The odious inferences are drawn, or rather of themselves do follow, from that which Master Calvin called an Horrible Decree, from your Doctrine of Reproduction; wherein you teach, That God, for the Sin of Adam, denies All Grace that is Sufficient and Necessary

to falvation, to the farre greatest part of

mankinde; and yet decrees in the very felf

fame Act to torment them for want of it; and that notwithstanding, he invites them with the greatest thewe of feriousnesse and earnestnesse, and the highest expressions of Love and indeerement, to embrace it. These Master Bassier are some of the Inferences that strike so deep into the face of Gods suffice and fincerity, and that makes them to edious and distassfull; and this is the ground of that practife to frequently taken up by your Party, in their writings, as well as by your self in this place; you throw out, before your Readers eies, the Lure of Effectuall (meaning icrelittible) Grace, and infrustrable Perseverance, and infallible Assurance, (Ithe greatest certainty whereof lyeth in the strength, not of your Arguments, but your Confidence) to

draw him off from the deep Resentment of

thos: other Inferences which are really about-

nable, if not blasphemous.

But, hark you, Master Baxter, one word more before we leave this point. Are you fure that such edious inserences will fall upon our Doctrine, upon the Concession of Gods Foreknowledge, as falls upon yours, upon the Polition of fuch Decrees? Why, according to your Doctrine of Decrees, things are therefore future, because they are decreed: but according to ours of Foreknowledge, things are therefore foreknown, because they are future. Zanchy faith (as was shewed above) that by the immutable Reprobation there is incumbent upon the Reprobate, a necessity of sinning, and that even unio death, without repentance, and of suffering eternall punishment for it. And Piscator saith, the Rebellion of the Reprobate depends upon the Antecedent, Absolute, and irrestibly Essections will of God; This Immutable Decree with that irrefiftible means + appointed in order to ns executione, doth make an Antecedent + Which are inseparably Caufall Necessity; But the Foresjed togesher. knowledge of God doth not so, Hence the and therefore the Liberty of mans Divines of will doth very well confift with Wedderau, Say, that sin this Foreknowledge, though it comes to paffe cannot with that Decree, Neguaof necessity, quam recté intelligenti has repnin respect of she Decree, and the good end intended. De cap. 38. 6 4. p ag. 154. par. 2.

gnare videntur, Prascientia quam sequitur necessieus, & libertus arbitrii à qua removerur necessitus: quonium & necesse est quod Deus Prascit, fusurum esse, & Deus Prascit aliud aliquid effe, sine omni necessitate, faith Anselmus. That is, Fore- InConcordia: knowledge which inferrs necessary, and Freewill which rejects resessing, are no way repugnant. because both what God foreknows, is necessary to be future, and God foreknows the futurition of many things to be without any necessity. But you will say, whether God foreknows me to finne or not to finne, it is necessary that 1 do, according to Gods Foreknowledge, else his toreknowledge should not be infallible, which were abfurd to affirm. To this Anselm answers; You ought not to say. [God foreknowes that I will fin, or not fin, but God foreknows that I will fin or not fin without any necessity : and so it follows, that whether thou sinnest or sinnest not, it will be without necessity: becamse God foreknows it to be future, without necessity, and so it must be. Gods for eknowledge therefore doth not oppole or take away contingency or liberty from second Causes, but establish them. It doth not presse upon the will a necessity of future Acting: but onely extends its notice to all her future motions, which are free, and it supposeth them to be such. And therefore al-

upon Gods Foreknowledge; yet that Necessity is not effective, but onely illative. The things fareknown are supposed to have a being before, and not to derive their being from shat foreknowledge. Ideo enim Ibid. Arfelm. quia ponuntur resesse, dicuntur ex necessisate esse, aut quia ponuntur non esse, assirmantur non esse ex necessitate: non quia necessitas cogat, aut probibeat rem esse, aut non esse. Nam cum dico: si erit, ex necessitate erit, bic sequitur necessitas que rei positionem non pracedit. Idem valet si sic pronuncietur. Quod erit, ex necessitate erit. Non enim aliad significat his nisi quia quod erit, non poterit simulnon esse; thus Anselm. Whereby it appears that Gods Foreknowledge doth suppose the operation of the will, as future, and therefore the necessury arising from thence is but a Consequent Necessity. He saith the same of Predestination upon Fore-Ibid. knowledge, Quadam Prascita & Pradestinata non eveniunt e à necessitate, que pracedit rem & facit, sed ea que rem sequitur. Some things Foreknown and Predestinated, do not come to passe by that Necessity, which Precedes the thing and is the canse of it, but by that which doth follow it. I referre the Reader for his fatisfaction in this Particular, to Doctor Hammond [ Of Fun-

though the futurition of things be necessary

damentals; pag, 160,161.] but for M. Baxter i'le commend him to writings, that are of more Authority with him. In his Treatife of Conversion, he saith, [What if I could foresell, from the obstinate wickednesse of such a thief, or such a drunkard, that he will never be cured: Is it long of me because I foreknew it? What if the Prophet foretells Hazael what cruelty he shall commit on the children of Israel, is the Prophet therefore the cause of it? And in his sermon of Judgement, he faith, [ Must God either be ignorant of what you will do, Excuse 26. or else be the cause of it? If you foreknow that the Sunne will rife to morrow, that doth not cause it to rife. If you foreknow that one man will murder another, you are not the cause of it by foreknowing it. So is it here. | The short is, Gods foreknow. ledge hath no such influence in drawing men on, either to Presumption of desperation; because it makes no such Provision of insuperable or irrefistable means, to carry on the work of falvation or damnation respectively, as that Decree is supposed to doe. And thus much in vindication of Tilenus his fourth Article.

## Reflexions upon Section XVI. and Article V.

Last Matter Baxter) That such as have once received that Grace by Faith, can never fall from it, finally or totally, not-withstanding the most enormous sinnes they can commit This also saith, he, is in his own abusive language, and not in theirs, whose words concerning falling away are Quod quoad ipsos, &c. that is, In regard

of themselves (it,) not onely full easily might,

but doubtleffe would come to passe 1 yet in respelt

of God is cannot so fall out; since neither his

Counfest can be changed, nor his promise faile,

Go. ] I defire the Reader to take notice,

He fifth feigned Article of Tilenus is,

That he cannot subscribe to four of the Synods
That he cannot subscribe to four of their
Canons upon this Head of Perseverance. 2. The
Synod acknowledgeth that the Faithfull
sometimes by Gods sust Permission are carried
away into grievous and beinous fins: which the

lamentable falls of David, Peter, and others of

the Saints, described unto us in the Scripture,

ovidently them. Art. 4. O Art. 5. They fay,

Now, by such enormous fin, they greatly offend God, incurre the guilt of death, grieve the Holy Spirit, break off the exercise of faith, most grievensly mound the conscience, new and then, for a time lose the sense of Grace; Yet Art. 6. they say, That God who is rich in mercy, according to the unchangeable purpose of election, doth not wholly take away his holy Spirit from (them), no not in their grievous slips, nor suffer them to wander so far, as to fall away from the grace of Adoption, and state of justification. And Art. S. By Gods free mercy they obtain thus much, that they neither totally fall from Eaith and Grace, nor consinue to the end in their falls, and perish. Is not this the same Doctrine that Tileness charge them with, to a very tittle? Where then is the Fiction or abusive language. Did it fall from Tilenus, or Mafter Baxter? He could not choose but see these clear affertions; for those cited out of the eighth Article, other in his, [ Quoad ipfos, and there was fomething in it, that he flipt over them, and would not direct us to the place quoted by himself. But 'tis usuall with thete men willfully to mistake or wave the + The Que true state of the Question; and Stionis, An we have reason to suspect, that it vere Fidelis, ad quem in

to lead honest Passengers out of the right way. And so it is here; For what is the meaning of [ Quoad ipfos indubià fieres ? ] What I That in regard of themselves they would undoubtedly fall away? 'I'is impossible. + For [quoad ipfos] take † If bis Athem in themselves, and they are postasie cannot up; they are low enough, if not bappen you confider them without God: in respect of God, much lesse in respect of man; for if God will so invincibly pre-ferve bim in the faith, man cannot binder bim. Qui jacet in terram, non babet unde cadat. But to make the impertinency of that distinction [ Quoad ipsos] more evident, I shall give you an illustration of it in this example. Suppose a man being to play a prize for his life, upon a Stage erected to that purpole; bis friend should come to one, who pretends to understand exactly the strength and stru-Aure, of the work, and demand of him, whether his Friend, who is to venture his life upon that Stage, might not possibly fall thorow it; should be return this answer, That in regard of the ponderofity or weight of his own body ( which hath a naturall tendency to the Center ) he might fall thorow: but in regard of the Arength and Stability of the Stage,

is upon design, when menuse such Artifice,

(especially if it were eternall) safety? Yes fuch is the distinction f here used † Which by the Synodand repeated, as an turns fenfum compositumin excellent Save-All, by M. Baxter. Why I divide a man from commusensum divinion with Almighty God, and take him off the stage and supports of his Grace, design'd to buttresse and prop him up, and the man is not so much as upon his leggs, he is at least as low as Adam laid him; and then in that capacitie, it is ridiculous to ask, Whither he cannot fall? The Question bere is, whether a man, as he is (set actually upon the stage) in the state of Grace, can fall away finally or totally. A clear Categorical Answer might be given in one fingle syllable, Affirmatively or Negatively; Ay, or No. Let us therefore have the truth uttered roundly and clearly, and away with all equivocations and irifling distinctions, that serve for nothing but to palliate a bad Cause, and amuse the Reader, casting a mist before his eies, that he may take no notice of the abfurdity that follows the opinion we have espoused. But (as Master Baxter goes on) because

made on purpose to support him, it was im-

possible. Would you not think this a very

impertinent and ridiculous distinction, in an-

swer to a serious Question touching a man's

Gods purpose is unchangeable, &c. therefore necessitate confequentiæ at least you must confelle your selves that it follows that the Elest must necessarily persevere; and so there is a Logicall or Morall impossibility of their Apostafie. ] A consequent Necessity of Perseverance, which is inferred from Gods Foreknowledge of it, we shall nor deny you. We know of no inconvenience, much leffe abfurdity, that will follow it; because that Necellity doth no way infringe, but suppose the vitall operation of the will freely desermining it self, by the affishmee of Grace, ab intrinfece, and so makes Perseverance a duty confidered as future, in Gods Foreknowledge: but such a Necessity as follows from an Ab-Solute Decree, and that determining Grace, which flowes from it, we deny; because that Necessity, in order of Nature and Causality, is Antecedent to the operation of the will, and doth, according to your Doctrine, irrefiftibly effect it; and so turns Perseverance into an Absolute free Gift of God in stead of being a free duty of man. And tis this you contend for, and not the other onely; for in your [ Account of Perseverance] Peg. 36.37. you lay, I. We must distinguish between an Impossibility in re and extra rem, or à causis intrinsecis, or à causis extrinlecis or elfe accidentall. It is possible, you

ty lost, if you also respect the extrinsch causes: And thu bath per impossibilitatem Consequentiæ; becamse it is not possible that these propositions should be both at once true. [ God willeth absolutely or foreknoweth that Peter will persevere, and Peter will not persevere ( And yet this following is reconcileable with the first [ et is possible in natura rei for Peter to fall away. ] And also 3. Per impossibilitatem Caulæ, First, because God baih not onely Decreed the Perseverance of the Santhified, but also the Holy Ghost hath undertaken it as bis speciall charge. Secondly, And the Faithfulnesse of God (as far as I can yet understand) is by his promise ingaged for the Perseverance of all the truely Justified and Sanctified Believers. It is not therefore such a Logicall Impossibility of Apostane, that the Synod and you contend for. But of this Question we shall have occasion to speak more hereafter. In the mean time, let us confider your Interrogatory in the next words; wherein you demand, [Will not any Jeluite confesse this, that All that (suppose on foreknowledge) God ele-Eteth to salvation, must necessitate consequentiæ infallibly be saved? No doubt, they will:

lay, that true grace be lest, if you speak of a

possibility à causis intrinsects & de natura rei;

that is, the Habit and subject together. But it

is impossible that it should be totally and final-

of mans will as determined freely of it felf, not as begotten or effected of another; And this as it implies no inconvenience, so it breeds no controversie: But you love not to be tied to the true state of the Question, lest you should lose your licence of Sophistry and dawbing, which is here very palpable. In your [ Account of Perseverance ] now mentioned, you deliver it as the opinion of the Antients, Je-Juites, Arminians and Lutherans, that they deny an absolute personall Election of men to Faith and Perseverance, and so maintain indefinitely atotall and finall falling from a state of Justification, without excepting such Elect themselves. But a little after, you adde ! Ternote, that the Jefuits themselves may consesse that the Elect shall none of them finally fall away, but Ball all persevere. But that is, because they hold that Election is upon foresight of Perseverance; and so that these Propositions [ This man is Elected ] and [ This man shall not Persevere ] are inconfistent as to their trueth. But they do not make Election, or differencing grace, the cause of Faith and Perseverance. This being most undoubtedly true, the Rea-

and some of them much more. ] We have told

you already, which you know well enough,

that that Necessity Consequential upon Gods

foreknowledge, doth suppose the operation

der must needs conclude, that their Authority is very impertinently alleaged for the justification of yours and the Synods Doctrine.

In your XVII. Section, you tell Tilenus,

Your addition is a perverse insinuation [notwithstanding the most enough to the year.

withstanding the most enormous sins they can commit. ] How readily ill language flowes from this supercilious froward man! [A perverse insinuation ! ] Why? The Synod doth professe it; as was evidenced above, out of their very Canons; and your felfe acknowledge as much, alloon, as ever you had evaporated your Bilious passion. Is it a persect truth in your mouthes, and [ a perverse instnuation when it falls from the pen of Tilemu? Doth his quill stain it more then yours? Why a perverse insinuation? [ Is seems, you fay, to intimate. If it doth but feem to intimate, haply it may not really intimate. But what? [ That they many commit as enormous sins as others, (this were a very perverse instruction indeed, especially if we take in what follows ) and yet not fall away: But why have you changed Tilenus his bare affertive [ notwithstanding the most enormous sins they can commit ] into a comparative expression [ that they may commit as enormous fins as orbers? ] Comparisons you know are odious, especially such as are made betwixt your

Jelves, and fuch others, as some of your Party are too apt to account Reprobates, for no other Reason, than that they cannot digest your rigid doctrine of Reprobation. But cannot the (once) Faithfull commit as enormous fins as others? What think you of Adultery and Murder? or if they be not enormous enough, then what think you of \* execuations of a mans felf and dyc. Perjury, and these repeated over and over to gain belief in the denyall of the Son of God? Such fins the Regenerate may fall into. But yet the Synod faith, Cup. 5.Art. they cannot fall into so enormous lins as others; for they cannot commit the fin unto death, or against the Holy Ghost; so as so be absogether for saken of (God), and throw themselves headlong imo everlasting destruction; and therefore they cannot fall away. But is not M. Baxter himselfe guilty of a perverse insinuation here? Do not his words intimate, that, at least, if they commit as enermous sins as others, they do fall away? This must be the meaning of his words, if there be good sense in them. But then his next words containe such a poor ordinary piece of Sophistry, as every Freshman that hath but looked upon Burgerdicius's Logick, would discover. 'Tis the Fallacy called Ignoratio Elenchi; a mistaking of the

incapacity of Salvation. Tilenus Asserts, [the denjall of a finall and totall falling away, ] to be the doctrine of the Synod; Master Baxter feems to conclude against it, but omits the Condition that should make his conclusion a Contradittion to the Affertion; for he tells us, upon their commission of gross sinnes, they fall into a present incapacity of Salvation; but this doth not contradict the thing in Question, [their finall and totall falling] which the Synod peremptorily denies, just as Titenee hath charged them in this Article; and fo Master Baxter professeth in the very next words, which tells us, though the Synod holds, [ that in committing groffe fins, they fall into a present incapacity of Salvation ]; yet (there follows a | But ) which yields the Question ( as to matter of Fact, and the proof of this is all that the Ghost of Tilenus pretends here to aim at; ) [ That God will keep them from such sins as are inconsistent with Habituall Grace. | For the trath of which Doctrine, we may take a convenient time to examine it. It shall suffice here, to take notice of the opinion of the Synod, [ That fuch

Question. Obterve how his discourse runs,

It seems, saith be, to intimate, that they

may commit as enormous fins as others, and yet

not fall away: when the Synod bolds that in

committing grosse sins, they fall into a present

as are Habitually Gracious may be uncapable of salvation. And yet, such is the superabundant favour extended to them more than others, They are, 1. Elected Irrespettively, 2. Converted Irrefishibly, and 3. Conducted insuperably and infallibly to their eternall Salvation. Hereupon, They do affirm concerning these Elect; 1. That it implyes a Contradiction, that they should live after the flesh; Because the M. Norton's Orthodox E-Decree consists not of the end withvangelift. p. out the means, nor of the means 99, 80. and without the end, but of both toge-83. and so M. Baxier ther: Both end and means are conin bis Call, tained in one Decree, Yea so far 👉c. in the is the Decree from admitting such Pref. Gods an inference, as that the contrary Decrees fe-Parate nos infallibly followeth thereupon: and the end and in point of Election, is not onely nemeans, but cessarily concluded, but irresistibly tie them tocaused. Faith, Repentance, Newgether. Lit. c. 3, obedience, and Perseverance, being she effects of Election. Thus Master Norton. But because common experience is too clear a confutation of their impeccability, therefore 2. They say, It is onely out of Ignorance and Infirmity that they AB. Synod. Dor.par.3.p. do sinne : Essi regeniti aliquando ex infirmitate labuntur. So Lub-227. berius de 5. Air. And the Depaties of the Sy-

nod of Groningen to the same pur-Ibid. pag. pose; Pii autem cum peccant, sit 283, m. non tantum, idque sape, ex ignorantia. Pfal. 19. 13. 1 Tim. 1. 13. Sedetiim, idque imprimis, ex infirmitate aut interna, ant ext rna; When the Godly sin, it is not onely, and that often, out of ignorance; but also, and that especially, out of infirmuy, either inward or outward. And so the Deputies of the Synod of Gallo Belgia. De quinto Art. Thef. 3. pag. 290. And because it is evident they Cap. 5. A11. may, and many times do fail into 4.65. grievous and hainous and enormous fins; Therefore, to alleviate the matter, they say, 3. (as Master Baxter here Sect. 18.) That these sins of theirs are exceedingly in regard of manner, ends, concomitants, Gc. different from the like Facts in a gracelesse man. This Master Baxter haply learned from the Divines of Embden; who tell us (in their Thefes upon the fifth Asticle, Th. 15. 16.) However an Elect man falls, he doth not fin, With all his soul , all his minde, " But the and a full will. Ergo fi peccara more lighter qua ab Electis acimitium ur, respirenitency of cimus, sunt quod ad pecum atticonscience a net, peccaise reproborum paria: in man fins against, the modo vero, quo id peccandum indu. greater is his cuntur, plane imparia. Ihough franc. the

their sins come to passe through Gods destitution of the Elect, from the withdrawing of his Grace and leaving them to themselves and their own weakneiles. To this purpose D. Damman (one of the Scribes De Perfeu of the Synod) faith ( as was shewpag. 6. ed above) Regenerati non possunt omittere prastationem e us quod ab illis postulistur, modo Deus illis prastet qued promisit. And afterwards, Quando Deus partibus suis defungiver, nos nostras omittere non possumus; That is, The Regenerate cannot omit the performance of what is required of them, if so be God performs what he bath promised to them. And, When God doth his part, we cannot omit ours. And the Synod implyes as much in the four first Articles, upon the Head \ Of Perseverance ]; For, Art. 1. They say, Though God frees them, even in this life, from the Dominion and flavery of fin, yet not altogether from the flesh and body of sin. And Artic. 2. They say, From hence ( viz. because God frees them not from the body of finne) arife in holy men, daily fins of infirmity, and even their best works have their blemishes. And Ars.

the sins of the Elect and Reprobate be alike

for kinde; yet are they very unlike for the

manner of perpetration. If this be not a suf-

ficient extenuation, to reconcile them to the

state of Grace, then they say, 4. That

temptations of the world and Satan, they, which are converted, could not continue in the state of Grace, if they were left to their own strength: And Art. 4. They say, They are not always so led and moved by God, as to be preserved from the seducements of Concupiscence, but by his just permission are carried away into grievous and hemous fins. So that, according to this Doctrine, their failings are not fo much their sin, as Gods desicioncie in affording Grace sufficient and necessary to preserve them from it. If it be alleaged, that they say in the Article last mentioned, that it is through their own fault that they are seduced by the concupi- Ibid, Arr. 42 scence of the flesh, and give way unto the same; It must be remembred, that they make it fin whatfoever a man commits or omits against the Law of God, though such omission or commission be of unavoidable necessity, through want of Divine grace to mable them to perform or omit it; because they received a power in Adam to do otherwise. And it is in this tense onely that such omissions or commissions of the Regenerate are called fins. For I argue thus; Either God hath a will, by his Grace, to hinder their fin, or he hath not. If he hath a will

Art. 3. They lay, By reason of these reliques

of fins dwelling in them, and besides thus, the

grace to that purpose, and sin be not eventually hindred, then they do insuperably resist his Grace; which is contrary to their Doctrine, in the Eighth Rejection of the third and fourth Chapters, and fundry others of their Writings † . But if God hath not a will to hinder their sinne, 🕂 V. Reliqua judicia Zuytthen, the reines being let loofe to Holland ;ad the flesh in them, through Gods finem, AB. permission, how can they choose Syn. Dord. but finne? and how can they be VIZ. P. 292. said to grieve the Spirit of God, par. 3. who will not preferve them from finning ? But, (to go on,) because though in the Altions that are finfull, God be the Anthor of the Act wholly, ( as Ma-Whi supra pe ster Norton hath it,) and the 63. Fore-determiner, Orderer and Go-vernour of the sinfulnesse of the Action to his own glorious and bleffed End, yet the Regenerate, that commit such Actions, are guilty of the defect and enormitie that is in them; Therefore in the fifth place, to extenuate, or extinguish rather, the heinoufnesse hereof, they say, these sinnes of theirs have the nature of fatherly Castigations, and are de-AB. Synod. figned to work for their good. So Dor par. 3. the Divines of D em in their  $E_{Xs}$ Pag. 1,5. amen, upon the fifth Article, where

to hinder finne in them, and administers his

for their advantage, they produce that of the Apostle, Rom. 8.28. All things work together for good to them that love God. Whence they interre thus; If all the evils wherewith they are chastised; then their very sint also; Quæ peccata, quemadmodum in impiis, interdum habent rationem poonze: sic etiam ipsissima peccata, etiam in fidelibus, habent rationem paternæ castigationis; Which fins, as in the wicked, they bave sometimes the nature of punishment: So the very self same sins also, in the faithfull, have the nature of Eutherly correction. Yea and these sins of theirs are illustrations and confirmations of their Grace. So the Bruish Divines ( Dequinto All. Synod. Articulo.) Tantum abest, say Dor. par. 2. they, ut etiam gravia illa carnis pag. 202 f. peccata fidelem a statu justificationu adoptionuque semper deturbent, ut contra à Theologis, preservim pradicis stainain, Deum eadem sape in sustificatios & adoptatio permittere, ut confirmation posteasis ipsis cum ustificasio thm adoptio . Their most grievous sins are so far from disturbing the justification and adoption of the faithfull, that practicall Divines especially, do resolve, that God doth often permit such fins in them, that their justification and adeption may be the more confirmed to them. If, notwithstanding the good service their foule

to prove that the fins of the Regenerate are

tall should be so tender hearted as to be affraid of them; They affure them, 6. That there is no fach reason; For they cannot die in their fins; so the Deputies of the Synod of Groningen, Non tamen Vol. supra. manent in peccasis, sed aut externo per castigationes Dei & admonitiones, aut imernė per Spiritus Sanctus gratiamexcitati O'mote respicant O resurgunt. They do not vermain in their fins, but being stirred up and moved, either outwardly by Gods admonitions and chastisements, or inwardly by the grace of the Holy Sporie, they do repent and arise. And for the whole Synod, ( in the 7. Artic. of Cap. 5.) In these slips, God preserveth in them that his immortall seed (by which they were ence berne again) that it die not, nor be lost by them: afterward, by his word and Spirit, he effectually, and cortainly renewerb them again unto repentance. But suppose a tender confeience should call for a folid proof of this Doctrine, out of Holy Scripture; and becaute there is none to be produced, should be troubled with doubtings, fears, and jealoufies about it? Why, then in the last place Master Baxter himself bath resol-Of Fustif. ved, (at the end of his Diffingli-Difp. 3. pag. on of Master Tombes his Animad-398. versions,) That, if you can prove

fins is defigned to do them, any of the faith-

it profitable for such a man to be suddenly cut off before Repentance, and that such a thing will be, I should incline (faith he) to think that he will be fully pardoned at the inflant of Death, and fo saved; because the Lord knoweth that he repented Habit nally and virtually, and would have done it Attually, if he had had time for confideration. But Que warranto is all this spoken? For my part, I shall ever think it my duty, to admonith my Reader, to

remember the terrour of the evil day, and to

take heed strictly that he falls not under the

Arrest of it at unawares \*; for it

is a fearfull thing to fall into the \* Luk, 21. hands of the everliving God. 34, 35. And thus much shall suffice in return to Master Baxters objections against the Articles of Tilenus.

But we have another task behind; For though we have cleared the Field before us, and seem to have given a total defeat to all Master Baxters Pretentions in behalf of the Synod of Dore; yet he hath a Referve behind, which he leads up to fall on the Reer of Master Pierce; and if he can, with the strength of that, charge thorow His forces,

renew his charge upon Tilenis allo. Before we dishand therefore, we will advance to find out that Referve, and fall upon it, that it may not be able to annoy us, when we are retired to repose in our Winter Quarters. This Referve I find in his 37. Section. And with it, he makes his Charge and Onset upon Master Pierce after this manner: [ And for them (whom he styles the charfest of Gods servants) and the Synod of Dort, I may well challenge that Instice from you, as to impute no such opinions to them which they purposely disown, and publickly professe to detest. ] Master Buxters demand seems very Reasonable; if there be not some ambiguitie or equivocation in those words [ purposely disown and publickly professe to detest. For what saith the Apossle of some in his time, Tit. 1. last: They profife they know God; but in works they deny him. Men may professe publickly to detest what they beartely affect; and purpofely disown what they like and approve of well enough in it felf: but because they see it grows feandalous and unfavoury to a multitude of Judicious Godly men, and not well to be defended without further scandall; therefore for shame of the world, they may publickly professe to detest and disamn it for shis purpose. And whether it were not so

he may take the confidence to proceed and to

judge by examining each particular here mentioned by M. Baxier; The first whereof is, That the post bein us fins do not hinder the salvation of the Elect, however they live; Doth the Synod cordially derest and judiciously dissour this Doctrine? You heard above what was the opinion of Matter Perkins and others, that mille peccara, a thousand sinnes, nay the tins of the whole world, nay all the Devits in hell, were not able to make void Gods Election. Is it not the General Do-Arine of the whole Synod, as well as the Suffrage of the Divines of the Palativate†, Electio ad Salutem im- † De Artic. mutabilis est : nec defectibus aut lamutabilis est : nec defectibus aut lapfibus electorum etiam gravioribus interrumpitur, aut abrumpitur; That Election unto Salvation is immutable: and that it is neither broken nor interrupted by their failings or most grievous falls? Do not the Divines of Drent lay, that the fins of the Elect cooperate to their benefit; and the Divines of Great Britain af- Ubi supra. firm, (as you heard even now) that their fins are so farre from interrupting or diffurbing the juffification and adoption of the Faithfull, that they serve the more to confirm

with the Synod in what they difown, and pro-

fesse publickly to detest, we shall the better

had drawn up this  $\mathit{Conclusion}$  of those  $\mathit{Dg-}$ crees and Canons ) here ( for what purpole the Reader may gather by what hath been already hinted to him ) they publickly professe to detest this opinion + [that + This Ridthe most beinous sins do not hinder dle may be, the salvation of the Elect, however and is to be they live. ] read, by the explication of she next bere following. And they do no leffe detest the next opiniopinion; | That the Reprobate cannot be faved, though they truly perform all the works of the Saints; ] But did Marlorat detest In Job. 15.2 this opinion, when he faith, Stat igitur firma sententia, quemounque Deus ante conditum orbem elegerit, eum non posse perire: quem verò rejecerit, eum non posse salvari, etiamsi omnia Sanctorum opera secerit. Usque adeo irretrastabilis est sententia. Whom God hath elected, he cannot perish: whom he hath rejected, he cannot be saved,

them. And the whole Synod in their Sixth

Rejection of the first Chapter, do Reject it

as a grosse Errour in them, who teach, That

not all Election unto Salvation is unchangeable,

but that some which are Elected, notwithstand-

ing Gods decree, may perish, and for ever do

perish. This is their avowed Canonicall Do-

ctrine, yet ( as if some men of another mind

ternity is so irrevocable? And amongst the Acts of the Synod, we finde Paril. pag. this of Doctor Molin; Reprobos 29a. 🎜 posse salvari, dogma est Arminianum, Christianis auribus infolens. 7 hat (fuch as they define to be ) Reprobates may be faved is an Arminian Dostrine, unn-Vid.ib. per. Suall to Christian eares. And do 3.4.24 tbef. they not all conclude, that the De-4. 6 PAR. cree of Reprobation is eternall 35. thef. 3. and immurable, so that the Recum RejeA. probate can never become Elett? And yet these men prosesse publickly to detest this Doctrine, [That the Reprobate cannot be saved, shough they truly perform all the works of the Saints. How shall we read these Riddles? Ple give you Ma-Ubi supra p. ster Nortous explication of them, 78, 79. ( as before ). The Scripture, saith he, holds forth the certain track of the Connexion of the Antecedent and Consequent, when yet both the Antecedent and Consequent taken apart are false. That is, it bolds forth a truth in the Connexion of both the parts of such a proposition; in either of whose parts, considered in themselves, there is no truth. For example, to our purpole; saith he; If Judas believes, he shall be saved; If John believes not, he shall

though he should perform all the good works

of the Saints, The fentence past from all e-

of the Antecedent, and Consequent of both; though the Antecedent and Confequent of both, confidered by themselves, are false. For though it be true, if Judas believe, he shall be laved; and if John believes not he shall be damned : yet is it not true, (no nor possible, for you lay a little after, that it implyes a contradiction) either that Judas shall believe, or that Judas shall be saved; or that John shall not believe, or that John shall be damned. God having Decreed the end, by the Conjunttion of the end and means together. Thus Master Norton hath made the Doctrine of the Synod as clear as the Sun in these particulars. We see then what it is that the Synod doth so purposely disown, and professe publickly to detest; The deniall of the truth of a Logicall connexion of the parts of a Propofition. Now I would fain have M. Baxter, in the highest Paroxysme of his zeal, to preach this Doctrine (if he be confident 'tis a truth) fully and wholly; Let him tell his Auditors at Kederminster; that they are all most certainly and immutably inrolled under a twofold Re. giment; one is the black Regiment of Reprobates; the other is the white Regiment of the Elect; and let him use all his Rhetorick to perfuade the one unto Faith and Repentance, and to diffuade the other from prefumption.

be damned. There is a truth in the Connexion

ing the first, Certainly there is a Truth in 'this Connexion of Antecedent and Confe-'quent, If you Repent and Believe you shall be laved: though indeed take the parts of the Proposition asunder, and they are both falle; for the plain truth is, you shall neither believe, nor yet be faved; for you are under the Decree of Reprobation; and Impenitencie and Infidelity are the means appointed for the execution of that Decree; and Almighty God hath decreed the accomplishment of the End, by the Conjunction of the end and means together +. fo that the means can as little be declined, t For Gods Decrees, you as the end avoided, and both are must know no more possible, then it is for the that they feimmutable Decree of God to be parate net the end and retaided; yet feeing there is a means , but Logicall truth in the Connexion of tie them to-Antecedent and Confequent, \ If gether. So you repent, you shall be saved M. Baxier în his Call to (though tis decreed that you shall the Non-conneither do the one, nor be the overted, in ther ) I beliech you bring forth Pref. c. 3. finits meet for Repentance, and cast away your transgressions, and so iniquity shall not be your ruine. Is not here a fair incouragement of finners to Repentance? The

He cannot make choice of more probable Ar-

guments to prevail with them, then by tell-

ties; and therefore I leave it to the Readers own judgement to make the Inference. A third opinion, which the Synod doth purposely desown, and publickly professe to detest, is, That God by his own mere will, without any respect at all to sin, or sight of it, did predestinate and create, the most of the world to damnation; But here the Re-+ In Antid. monstrants + have detected the e-P4.37. &c. gregious Artifice of the Synod, to palliate the enormity of their Doctrine. For observe, they do not deny, much lesse reject it as an Errour, that troubled the Belgick Churches, [ That God by his own mere will, without any respect at all to sin, or sight of it, did Pass-by, or Predestinate and create the most part of the world to destruction. Tex this is the avowed Doctrine of all the Supralapfarians, and tis inferted amongst the Acts of the Synod, and earneftly contended for by Gemarus, as was declared above, and his Suffrage is given in by himfelf Wid, ib. p. 34. ( diffenting herein from the rest of + AB. Syn. his Colleagues) accordingly in Dord par 3. these words † , Reprobatio perem-Pag, 24, thef. ptoria est decretum Dei, quo, pro voluntate sua liberrima, ad declarationem ju-

like might be faid, for dissuading men from

Prefumption, upon the account of their Do-

Arine, touching the Decree of Election; but

I am already weary of these grosse absurdi-

re prolabi permittere & in peccatis relinquere, justeque tandem propier peccata condemnare constituit. By the Decree of Reprobation God determined to give neither Grace nor Glory to certain men, out of all mankind (not yet fallen): but to suffer them freely to fall into sin, and to beave them in their sins, and at last to condemn them for their sinnes, and this for his own most free will, (and pleasure +), to the declaration of + Vid. ibid. his vindiciove Justice. And Gomarus was not alone of this Judgement: for we find the Deputies of the Synod of South-Holwith him, in their Suffrage upon Ibid pag 33. the same Article; for they say, God did, Certas quisdam singulares Personas ---ex toto genere humano seligere, select out of all mankind (not fallen into fin, as others expresse themselves, but simply considered) certain singular persons. And after, they say, Deum in eligendo omnes homines considerasse in pair stain : That Pag. 34. God in his Decree considered all men in a like condition; using the word Paritie | in an equivecall sense; for it may either be referred to the state of man before

stitia sua vindicantis, certos ex humano gene-

re ( simpliciter, non autem lapso ) homines, nec

gratia nec gleria donare, sed in peccatum libe-

this Synod of South-Holland, were Henricus Arnoldi President there, Festus Hommius Scribe of the Synod at Dort, Baltafar Lydia us, Gisberius Voetius, &c. But these very Supra-lapfarians, of what fort foever, will Subscribe to this, L That God did not Predesinate men to damnation, without any respect to fin. They blind their opinion with a distinction betwirt Reprobation and Pradamnation, or Predestination to damnation. For they say, it is one thing to Predestinate and create to damnation, another thing to Prædestinate and create to destruction. Damnation, being the sentence of a Judge, must be past in consideration of sin: but Destruction may be the Act of a Soveraign, and to inflicted by Right of Dominion, as was shewed above. To this purpose, those Ibid. pag. Deputies, De Cansa Reprobationis, 3**5. m.** do conclude, Cansam adaquatam cur Dem aliques non eligendo Praterierit, esse solum divina voluntatisbeneplacitum: That the Adaquate cause why God doth passe-by some, is the sole beneplaciture of his Divine will: Causam vero cur cosdem damnare decreverit, esse non tantum actualem oblata gratia divina rejectionem, sed etiam alia omnia peccata, tam Originalia, quam actualia: But the cause, why he decreed to condemn them, is not

the fall, or under it. The chief members of

onely the rejection of the divine grace, but alfo all other sinnes, as well the Originall, as
Actuall.

Besides, the Synod in those their Decrees,
where they thought it most plansible, to six
Predestination upon the fall of Adam, they
confesse God did not reprobate the most part
of the world without all respect of sin; because they suppose all mankind infested with

that corruption and stain of Originall sin, in

and with Adam, and God cannot but behold

it, because nothing is conceased from his eye:

but they never confesse that God had respect

to fin as the impulsive or Meritorium cause, for which he did reprobate and ordain any to the torments of hell. For they say, if God had been moved by sin, to passe the Ast of Reprobation, He had reprobated All without exception; because All had sinned in Adam.

Again when they say, God did not do this, without respect of ANT sinne, they confesse, it may be granted, that he had some

respect, to some kind of sin, to that of A-

dam, committed more then five thousand

years agoe, without the confent or know-

ledge of those, who are reprobated, and to

that Originall fin, that doth follow from that

first sin, by unavoidable necessity: but they

do not say he had respect to any Personall

no respect to any such personall sins, (Infidelity and Impenitency, ) unlesse it were for the introduction of them, by an efficacious permifsion, as means connected with the end, in the same Decree, for the infrustrable execution of it. And therefore the Departies, Whi supra. forementioned, do reject it as an Errour, in those, that hold, Can-Sam cur Deus aliquos rejeceris esse infidelitatem & impænisentiam prævisam. That impenitencie and unbelief are the cause, why God rejects men. And the very Decrees of the Synod affirm as much; For (Cap. 1. Reject. 8.) they Reject it as an Errour in those, who teach, that God out of humero just will hath not decreed to leave any man in the fall of Adam, and common state of sin and damnation. But suppose the Synod did grant ( as their very nice and wary distinction, [absque omni ullius Pecçati respectu ] makes it more than Probable, they did not ) that God in mans Reprobation had some respect to his Actuall Personall fin, yet if that fin be such as those Reprobates could not possibly avoid, the whole matter will be reduced at last, to the respect of that onely sinne of Adam. And

fin or fins, committed freely and with a deli-

berate will, of those who are reprobated; I

fay, according to their Doctrine, God had

and born the children of wrath, uncoward to all good tending so salvation, forward to evil, dead in fins, slaves to sin; and neither Will nor Can without the Grace of the Holy Ghost regenerating them) set straight their own crooked nature, no nor so much as dispose themselves to the amending of it. So that if the Synod had granted a respect of personal fins in the Reprobation of men, yet they had understood no other sins than such as had been unavoidable to those Reprobates; For they fay, those Reprobates want the Grace of Gods regenerating Spirit, that they may avoid fin; and they say also God bath Decreed not to give it them; whence it follows, that they cannot possibly avoid those fins; but, through the strength of that first fin and corruption, which they lie under, when they are commanded, by the word of the Gospel, to repent and believe, will they, nill they, they shall fall into those foul fins, of Infidelity, disobedience, impenitency, and the like, as necestarily, as a mill-stone falls downward by its own weight, for which inevitable fins norwithstanding, they should be said, to be praordained to the eternall and horrible torments of hell. And then, if God ordained the sin of Adam, and made that necessary and una-

thus the Synod hath determined,

That All men are conceived in fin,

Cap. 3, # 4.

casor and others do politively a-Dei constilio verre (and the Synod hath no G ordinai where rejected it, that I can reone neceffarid lapfum member) the Reprobation of the e∏e. most part of the world will be Drun Areduced undeniably to the mere damo legan dedisse ut ewill of God, what ever publick ann transgre-Profession they have made to dederetur, &c. test it. Sententia Perkinki nostrorumque Theologorum hac est, lepsum illum evenisse Det voluntare transeunte in rem permissam, h. e. Deum voluisse, ut Adamus Laberetur. D. Twife. in vind. Grat. L. 2, p. 1. Sed., 2. c. 12, vigr. 3. p. 142. cel. 2. A fourth Doctrine, which the Synod doth purposely disown, and publickly professe to detest, is, [That Reprobation is the cause of Infidelity and Impiety, in the same manners as Election is the fountain and cause of Faith and Piery. That fin follows the Decree of Reprobation by an unavoidable necessity, is the expresse affirmation not onely of Piscator, Zanchy, &c. But of many Synodists also; Reprobationem tria consequantur, privatio gratia, peccata, O pæna peccatorum; Difp.dePtafaith Gomarus, There are three deft. Resp. things which follow Reprobati-Biten. on, the deniall of Grace, Sinne, and the Punishment of Sin. And that they

voidable too, as Danaus † and Pif-

† Adamum

tion of Festus Hommius t. Fructus † Thefaur. Reprobationis sunt desertio vel Catech. pag. privatio gratiæ Dei & mediorum, 216. indusatio, &c. The fruits of Reprobation are desertion or the deprivation of Gods grace and means ( sufficient and necessaty) induration, &c. And the Divines of Wedderau do confesse, that a ne-De 3, & 4. Art.in Corol cessity of sin deth follow from the Decree of Reprobation. And this p.134.par.2 is the Doctrine of the whole Synod in their Canons: for they fay man cannot but sin, without Gods regenerating Grace, which he hath Decreed to deny or deprive them of; as was thewed above. Even Master Baxter himself, doth acknowledge and professe that the Decree doth tie the End and Means together; and what is the Means of Damnation, but Infidelity and Impenitency &c. as he tells us from the Synod, in the feventh Section of his Preface. There is a necessity therefore of these sins in the Re-† Loquinur de adultie voprobate † elle he should not perifh, as such an infidel and impeestis, penitent. Whence is this necesfity? not from the nature or will of the cresture; therefore from some Act of God; and what is this Act of God, but that Reprobation, whereby he denies unto the Reprobate

To follow it as the fruits of it, is the affirma-

Grace sufficient and necessary unto Faith and Repentance; and then his Law, whereby he requires the performance of those duties, which without that Grace are not performable? But saith the Synod, Reprobation is not the cause of Infidelity and impiety, in the same manner, as Election is the fountain and cause of Faith and piety. But whatever fallicy there be in those words [ in the same manner, ] certainly, according to their Doctrine, Infidelity and Impiety do flow by as inevitable a necessity from the one Decree, as Faith and Piety doth from the other; to that it Vid. Antiis no leffe impossible † for those detump, 47, who are Reprobated to believe CTC. and repent, than it is for those † Quod aliqui in tempowho are Elected to remain imperefided Dea nitent and unbelievers. Comraridonemur, eorum cadem ratio, cadem scientia liqui non doeft, say the Divines of the Palaci-Bantur, ill ab ALOTHO PHILE nate+. Ex insigitur, que de Eledecreto pro-Etione supra dista sunt, de opposita venit. Syn. Reprobatione, ejufque descriptione, Der. cap, I. quid statuendum videatur, band ATL 4. difficile est pronunciare. Reptoba-\* De Repro. tion then is no selfe the fountain **propo**f. 1.p. of Infidelity and Implety, than E-19. par-2. lection is the fountain of Faith and Piety. If we lift to cavill about the word [Canses] (which is here made use of

ept expression, to say Election is the Cause of Faith; For Election in an immanent Act in the minde of God, not an Egreffion out of him, that produceth any effect in man, though Faith doth infallibly follow that Act, by the emanation of another power, which God, according to the Decree of Election, will exercise, to the irresishible production of Faith. And thus it is acknowledged by Pifcator, that, although the Decree of Reprobation be not effective, in respect of insidelity in the Reprobate, because it doth not properly effect or produce that infidelity; yet it is efficax efficacious, Antidot. ?. because that Decree being made, infidelity follows of necessity. For example. Suppose a man blind by nature, or made blinde by the infliction of punishment upon him for some crime; He that commands such a man upon pain of death to read a Protlama. tion, though to speak properly he cannot be faid to be the canfe, that that man reades not the Proclamation; for his blindnesse is the next and proper cause hereof; yet in sense of Law, and to speak Morally, he may be faid to be the Caule, that by not reading, that bliude man becomes defective, as it were, in a duty injoyn'd him and so guilty of death;

to impose upon the unwary Reader, ) we could tell them, that it an improper and in-

not by way of efficiencie, as producing the defect of reading in him, but by commanding that Reading to whom it is impossible to read, in whom therefore after that command, the defect of Reading cannot but follow. After the same manner, according to their Do-ctrine, God deals by the Reprobates: first for the transgression of Adam they are punished with blindenesse of minde in things spirituall; so that 'tis no lesse impossible for them to believe, when God commands it, than for a blind man to read a proclamation. And yet notwithstanding they are thus punished with spirituals blindnesse, God commands them to believe under pain of eternall death. Which when God doth, he doth not, indeed, by way of efficiency produce infidelity and impenitency in them, but by his command God is the Cause, or brings it to passe, that they become as it were unbelievers and impenitent; because it is impossible, on the one part, that they should become unbelievers, unlesse the command of Faith doth intervene, and on the other part, the command of Faith being given, they cannot, in regard of that innate pravitie and blindnesse, but be and remain unbelievers. And this is the means, which (for all their Profest dete-Station) is tied to the End, ( by the Decree of Reprobation) in order to the execution

of the faid Decree, by the Damnation of the Reprobates. Another Doctrine, which, faith M. Baxter, the Synod doth purpofely difown, and publickly proj. Je to detest is, \ That many harmlesse Infants of Believers are snatch't from the mothers breafts, and tyrannically cast into Hell, so that neither Buptisme, nor the Churches prayers in Buptifine can profit bem ] That many Infants of Believers are cast into Hell, notwithstanding the Prayers of the Church, and the Sacrament of Baptilm administred, (according to Christs institution and command) for their Salvation, is the expresse Doctrine of Calvin, Beza, Zuinglius, Martyr, Zanchy, Piscator, Paraus, Perkins, &c. For the Infants of unbelievers, it is the Doctrine of Gomarus and the Divines of Drent, exprelly, that they are Reprobates. Gomar. All. Synod. Dor. per . 3. de Reprob. th. 7 & Judic. Drem. Pag- 14. 6 circa. 1. Art. thef. 18. For the paz. 83. Infants of Believers dying in their Infancy, whether the Decree of Reprobation layeth hold on them and makes them liable to damnation 3 the Divines of Ibid. pag.36 South Hollands judgement is, Non-₽r, esse euriose inquirendum, we ought De primo Arnot to be curious in inquiring after ticulo. ubi it; and the British Divines say, Ad Supra par. 2. rationem electionis divine sive pop.10.tb/f.7. nendam

quiddam impertinent, & nihil prorsus operatur. The circumstance of age is a thing altogether impercinent, and works nothing touching the Decree of Election or Reprobation. Their meaning is plain enough, and 'tis confonant, no doubt, to the sense of the whole Synod. We may therefore observe a twofold Fallacy in the Proposition, which they publically professe to detest, I. In the word See the An-[ Innoxios ] harmelesse Infants; eidotum cap. For the truth is, they acknow-4,00 5 pag. ledge none fuch, every Infant of 52. Or. a span long, from its first Conception being guilty of Adams fin : \* A8. Syfor which it is justly liable to nod. Der. condemnation; and for that fin many are damned \*; as is delivered in Ruject. S. Cap. 1. Another Fallacy is in the word [ Tyramice ] tyrannically, cast into Hell? For when God doth Reprobate such Infahts, and cast them into Hell, he doth not do it, they say, after the manner of a Tyrant, who is bound by fome certain Law, the transgration whereof is Tyrany: but he doth it jure dominii, as an Absolute Lord, whole Soveraignty is without Law or controll, and therefore he may dispose of them at his pleasure. That this is their sense ( notwithstanding what they publickly profeffe

nendam sive tollendam, circumstantia asass est

tion (as they call it) they alleage that of the Apostic, Rom. 9. 20. O man who art thou, that replacest against God? And that of our Saviour, Mar. 20. 13. Is it not Lamfull for me to dowhat I mill with my own? Texts of Scripture which the Creabiluarian-Supralapfarians, as well as the Existentialists make use of for proof of their Decrees; and they are just as much to their purpose, that is, altogether imperiment to the use those severall Parties do make of them. Amongst those Doctrines which the Synod doth purposely disown, and publickly professe to detest, there is another, which I wonder Matter Baxter hath omitted; which is this, [That this Dollrine of the Calvinists maketh God the Anthor of [ini] But perhaps he hath finelt out the Fallacy express in the Fifth Article of the first Chapter; where they say, Incredulitaris istus, ut & omnium altorum peccatorum, causa seu culpa neutiquam est in Doo, sed in homine. The capse or fault of nabelief, as of all other fins, is in no wife, in God, but in man. Here are two wordsmade use of, as of the same importance, [ Causa (810

fesse to detest) may easily be collected, from

the 18, Art. of the 1. Chap. ( Of Predefic-

nation) where to stop the mouthes ( as they

preceod ) of fuch as marmur at the grace of

free Election, and severity of just Reproba-

fiture and confirme men to fin, nay, worketh fin in them; yet thall they be excused from prevaricating the Doctrine of the Synod; for though to speak properly God be the cause of fin, by fuch manner of working to the production of it, yet Culpa the fault of fin can In no wile be ascribed to him Zwinglius and "Keckerman have given the Reason of it, betaule there is no law made to bind Almighty God to the contrary, but man onely: For confirmation hereof they adde : Sient Tanrus cum nunc bas, nanc illas vaceas promiscua & vaga Venere init, adulterii culpa non tenetur, sed homo, si cum aliorum uxoribus rem babeat, eo quod huic, non illi, probibens lex lata sit; ita Deme percato sen culpa non tenesur, cum creaturam ad bos & illos actus movet, sed tantummodo creatura ipfa, quia ei lex probibens lata est, non Deo. I shall not so much as English it for shame. I cannot leave Master Baxter till I have followed him to the very last stage of his Preface, which he shuts up thus, [ Wo should live in peace, if the advise of the Symod (ibid.) were followed, [ A Phrasibus denique iis omnibus abstineant, que prescriptos nobis genuini Sanctarum Scripiurarum sensus limites exce-

few culps | Cause or fault, by which, while many of their Doctors do affirme that God

doth incite and irritate, arge and impell, nece-

Synod should have done well to have left us an example herein by their own practice. But we find that when the British, Hastien, and Bremish Divines moved to have the harsh and incommodious speeches of some of their Doctors declared against and rejected; they were out voted and cried down upon this account , Ne Phrasium istarum rejestione Orthodoxa dostrina ab illis - Soffien. 1900. afferta & desensa pariter damnıri videreiur. We may see by this it is a great deal easier to give good advise than to follow it. And this appears further by that Admonition of Master Baxter in the next words, And if muball we were humbly Conscious of our own frailing and fallibility, and could maintain that unfeigned charity to our Brethren, which beseemeth all the "ciples of Christ, and which would refer to Jay and do by others (eeven in our Controversall writings and private Speeches of them ) as we would have them (ay. and do by us. But alas I the Disciples of that Synod, will neither be persuaded to be the first, nor do the last; they will follow none of these Prescriptions; no not † Physician fo much, as Singular M. Baxheal thy felf. urt; witnesse his proceedings

dunt, & protervis sophistis justam ansam pra-

bere possint, dollrinam Ecclesiarum Reform -

tarum sugillandi, aut calumniandi. ] But the

against Tilono; and his Menere in taking leave of his Dear Brother, in his last Period. Which Course because he hath not taken, I suppose, by that time he hath read this, and that which is like to follow, he will wish ite had taken it, or else been silent.

.

Master

Predefination Supralar Creation of SalvationsDamnation Wable of the Decrees Supralaprarian reabilitariani Election Supral: Existentialists Sublapfarians (Reprobation) The Fall. Sublapsarians Gods jove in Christ HRIST God's hatred to= toroards Mediator. the Elect wards the Reprobate Mumina= The Word Effectuall His Holineße Repentance Calling Calling No Calling Softning Obedience. V n*effect*uall Faith\_ Ignorance. Faith. Taft Death. Blindnesse Juftifica= Remißion Agnition Sense. Zeale. Buriall of that Call Imputation Dominion of the Grave Deception of Sinne Greedinesse Mortification in/inning Referrection Obduration. Sanctifi Vivification Relapse cation. Ascension. Malice Repentance. Session Inbeliefe. New Obeder Pollution Inter= Spoftacy. ce frion. Glorifi= Damnation cation. DeathEternal Eternall Judgment. Life. Declaration Declaration of Justice Mercie GOD's GLORY Delineated by M'W. Perkins In his Armilla Aurea. Place this at Page 41 M.Perkins bis Syno- In Armite pfis, or Table, Shewing la Aurea. (according to his account) the Series of Causes, both of Salvation and Damnation; or the Decrees of Election and Reprobation with the Means and Order of their Ex-

ecution.

Description of the matter of Fall, charged upon the Calvinifts and their synod by Filenas; I thought it convenient to intert it, and to make some Reflexions and Observations upon it, for the benefit of the Reader; who upon a view of this Diagram may take notice with me,

The Ecause this Table contains an Ocular de-

tending as well against one another, as against the Remonstrants. They are usually divided into two Parties, Supralapsarians and Subla-

I. That there are three leverall Selfs, con-

two forts: I shall distinguish them by severall Names. The first fort, who Comar difp. make the creature, not in its  $A^*$ de Prædest. Eluall existence, but in its conditi-( 1684. J on of Possibility, the Ob estal the thef, 13. Decree, These I shall call Supralapsarian Creabilitarians. The second fort, who make the creature in its Actual Existence, but yet Innocent, the Object of that Decree, These I shall call Supralapfarian Existentialists. The third fort, who make mankinde falm in Adam, and by Divine imputa. tion guilty of Originall sin, the Object of the said Decree, Thele are called Subiapsarians. And although these severall Para \* Piscator ties differ hugely in fixing the Obindeavours jett of the Decree, yet there is no to reconcile confiderable difference amongst all three othem, touching the means and pinions. manner of carrying it on, from Confideratio. net illa non. the Fall of Adam to the Finall funt opposite. Execution of it. Of which, the fed tanium Synods Canonicall Declaration is diver∫æ : 4c this, That Election is the unchangeproinde o. maes locum babere poffunt : ficut & revera habent. - Objectum prædestinationis effe hominem confider atum de ut nondum condirum, & ut conditum, fed adbuc integrum, & nt lapfum peccatoque corruptum. Idem Trall. de Gratia Dei poge

173. Oc. Cap. 1. Atlic. 7.

rians. But because Supralapsarians are of

able purpose of God, by which, before the foun. dation of the wirld, according to the mift free pleasure of his will, and of his mere Grace, ont of all mankind, fallen, through their own fault +, from their first integrity
into sin and destruction, he hath Adams sin. i
chosen in Christ unto salvation a set number of certain men, neither better, nor more worthy then others, but lying in the common misery with others: which Christ also from all eternisy he appointed the Media:our, and Head of all the Elect, and foundation of Salvation; and so he Decreed to give them to him to be saved, and by his Word and Spirit, effecinally to call, and draw them to a Communion with Him: that is to give them a true taich in him, to justifie. Sanctifie, and finally glorifie them, being mightly kept in the communion of his Son, to the demonstration of his mercy, and praise of theriches of his glorious grace. They fay, Ibid. Art. 15. Murequer, the holy Scripture berein chiefly manifests, and commends unto us this eternall and free grace of our Election, in that it further witnesseth, that not all men are elested, but some Not-elected, or passed over in Gods esernall Election, whom danbile se God in his most free, most just, unreproveable, and unchangeable good-pleasure, hath decreed to leave in the common misery (whereinto by

† That is, their own † default they precipita-Adam's fall ted themselves) and not to bestow saving faith, and the grace of comversion uson them, but leaving them in their own wayes, and under just judgement, at last to condemn and everlastingly punish them, not onely for their unbeliefa, but also for their own 2 fins, to the manifestation of his Justice. And this is the De-\* Is their own fault e. cree of Reprobation, which in no ven now wife makes God the Author + of mentioned a. fin (a thing blasthemous once to ny of them? conceive) but a Fearfull, unre-† Attic. 5. They say, proveable, and Just Judge, and Cause or Rovenger. Pault of fta, Thus farre the Synod. Ðε. 2. Observe, That according to this Order of Canfes, the Aposties Doctrine is inverted; for he faith, If yo live after the flesh, ye shall die 2 but if ye through the Spirit, Rom. 8. 13. do mortifie the deeds of the body, Je shall live. But on the contrary, here the Doctrine runs thus; To shall live; therefore ye shall mortifie; Or, Ye shall die, therefore ye shall live after the flesh. For fin is acknowledged to be the fruit Notton, ubi and effect, or as others who ipeak Supra, pag. more nicely and warily, an infal-52. lible Consequent of the Decree,

fuch salvation, is to be in Gods highest fayour; and then what room is there for the intervention of Christs Merits and the price of his blond, to latisfie Divine Justice, appeale Gods wrath, to make an atomeniene and produce a Reconciliation? This will help to establish, at least to countenance the Sociman Doctrine, who take advantage of that opinion to argue against the facisfaction of our Saviour after this manner; They who are no longer under wrath, but in Gods Grace and favour, they have no need, nay they cannot by the death of Christ be delivered from wrath and restored to Gods favour. But these whom God loves unto eternall salvation, are no longer under wrath, but in Gods favour. Therefore there is no need, may they cannot be delivered from wrath and restored to Gods favour, by the Death of Christ. 4. That Christ is appointed a Mediator onely for the benefit of these Elect, to die

3. By this Table, Almighty God is sup-

posed to have loved a certain number of per-

fons, with an unchangeable love, and so dear-

ly, as freely to have elected them to enjoy a

communion with himself in joyes and glories everlasting, and that before Christ is given to

be a Mediator for them, which doth much

Eclipse, if not quite evacuate the movie and

Satisfaction of Christ. For to be chosen to

whose salvation was as sure before, as the Decree and love of God could make it. 5. That Faith, Sanctification and Obedience, are not considered in this Decree, as qualifications in the person to be elected: but are provided to be brought in by it, to dresse him up for Glory. 6. That these Elect Persons, in their appointed time, shall be called so infrustrably and irrefiftibly, that it is not in their power to makeit void or hinder it. -7. That no fin can put them out of that road, Series, or File of means drawn by the Divine Decree, to lead them from Election to Glory: No not their fonlest or filibiest fins; Hereupon Master Perkins reckons it amongst the Priviledges which waite upon their Adoption. Hinc etiam mul-Armilla Au- tis Privilegiis donantur, saith he, They are indowed with many Privilledges, I. They are heirs of God. 2. Cobeines with Christ, and Kings. 3. All their asflictions, their failings also and falls, tantum funt caltigationes paternæ ad honum illorum; they are nothing but fatherly chaftisements, designed for their Good. And such is the Judgement of the Divines of Par. 3. pag. 275. **f**, Drent, inserted amongst the Acta of the Synod; Whereas, say they,

for them, and procure salvation for them;

the Remonstrants do maintain that the faithfull may fall from Grace; there are a thoufand Testimonies of Scripture against it. (And presently after) We will adde but one Testimony more: It is said Rom. 8.28. That all shall work together for good to them that love God. If all the evills, wherewith they are chaftized; then their very sins also; Quæ peccata, quemadmodum in impile, interdum habent rationem pœnæ : Sic etiam ipfissima peccata, etiam in sidelibus habent rationem paternæ castigationis, Which sins, as in the wicked, they have sometimes the nature of pinishment: so the very self same sins also, in the faithfull have the nature of Fatherly correction. And may not Godbe the Author of them then, seeing all evill of punishment is from him? Amos 3. 6. 8. From hence it undeniably follows, that the fins of the e Elect, must be of another rank, and of a far different nature, from the very same sins ( for kind and quality ) of the Réprobate. For example, the Adultery, Sedition, Murder, Oppression of I Cor. 6. 9. the Reprobates do that them out Gal. 5.19. of Gods favour and kingdome: But the same fins ( for nature and kinde ) in these Elect; their Adultery, Sedition, Oppression, Murder, cannot shut them out, either of Gods Grace here, or his Glory hereafter. On the other fide, Observe 1. That ac-

( as to the demerit of Presertion ) onely upon the account of Adams sin, which was no more in their power to prevent or avoid, than to hinder Gods imputation of it, or to forbid their Parents Banes of Matrimony; and for Altual lins, they do commence upon the Rock of this fin Originall. 2. That Christ was not given, according to Gods intention, for their benefit; They have no interest in him; there is no line of communication drawn betwire bise and them. For 3. at least, upon the Fall of Adam, Gods implacable and immutable hatred was extended towards them. And hence 4. His calling of them is but uneffelluall; to that 5. Though they own and answer that Call, so farre as to be inlightned by it, repent at it, believe upon it, relift the heavenly Gift, and grow zealens of Gods Glory, yet this doth not remove them one step out of that read or Ine drawn, by the Decree of Reprobation, to lead them to eternall death, according to

cording to this Symplify containing Marker

Perkins's and the Judgement of all the Grea-

bilisarians, That the farre greatest part of

mankinde are Reprobates before they are

Creatures; and according to the most modest

opinion amongst them, they are Reprobates

Relapse, which shall beighten their polintion and guilt, by an accession of obduration and malice, unbelief and Apostasie, and so cooperate to the aggravation of their condemnation and terments. And this is the very Dostrine of the Synod of Dorr, as it is delivered, in the Judgement of Ad. S.Der. par. 2. p.62. the Divines of Embden; For spea-14. 24. 25. king of the means by which the Decree is executed in the Reprobates, They tay, Prima &∫umma corum exitii Caufa, The first and chiefest cause of their destruction, to the corruption of our first Parents, Spontanea Adami voluntate, of Adam's own accord, first brought upon bimfelf, and afterwards by the just judgement of God propagated unto his whole Posterny: in which if God had left all, he had done injury to none, because he is debtor unto mone. The second (Cause) is, because, either God wouchfafes not to call these Reprobates at all by his Gospel; or if he calls some of them outwardly by the Gospel, yet it is not accompanied With any internall Spirituall efficacies or if im some of them be begets a certain assent, and some kinde of faith; yethe leaves themall it

the Series and processe whereof ( which is im-

mentably fet, and insuperably carried on) the

Deceirfulnesse of fin must and shall inevitably

and necessarily prevaile to bring them into a

14st, in their blindnesse and voluntary corrup tians and dath not vouchsafe them his saving to loc. com. Question, Whether the Repro-de Reprirab. base can do good works? he makes this answer. They may do good works sometimes, but not persevere in them: as the Predestinate in like manner do fall into most grievens sins. Therefore, saith he, we may conclude, that Good works are sometimes inservient unto Predestination, and sometimes unto Reprobation. By good works Predestination doubilluserate Gody glory; and in respect of Reprobation they are many times reasons, Why fin is aggravated. For they that fall from God, when he Bathmadorned them with good works, as they do more grievously sin, so are they more severely-punished. Lastly Observe, that, according to this Series, or Table of Causes, The onely Glory that God defigns and aims at primarily and by it felf, as to be drawn out of the Rationall Creature for himself, consists in the Salvation of tome for the Declaration of his Justice and Mercy, and the Damnation of others, for the Declaration of his Power and Justice. Whereas the Scripture informs us otherwise, viz. That the Glory, which he intended to have, and therefore requires and expects from us,

from it. To this purpole we have our Saviours own warrant, Joh. 15.8. Herein is my Father glorified, that ye bear much fruit; and his example, Joh. 17. 4. I have glorified thee on the earth: I have finished the worke that thou gavest me to doe; and his Command, Matth. 5. 16. Let your light so shine before men, that they may fee your good works, and glorifie your Father which is in heaven; and Gods own approbation, Pfal. 50. 23. Who so offereth praise, glorifieth me, and to him that ordereth his conversation aright, coc. So that Gods Glory is intended all the way: Whether ye eat or drink, or what somer ge do. do all to the Glory of God. This is that dr. ty, we are all primarily designed for, and called unto, by the dispensations of his Grace, ( 1 Pet. 2. 9. Ephel. 1. 6.) And if men will not comply, by a voluntary obedience, with this Gracious designe, that the Goodnesse of God may triumph in our exaltation : then, for their contempt, or neglett of his honour and service, as he bath threatned, so, he resetts them, and AB. S Dor. glorifies his Justice in the inflictipar. 2. **pag.** 104. tb. 5. on of their deserved punishment, Martinius therefore acknowled-

doth consist in the oblation or performance

of a free and dutifull obedience, or refults

geth, that the condemnation of the wicked is an event of Gods Calling, which is not intended of God, by it selfe t but by accidem it is an attendant upon mans transgreffi-On. His antemeventus per se non intenditur à Deo : sed per accidens hominis Cuipa sequitar. As for that Glory of God, which the Bleffed Saints and Angels do eternally celebrate in heaven, that is not designed by Almighty God, for a part of Mans duty (the Scene whereof lyes here on earth) but for his Reward upon the performance of that duty: which day the wicked having neglected, they are by way of punishment, Res 7. 14. for ever debarred, from having a. 35. 36. 17.24. ny communion in that bleffed fo-Temnity, which is the Masters joy, into which none are admitted but fuch as have been faithfull fervants. This (by the way) will afford a fuffieient enswer to that Maxime in Logick, What is first in the intention, is last in the execution ), (Whence some Admired Doctors would inferre, that punishment was intended before finne, and Glory before obedience.) But the Maxime will not hold in the Di-Aribution of Remards and Punishments, which

spectively, as the Ushers to go before them. This is easily seen, and many times complained of in Civil administrations, ↑ Call to the wherein , as the Magistrate that Unconverintends reward before obedience, ted p. 84. A is accounted imprudent: so he that Ralers will designs a personall punishment beas Lawgiver is first and fore there be a fault, shall not principally escape the Reproach of being a that hislaws Tyrant. be obeyed. Gre. See the reft.

doe alwaies (where Infrice holds the Sword and ballance) presuppose duty and fault, re-

EXAMINATION
OF

Master  $\mathcal{B} \mathcal{A} X T \mathcal{E} \mathcal{R} S$ XIX, and XX. Sections.

Wherein the state of

D A V I D

A N D

PETER
is Debated.

## The State of DAUID and PETER, Debated.

## Answer to Section X I X.

m Hough I have not troubled my felf

much to examine how violent and

rigid an Adversary you are to Mafter Pierce, in the point of Perfeverance; yet in that superficiall view, which I have taken of those and some other of your Papers, I observe that after your pretended kindnesse, and an offer to Chake hands, you no fooner unclaspe, and begin to take leave, but (fuch is your temper, ) you south have a parting blow, that exasperates your Diffenting Brethren and lends them away as little fatisfied in your moderation as when they field met you. This is no great temptation to invite a peaceable spirit, to interpole in any of

## The State of DAUID and PETER, Debated.

## Answer to Section X I X.

m Hough I have not troubled my felf

much to examine how violent and

rigid an Adversary you are to Mafter Pierce, in the point of Perfeverance; yet in that superficiall view, which I have taken of those and some other of your Papers, I observe that after your pretended kindnesse, and an offer to Chake hands, you no fooner unclaspe, and begin to take leave, but (fuch is your temper, ) you south have a parting blow, that exasperates your Diffenting Brethren and lends them away as little fatisfied in your moderation as when they field met you. This is no great temptation to invite a peaceable spirit, to interpole in any of

your Disputes. Yet finding that M. Pierce growes weary (upon fuch like confiderations) of drawing this Saw of contention (and I cannot blame him, having had so much harsh and jarring noise about his ears, as is more than enough to fet any ingenuous persons teeth on edge ) and resolving hereupon to give himself a little truce and respite, till he meets with further provocation; And finding you also ( I hope unfaignedly) prosessing, that you are truely and heartily willing of further information † , I shall in all Christian meckpesse, at least in ore + And you do .. not bold it . der to mine own latisfaction, if it fundamental may not prove to to yours, reprethat the 74. fenting present thoughts by way filfed cannot of Reflexion upon those argufall from their justifiments that you have laid before carjon. Of us in the nineteen and twenty Perseo, pag. Sections of your Preface. But before I confider your arguments L thall premife. 1. That according to the course of Gods ordinary dispensations, Christians are not made Per faltum: ( I speak of the Adult, and persons arrived to capacity) I conceive, a man, notwithstanding those habites of grace pretended to be infused, at that instant, cannot be a found Habituall Christian at his first Conversion. My reason is, because Christi-

upon a mature and fober deliberation, as our Saviour Christ hath taught us in that twofold Parable. S. Luk. 14. 18. &c. For which fittenh not down first, and counsesh Dent. 26.17 of you insending to build a tower sethe cost, whether he hash sufficient 45, 22. to fins, h it ? lest haply after he bath laid the foundation, and is not able to finish it; all that hehold it begin to mock him, saying, This man begun to build, and was not ablesto finish. Or what King going to make warre ugainst another King, sitesth not down first, and consulteth whether he be able, with ten thousand, to meet him that cometh against him with twenty thousand? Or else while the other is yet a great way off, he lenderh an embussage, and desirests conditions of peace. Thus is the Discipleship of Christ to be entertained upon a deliberate. due and full account taken of all the cost toil, and difficulties, which we must be at, and encounterwith in the probession of it. But, if sin the constitution and framing of every good Christian, Non fola intellectus illumi, natio, & cordis sanovificació; sed ipsa quoque voluntatis immunette, boc est, qualitatum, seu familiainm. & virium supernaturaliam in voluntation infusio, ejustimque ad conversionens. Ex fidem efficate flexes Orinclinatio, fit irrificiebiliter; as the Hassian Divines have declared

anity is a profession and a matter of choise

if Goddoth by a Physicall operation, in the will of man, work also alsum quemeunque actum vera pietatis, feiliges, ipfum voluntatis motum efficienter astingendo, hor est voluntatem is sam applicande & determinando ad volendans & eligendum; as Estius and those In 2.Sent.d. of that way do constantly main-28.*Sell.*2. tain; A man that is fure of such a Magazine provided for him, and such invincible forces levied to his hands, bath no reason in the world to fit down to consult about the charge of his infuing warfare; and if he advanceth, upon any account besides this, he doth but reckon, (as they fay') according to their meas opinion, without his Hoft. Befides, as the feed of the word is a necessary ingredient to the ordinary conception of this new man; to is the travelt of the Ministery no leffe requilite to the partorition of him: and for want of this Regular way of new birth, Szint Paul calls biroself an abarrage. And to show the nevel-I Gor: 15.8 fity of our own cooperation to Heb 12,15. this work in us, ne defimus gratie 2 Cor. 6.1. Dei, that we receive now the grace of God in vaine, nor bewanting to it, we are injuyned so pur off the old man, which is current according to the decelefull infer, and (not onely) to be renewed in the spirit of our

at the Symud: and after this first conversion,

mindes, (in a passive signification (but to put (on actively) that new man, which Epb. 4. 22, after God is created, in righteous-23, 24. nosse and true bolivesse. And as we are injoyned, to are we inabled to it too; For where the Spirit of the Lord is there is libersy (and this is the dispensation of the Gospel, and the priviledge of those that live under it ) whence it folwith 17,18. lows, that we all, with open face beholding as in a glasse the glory of the Lords are changed into the same image, from glory to glary, even as by the Spirit of the Lord. Hereupon faith Basil Mag. Habso in creations id quod secundum imaginem est. Fio autem proposite O voluntate criams Heam. seonnaum similitudinem. Ubi tua est graisa? Cur in coronains es? Sienim opifex totum N. B. contribuit, quomodo regnum ecclorum tibi apertum est? To this purpose it is very confiderable, what the Affeitors and Defenders of that Physicall operation, are fain to yield. In the division of Grace in operantem & cooperantem, there axiseth a disficulty; for if Gratia operans be distinguished a cooperance, then that Gravia operans must be faid to be that, que operatur in nobes [ fine novne] & in velantate nostra nihil agente; For if our will doth act any thing here, there is no reason why this grace should be called

peratur, cum autem volumus, & sic volumus int faciamus, nobiscum cooperatur. To this purpole he produceth that place of the Apo-Itle, I Cor. 15. Ter not I, but the Grace of God with me. For when he had said, neither the Grace of God alone, nor Paul alone, he added: but the Grace of God wrought with him; that he was called from heaven, and that he was converted by fo great and efficacious a call, this was the Grace of God alone. To the like purpose Thomas is produced. Upon these authorities and the misunderstanding of this doctrine some have been drawn into an opinion, that in effects gratia operantis our will doth nibil agere, nec Jeipsam omnino movere, but is Passive and moved onely. But 2. Sent. d. erroneous, and that he proves by three reasons. 1- Because the inward Act which that working Grace produceth in us; as for example, to love God, to hate sin, it is an Act of the will; not onely as of the subject, but also and chiefly, as of the eff ctive Principle: therefore the will in respect of that act, is moving, and not onely moved 2. Because that act, by which the will begins to will good, is a free act; there-

operans and not cooperans. Saint Austin is

brought in, in favour of this interpretation,

where he faith, Ut velimus Deus fine nobis e-

ving principle, not of any fort, but a free one. 3. Because Acts of this kind are under precept. For man is commanded to believe, to convert himself to God, to fly from fin; therefore they proceed from the will freely moving it telf hereunto. Wherefore rejeching that falle interpretation of those Doctors, he concludes, their meaning was onely this; That God works the first act or good motion of the will in us, by his grace, without any other motion of the will, previous in us, whereby we indeavour, or aspire to that first motion, or whereby the will commands it : because many times a good affection of the will is inspired into a man, when he is very repugnant to it, as it happened in Paul, Act. 9. By this we see, that even in the opinion of those men, that maintain, that way of efficacious Grace, by Physicall operation ( as they call it ) our reception of Christianity, or which is all one, our Conversion to the Faith, ( even in the first act of it ) must be a matter of free choise at least. And as it is a matter of choise, to imbrace it at the first, so it is a matter of study and industrie to maintain it afterwards in contesting to mortifie and subdue all those corruptions, infirmities and temptations, which make opposition to the power of that

fore in respect of that act, the will is a mo-

nelle. He that striveth for the masterie is temperate in all things: Watch and pray that ye fall not into temptation: Fight the good fight of faith: and be fambfull unto the death, and I will give thee a crown of life: and a multitude of such expressions. 2. Whether, after long and faithfull service, God may not vouchsafe, of his especiall grace and favour, to reward the devotions and pains of some pious per-Ions, with a secure establishment in a comforrable estate of indefectibility, this you know, the Remonstrants had no mind to dispute. An verò non aliquando pro absoluto jure suo extraordinaria quadam ratione Deus fideles quosdam, din multumque in Scripta Remonst. Do-Stadio pietatis exercitatos, & in vagmat. Arries adversitatibus acque afflictio-11c. 5. pag. nibus velut in igne probatos, dona 190. perseverancia indesettibiles remuneretur ad boc, ut veluti luminaria quadim fiesatis, patientia & fortitudinis in domo Dei luceant, Deoque pro instrumentis serviant ad alios exemplo suo ad candem fidei constanciam animandos, in medio relinquimus. Me thinks, tis very agreeable to the riches of the Divine Goodnesse and Grace, by way of reward or benefit for their long and hard duty, to draw his old fouldiers into the fecurest quarters (allosted to any perions in the Church Milliam)

profession, which is the power of Godli-

maintained the fight with admirable courage, prudence and successe against all assaults of the enemy. That excellent man of so profound a judgement and D. Tb. Jack. Ap. to 10. B. learning was of this mind. The e of the Creed is a Degree or measure of Morisfi-Pag. 3148. cation (best known unto God) which may be obtained before the hour of death, by fome later, by others fooner, unto which whofoever doub actain, be is not onely actually instated in this promise of life, but confirmed in Grace, and indued with the Gift of Perseverance. I am much pleased to find a Text of Scripture, that to my apprehension, founds, fo like a promite to this purpose.

Him that overcometh, will I make feevers. 5. a pillar in the temple of my God, and he shall go no more out: and I will write npon him the Name of my God, and the Name of the City of my God, which is the New Jerusalem, which cometh down out of heaven from my God: and I will write upon him my New name. And such might be those Elect \* persons, whom \* And what if, in these, I God is said to have chosen, ibould grant Master Baxter his twofold cause of stability, 1. the nature or degree of their grace within; 2, the Eledion and preservation of God without? Of Persever pag. 37.

after they have stood long upon the Guard,

and strictly kept their watch, and constantly

safe to shorten those daies of tribulation, and did exempt them from the danger of Sedu-Hion. Mat. 24.24. 3. I do not conceive that the fingle Act alone of every groffe finne, doth utterly destroy the New birth, or excuss Charity and the Spirit of Grace, or cancell his interest in Gods paternall favour, and title to falvation, who is such a Delinquent. 1 Cer. 6. 9. When the Apostle saith, The un-Eph 5.5. righteons shall not inherit the king. dome of God; I suppose he doth not mean, every man that doth something that is unjust: but he, whose frequent practice, and contracted Disposition, hath procured that denomination. So when the Apostle, Gal. 7. 21. having reckoned up the works of the flesh, concludes with this intermination, that they which do such things shall not 30b. 8.44. inheritthe Kingdome of God, To do such things may import the cuftome (according to Scripture notion) and the frequentation of them. In that conflict betwixt the flesh, and the Spirit, in the Regenerate, though the flesh prevaile in some fingle combate, we must not presently blow the trumpet and proclaim it king. One may worst his enemie in a sleight skirmish, yet not presently get possession of the crown and

Mark 13. 20 for whose sake, he did vouch-

Throne. Know ye not, that to whom ye yield your selves servants to obey, his servants ye are so whom ye obey; whether is be of linne unio death, Rom. 6. 16. And he that is overcome of his luft, and brought into bondage, he is a fervant of corruption, 2 Pet. 2.18, 19. 1 conclude then, That a fing's Act, though intertaind with some complacencie, if retracted, before the pleafure hath made too deep an impression, by a long aboad, doth not put a man out of the state of Salvation, nor rescind his gracious priviledges and relations, nor cassate his interest in that grace, wherein God hath made him accepted in the Beloved; but onely then, when fin doth reign and dwel in peace, or when after a hot alarme of its approach, and speciall Etik. 33 9. warning given to arme and stand upon his guard, if a man then turns coward, lets it in without resistance, and permits it to levy forces and fland in competition with the spirit; and much more if he shall invite it is, and affist it against his interest. Whether the fin of Peter or David were of this nature, we shall examine in the sequel. In the mean while, let us confider, what is granted concerning the danger or lad efface that the regenerate men fall into De Perfer. by their perpetration of foul fins. San Boyum. Sperium contristant, indignationem

effective ins adregnum cortorum penitus admitrunt fideles regenitio d'instificati, saith Doctor Prideaux. Some resemble their estate to the condition of a man excommunicated or outlam'd, who lofeth his actuall claim to whatfoever is due to him upon never D. Field Ap. so good assurance, so that albeit 20 2. B. of the right and title to it is yet inthe Ch. pog. vested in them, yet all prosecuti-313. **6**7 834. on of that right is suspended during the time he continues in that estate. Others represent their estate by the condition of the Leper amongst the Jews, who for the time was debarred the use of his own habitation; yet he lost not his right to it; for after he was healed, he might reenter and keep possession. (But by the way, if he died before his actuall cleanling, he could not do (o.) I suppose rather that their estate might be represented by the Law made against the presumptuous sinner, Num. 15. 30. The Soul that doth ought presumptuously (or with a high hand): whether he be born in the land, or a stranger, the same repreachesh the Lord: and (there was no facrifice to make his atonement) that foul shall be cut off from among his people. His punishment was not segmestration, or exclusion from his

 $D_c$ i paternam incurrunt, reatum damnabilem

contrabunt: sic ut demeritorie saltem licet non

be according to the quality and degrees of his repentance before his execution ) but I observe that by the sentence of God, declared in that law, presumptuous sins do ipsafato make an alteration of estate ( as great an alteration as is from life to death ) in the perfon that commits them. Now to give us to understand that Davids sin was of such a nature, there is the very character of a Presumptuous sin set upon it, which is, that the Lord is repreached by it. ib. and so 'cis said of Davids fin, 2 Sam. 12, 14. By this deed thou hast given great occasion to the enemies of the Lord to blaspheme. Before I proceed further, I could wish yeu would ferioufly confider the importance of that caution given by the Apostle, Heb. 12. 15, 16. Looking diligently left any man fail (or fall from ) the grace of God, lest any root of bitternesse springing up trouble you and thereby many be defiled, lest there be any fornicator or profane person, as Esau, who for one morfell of meat fold his birth-right, for ye know how that afterward, when he would have inherued the bleffing, he was rejected. If you think such caveats and threatnings, being applyed as prefervations against defection, do alwaies

People, but excision. I do not here take upon me so determine, what the finall and eter-

nall estate of such a person was, (that must

nerate; and at most imply but a possibility of their Apoltalie, in regard of themselves. nor the certain fururity of it, unlesse it be, in the Non-elect, God having put in a bar #gainst it for the rest; I desire you to consider, that a Type being given, and an inflance made, in a person who certainly had once a just right to inherit, whether this must not needs imply a reall danger of the event, viz. of falling to those, who for the present have a true right and title to the Evangelicall bleffing and celeftiall inheritance. I fay, a reall danger of falling, and in some case ( which is here fet down to aggravate the danger, and consequently to excite their care to avoid it, to the highest pitch ) irrecoverably. But to return to David; I think it very eafie to conclude him in an unjustified estate, out of your own principles. In your Account of Perseverance, you lay these for grounds, (n. 5.) The Dominion of any one sin is inconsistent with faving grace and justification n. 7. You say, He that hath not more hatred then love to any fin, and that had not rather be rid of it, even in the use of Gods means, then keep it, in regard of the Habit nated state of his will, is under the dominion of sin, and in the state of dammation. n. 8. He that is thus refolved, and af-

become a means of perseverance to the rege-

fetted against a grosse sin, or any known sinne; that is under the power of best will, is not like to live in, or give up himself toit : Nay he cannos commit it without renewed resolutions against it, and a restlesse importantly of soul to so be delivered; which will prevail. If this be true, (as I am ready to subscribe to it) David was in a much more fad condition, then you are apt to believe him in. For that he was guilty of a groffe known fin, you cannot, you will not deny; but where were his renewed resolutions against it? where was the use of Gods means, or the restlesse importunity of his foul to be delivered from it? Did he not give up himfelf to it, and industriously make provision for it, and live in it? Nay did he not upon defign and contrivance, against all the ingagements of nobleneffe, ingenuity, (and humanitie) proceed from one wickedneffe to nother? It cannot with any colour be denied. There is but one Salvo in all your three propositions to help you; you will say, perhaps, that in regard of the babituated state of bis will, he had rather have been rid of it, then have kept it. That does not appear, but very much against it. It it had been so, why did he not consult his Prophet, or fast and mourne, as he did afterward for the ficknesse of his child? His babitnated estate, it feems, was a very fecure flate, that the accustomed

tarn, but God was fain to discharge an especiall piece of his Ordnance to awaken him out of it. You adde in your 10. Proposition; That sin doth as naturally breed troubles and fenres; in the fetting of the Sun canfeth darknesse, or as a grosse substance in the Sunshine causeth a shadow. And this from the nature of the thing, and by the will of God. If it be for what can we conclude, from the want of fueltifeares and troubles in him, but that 'cis probable. God left him for the time under fome degrees of obduration? To And indeed, not so much the palpitation auditrembling of the heart, through fears and troubles, as the hardning, of it, is the in-Teparable companion of prejumpenens finning. The Devill carries himself with a kind of bashfulnesse till he finds incouragement. And that man must be lustily steeld with impudence, that will be presumptuous where he hath little interest. It is argument enough that the sinne hath gotten a great force in a man when it is presumptuous. Upon this account it is, that our Criminall prayes so earnestly at another time, Pfal. 19. 14. Keep back shy fervant from presumptuous sins, lest they get the dominion over me. Upon In Pfal. 19. which words Amefin faith, Talia flagitia non conflunt cum timere

ministery of the Church would not serve the

complien, at shey are, and whileft they are Gods servants. But if they becake themselves to the service of another Master, his lufts then they will do. . Shall a man need to ferre an Apprentable to the trade of fin, befor the continerat the title of being a forware soit? His servence ye are to whom ye obey, faith the Apostle. Suppose David had onely been surprized at first, with the beauty of the woman ( though indeed, thole fins whole hogerid enormity is to great that the very light of nature commands us alwaies to be in arms, and stand upon our guard against them, can never be excused or extenuated upon the account of a surprivall.) But out case I say. he had been surprized at first yet upon whose command was it, 1: That Cafter sufficient time of recollection and advisement, when he should have been at prayers) he sent Metlengers, and fure some presace of courtship wasuled to flatter and feduce her, and to took ber and lay with her. 2 Sam. 12. z. That he afterwards fent for her husband, from his duty in the Leaguer. 3. That he advised him so earnestly to go home and wash his feet - and sent a messe

Des. Such crimes cannot confift with the lear

of God. Indeed he infers from thence,

that the fervants of God are not inthral'd to

fush confumacies. And it is true in fenfu

Of meat after him. 4. That he blamed him under a pretence of pity, that he went not down to his house. 5. That he had him tarby till the morrow, and then invited him to an entertainment, Where he made him drunken. 6. That he laid so cunning a plor to murder him ( whom he had so lately debauclied, that he was fratce awakened, or at leaft fearce recovered out of his diffenper ) and then wroten letter, with to much formality, to food, to acquaint him, how he should manage and carry on this projected firstagem, and lastly, that he fent it by Uriah's own loyall hand making him carry the Warrant for his own unworthy and treacherous execution; At whole command; I tay, did David do all this? Was it not at the command of Lust? and then, did he not obey her, as her fervant? What clearer evidence can there be in the world then this, to prove, that hane hath got the Dominion over a man है - 🔻 🕮 l'ie offer you but one argument more, from the doctrine of Saint John. 1 Joh. 3. 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, 17 verses. Take it in this form, No man that is not of God, that hath not eternall life, nor the love of God abiding in him, but is of the Devil, and abideth in death, no fuch man is in the state of justification. But David, guilty of the matter of Vitale, is fuch a man, viz. not of God, not having e-

"The Megior is undeniable, being the exprefleugoris of S. Jahn. 10 1100 Peril 1 14. The Mineris thus proved out of the fame Apostle. He that committeeth sin, and doth not righteonfacile, that loveth not his brother, that shutteth up his bowels of compasfion from him, that not onely hates, but a-Aually murders him, ( like Cain for the intisfaction of his lust) he is not of God, hath not eternall life, nor the love of God abiding in him, but is of the Devill, and abideth in death . But David in the matter of thosh committeeth fin, doth not rightcoulneffe, loveth not his brother, thutteth up his bowels of compassion from him, and actually murders him. Ergo. The Major is again the expresse words of S. John: and the Miner is proved evidently by the History which containeth the matter of Fact. I am the more confirmed in the certain truth of this doctrine, by reflecting upon the fcope and method of the Apostles discourse upon it. Having represented the great priviledge of Adoption, he proceeds to declare that this priviledge is to be preferred by a punity of foul and life, suitable to that state;

ternall life, not the love of God abiding in him, but is of the Devil, and abideth in

death. Therefore, cor.

and because, ( as he urgessia) in: 1 *76*6. 3. W justice und unobaritablenesse are al-4. tò 17. together inconfishent with it. therefore he carnelly diffuades from sirem, as a most certain means, conducing to the fooleiture of the benefit thereof. and v. 2. Betweed, now are me the formes of God (faith he) by inchoation, adopted into that state, of special grace, and favour, to give probation of nour filiali ingenuity and obediance, in parifying our felves, that we may be advanced to a due and fitting enpacity, for the glorious prefence and communion of the Holy God. Thus we are how the loas of God: bue't duch not yet appear, when we shall be y foir that gloty is not yet reverled in ur ; neither bave we yet perform**ed the condition, which** is required to qualifie and dispose us for Aug doth distinguist beit; for we mult withdraw our traixt fans by felves from all pollutions, and be Regenerati devoced by a special separation to on, and fons his service; As obedient children, by Pradestination: as in not fashioning our selves according your Ac. of to the former lusts in our ignorance: Perfev. pag. but as he which butto dulted pasts holy, so must we be holy in all man-1 Pet. 15 14, . E 51 wer of conversacion; Wherefore come out from among them (Heathenish poland be ye separate, saith the Lord, lutions)

and touch not the unclean thing, and I will receive you. And will be a father 2 Cor. 6.17, unto you, and ye shall be my sonnes and dampheres, faich the Lord At. 18. mighty. This Priviledge of Adoption, is not absolutely our own free-hold, our tenure in it is conditionall, ( no leffe than that of being his howfe, and his Desciples, which imports the same benefit underei. Meb. 3. 6, Job. 8, 31. verified expressions ) and this . condition is the fincere and con-Stant performance of our faithfull Rom. 2. 7. duty and fervice, which confifts in a course of holinesse and righ-Luk, 3, 73. teousnesse before him all the dayes of our life; according to the covenantimade with Abraham All the Divines that Diave met with (at least to my best remembrance) do let Justification before Adoption (in order of nature, if not of time) and yet, you your felf confesse, that that jufti-Apper.of Tufication, of which the person hath fif. aiffið. tracpossession, though it be ours a-Etnally after funth, Jet tis but con-Those onely are his praditionally, viz. upon condition of Bicall con\_ perseverance in faith and sincere oquering Dibedience. If that Justification, sciples, who actually per\_ which we are intitled to after Severe. Diff. faith, be held upon fuch terms, of Sacram. then much more, that Adoption Pag.94.

For if the question be asked, whether every Regenerate man will infallibly perform this condition, you answer in the affirmative; and indeed according to your dostrine becannot do otherwise, unlesse you take up your distinction for fashion sake, and say that quead fe, he may fail in that performance, but re-(petty Dei, tip impossible; because, to speak openly and plainly, 'tis not be that doth it I and how comes it then to be his duty, and rewardable? )but God by an infu-Preface, Seperable power, according to bis .Biows. abiolute purpose to cause this condition in him. . Let us come at last to your reasons. I., I do not finde (you say) any mention of shows (David and Peter) or any others, that mere twice. Regenerated, or santtified in Scripenre . Answ. I. Then all those of whose pollutions and backsli-\* Then there dings Almighty God so bitterly can never be any other complains in Scripture, were either truly Prodinot regenerated and fanctified at gall fon ; but all (and then it would be someonely Adam. what strange, that a most wife Ifa. 1 - 22. God should complain that men 2 RtL2,18. had corrupted themselves and pol-19. with 60. Fer. 18. 11, with 13. Fer. 2. 12, 13. Ezek, 16.38,

which follows it. Here then you and Tilenus

are agreed, but I doubt it will not hold long-

this will feem no lesse strange then the other, to any confidering person ( who attends to it without prejudice). That after Jet. 3, 12. If4. 1, 18, fo many earnest invitations and wooings to return, and such a Hofe. 2. 7. gracious reception given by Alwith 14. mighty God to luch returners; Jer. 3. 1'12, 14. that notwithstanding al this, every Hof. 14.4 Revolting and backfliding person should be damned, is to me utterly incredible. 2. The Metaphor of Regeneration, may deceive us; For it signifies the Treatife of production of new Qualities and Convertion. new Relations, as you fay, I may pag. 8. adde, new Capacities too. For consider the whole world as lying in wickednesse, dead in trespasses and finnes, under the curse of the Law, and the sentence of Gods wrath; Christ coming to take away this curse, and make expiation for that fin , and to appeale that wrath, may very well be said to have begotten us again to a new bope, in respect of that capacitie, which we were put into by the benefit of his death and refur-† 2 Tim. 1. rection t, even before our em-9, 10. bracing of the Gospel. The Rc-1 PH, I.3. surrection is called a Regenerati-

luted their wayes, who never had been fan-

ctified: ) or else that they did alf perish in

such their pollutions and backslidings; and

on too, as Beza and Deodaci interpret that Text, Mat. 19. 28. Regeneratio sumitur pro illa die, qua electi incipient novam vitam vivere. i. e. Cum animo & corpore fruentur illa bereditate colefti, faith Beza, and Deodati to the same purpose; so that you see the Scripture mentions a twofold regeneration. But this fast will not serve our turn, and therefore 1 shall reflect upon what you grant, as the Doctrine of the Symod, in your 33. Sect. They deny nor, you say, but men may fall from a present capacity of saturation, and under the necessity of a renewed Repentance, to put them again into a present capacity. But, lay 1, after a laple into groffe and foul fins (which are fridge corrupt and defile and pollute the foul. efpecially upon any aboad in them) there is and must be a production of new qualities, as well as a new capacitie, ( if that new capacitie doth not rather consist in such qualities,) therefore, in that case, (this being a Regentration) the man so lapsed is twice Regenerated. 3. How can there be an actual iteration of the travell of the Ministery, about the very same persons, without a possible iteration of the new birth? Yer we see, the Gal. 3. 2. Apostle to the Galarians, who had c, 5. 4. e. 4. 6. received the Spirit, and were in a state of Grace, God having sent

vidences of their Regeneration ) yet to these he saith, My little children of Gal. 4,19. whom I travell in birth again, un-Deodati Antill Christ be formed in you. That nor. Eng. is, faith Deodate, My little children, for whom I indure great pains and anguishes, as a woman that is in travell, untill fuch time as Christs pure doctrine is re-establitht amongst you, as I had planted it, to frame in your fouls a leving image of Christ, in righteousnesse and true holinesse. And what can you make of this but regeneration? 4. You do confesse, All those five words, viz. Conversion, Repentance, Ro-Treatife of generation, Santtification, Vocation, Convergen, are used in Scripture to expresse pag. 6. the same work upon the foul; and there is another word, you put in Ibid. pag. 8. (a page or two after) the Newcreation. But what more frequent in holy Scripture, then at least the implyed-iteration of Conversion, Repentance, Sanctification, and the new creation? For Conversion take that place Hosea 14. 1. O Ifrael return unto the Lordiby God; for them half fallen by thine iniquity: Fain I from what ? from one wickednesse to another? No, from the grace and favour of God, vers. 4. I will heal their back-

the Spirit of his Son into their hearts, crying Abba, Father, (all which are sofficient e-

slidings, I will love them freely: for mine anger is turned away from him. Let us allo confider that of the Propher Jer. 3. 1. If a man put away his wife, and she go from him, and become another mans, shall be return unto her again? Shall not the land be greatly polluted? yes, according to that Law made and provided on that behalf, Deut. 24. 1, 2, 3. But thom hast played the harlor with many lovers, yet return again to me, Saith the Lord. Where we may take notice, s. What their Relation was to God; He was married unto them, vers. 14. (and to be a wife, fure is as near a relation, and imports as great an indearement, as to be sonnes and daughters). 2. What their transgression was ; fornication, which, in a spirituall sense, as relating to God, was Idolatry; and that doth, ipfo fallo, alter the state, and rescind the Covenant; whence it was, that Moses finding the people playing the Harlot with the Calf, to intimate that the Covenant betwixt them and their God was cancel'd and made void, he broke the Tables in pieces. Yet 3. God invites them to a restitution; return again to me, saith He; and more fully, Verf. 12, 14. Turn, Oback-fliding children, saith the Lord; for I am married unto you; and though I might give you a finall bill of divorce for your backfliding and Idolatry; yet I will take you again (upon your

they were swice Regenerated. What exceptions you will make against these instances, as relating to a mationall Church, I know not: but what ever they are, I am confident I shall be able to asswer them out of your own doctrine, delivered in your Disputations, of Right to Sacraments. 5. That the new Creation (an-Treetife of other expression as you acknow-Convertion, ledge, to describe Regeneration) pag. 8. may be repeated, fundry Scripture instances will evince. As Ezck, 18. 31. Make you a new heart and a new Spirit, and that of David, (who certainly had this new creature, or the new creation wrought in him once before) Psal. 31. 10. Create in me a clean beart O God, and renew a right spirit within me. And to whom doth the Apostle direct his Epistle to the Ephesians, but Epbof. 1.1. to the Saints and faithfull in Christ Jefus? Yet he exhorts them to be C49.4.24. renewed in the spirit of their minds. To put on the new man: as was said above. 6. Repentance, you say signifieth the same work upon the foul, as Regeneration doth. What shall we turn Novatians? No iteration of Repentance neither? Why was that

repentance.) So that here we have fome, at

least, charwere converted a second time from

a state of aversion from God, and therefore

rom and the rest that followed? why did they call it, Secunda Tabula post Concil.pro -Naufragium? Prima tabula , qua vinc. Čolon. submixi ex diluvio peccatorum tam mibi pa. 1 z 1 . in Adam velutistirpe, quam postea a. b. male vivendo, guacunque tandem ratione contractorum enatamas, Baptismus est, post quem acceptum, si rursus nautragium secerimus, ralla pro peccatis nova hostia restat, Jed tuntum surerest hac secunda tabula, Panitentia, quam si gnaviser (donec vica superstes est) apprehenderimus, ac apprehensam persequuti fuerimus, non dubium, quem rurfus ad salutis portum pertingemus, quamlibet-etiam personlosi sint, in quos postea incidimus, peccatorum scopuli. It is not onely possible for the veffels of the Regenerate to leak and let in a little falt water; but they may run against the rock of Prefumptuous finne, 1 Ht.1.19. and make hipmrack of faith and a good conscience; for that is the dammage fuftained by their miscarriage, who are embarqued upon the bottome of Christianity, as S Paul tells us; and this plank of Repentance is thrown out by speciall indulgence and grace to such, to preferve them from immersion and utter ruine, and transport them again to the defired haven of eternall happinesse.

title given to Repentance by Tertullian, Hie-

tance ( or as the Ancients call it Pennance) imports no lesse; And the Practise of the Aucient Church confirms it. The Apostle delivers up the Incestuous Corimbian to Satan: to what end? for the destruction of the flesh, that the spirit may be saved in the day of the Lord Jesus, 1 Cor. 5 5. That is, saith Deodui, to macorate and pull down the body extreamly, even to death, if God would have it fo : as oftentimes by means of the aforefaid things Annar. in (viz- excommunication, with its attendants (in those first daies of the Christian Church ) horrours, anguishes of spirit, and torments of body) death did follow, and at his last passage, if the sinner did shew a lively repentance, he was looted from those bonds of excommunication, and readmitted into the peace of the Church, and into the Grace of God, and so died with comfort, cased and relieved with the publick and private prayers of the faithfull. Finis excommunicationi propositus non est Beza, nos. minor. excommunicati exitium sed salus, ut videlices hoc remedio d'mesur spsius caro, ut discar spiritui vivere. Whence it clearly ap. pears, that in the judgement of these Learned men, grounded upon the Scripture, fuch as lay under the fentence of excommunicati-

The institution of the discipline of Repen-

on were not in a state of justification, and consequently that by the use and practise of this wholesome Discipline they were to be regenerated, and brought forth as it were anew unto it. And this was the end of the fame Centures inflicted upon Hymenam and Alexander; who had actually repelled a good conscience. And this was no more. than was contained in the com-1 Tim.1.19 and 20. mission upon the donation of the Power of the Keyes; What ye bind Mat. 18 18 on earth, hall be bound in heaven: Job 20, 23. and whoseseever sins ye remit, they are remitted; and whosesoever fins ye retain, shey are retained. I am not ignorant, that there is an extemporary Repentance † (as Messner calls it ) in order to the continuall 4 1 706. 1.9. this was f expiation of intercurrent fins in gnified, Lothe bloud of Christ; fins of ignotione pedum, rance, and infirmity, of inadver-30b. 13,10. tency and fudden furreption, which the regenerate daily fall into, who yet are faid, (in respect Zak. 13. 7. of the change of state ) to need no But there is a Solemn repentance repentance. after enormous, wasting fins, (commonly called Morsal fins ). Why was this inflicuted and to be performed with so much discipline of severity (as we find it practised by

person, before his restitution to the peace of the Church, and the grace of God, and hopes of pardon) but to significe that such persons had extreme need of such a Repentance as might import a change of state, whereby they might be recovered again after a fall wherein they were given for lost? and this is called repentance unto falvation, 2 Cor. 7.10.

That this Discipline should be instituted onely for the use and benefit of such as never were regenerate (and I cannot see what else is to be alleaged to avoid the force of the argument; for a second Regeneration) is so ridiculous to imagine, that

the Apostle and the Primitive Church (for 7, 9, 13, years together upon one and the same

To conclude this argument then. Whofoever may Repent and be converted, and be
renewed and fantified and become a new creature, a fecond or third time, he may be twife
or thrife Regenerated: But a poore finner
may repent and be converted, and renewed,
and fanctified, and become a new creature, a

I presume no rationall man will offer to

fecond or third time, Ergo. The Major Pro-, position is undeniable; because, Repentance, Conversion, Renovation, Sanctification, and Regeneration, do all signific one and the

not onely by Bucun, and the  $\overline{T}_{ro}$ Buc.loc.com. fessors of Leiden, but also by your 30.1. 294 self. The Minor is evident by Synopf. difp. the proofs alleaged. I shall but 3 2 · thef. 2 P . adde, what is faid by the Provin-4#0. Treatife of ciall Councell before named, Conversion, touching this matter of Repen-P46. 7. tance after groffe fins. Remedium Sanè in Ecclesia Summe necessarium, que sublato, quantula que se, Ubi supra. hominum pars fueris, que post baprismum, nullius peccati, aut etiam criminio fibi conscia, vita aterna participationem sperare queat? Ut nulli nobis immaniores Haritici unquam fuisse videantur, quam Novatiani, qui tam necessarium anima medicamentum medie tollere conati sunt. And yet which of the two is more pernicious to Religion, viz. to deny a capacity, in some grofly finfull, to receive the peace of the Church (which cannot keep them out of heaven, if they be truly penitent) or to deny in others a possibility to loofe the peace of God; this I fay, I shall referre to the judgement of the fober and learned to confider. In the interim I proæed. - 2. You say, Those Passages, Heb. 6. and 10. Seem to import, that if men should thus wholly excuss the spirit of God, there were no renewing them by Repensance.

same work upon the foul, as is acknowledged

Answ. If they do but seem to import so much, they may really import something leffe. You know they have been understood of a renewing by the iteration of haptime. Name qui post baptismum (in quo cum Cancil. prov vinc. Colon. Christo cruci configurur & confepelimur ) labitur, ac putat aliam renovationem baptismatic superasse, is Christum denno Crucifigit. Semel crucifixua est Christas, semel peccase mortuus est, at medo non maritur ergo & unicum oportet esse in ecclesia Baptismum, non plura. 2. By the word impossible, which the Apostle there useth, may, (according to the frequent use of Scripture ) be meant, it is, exceeding difficult, to renew such sinners, not that it is absolutely impossible, as the word is used by our Saviour touching those that were too much, for the present, wedded to the world, Mar. 10. 25, 26, 27. 3. You may lay an Emphasis upon that expression, If men should thus wholly excuss the Spirit: how do you mean? Renouncing utterly their Christianity and Baptisme, and doing despite to the Spirit of Grace, by persecuting all that are faithfull Disciples to it; and this against the light of conviction, and the sweetnesse of experience in Gods gracious dispensations; This may amount to the sin against the Holy Ghost. But though some fall by such fins irrecoverably; yet it

the Spirit, fo far forth, as that fignifies the quenching of it, to the losse of Gods savour and their justification ) may fall and be renewed again by Repentance; For there may be an Apostasie totall and not finall; And fo much is implyed in the very text. If they, viz. who have tasted of the heavenly gift, and were made partakers Heb. 6,4,5. of the boly Ghost, and have tasted the good word of God, and the po-Cop. 10.29. wers of the world to come, If they shall fall away thus, viz. treading under foot the Son of God, and counting the bloud of the Covenant, wherewith they were sanctified an unholy thing, and doing despite to the spirit of grace; It is impossible to renew them again unto repentance. Yet others that fall away and excuse the spirit, but not thus adding contempt and perfecution to their Apostasie, they may be resewed again unto Repentance. The Apostle puts in his exception, onely against one fort of Apostates, and it is a Rule in Law, Exceptio firmat Regulam in Casibus non exceptis. 3. Your next Argument, is Metaphoricall, and confequently, none of the most Cogent, but it is taken from Christs words, who saith, that the Hearers like the good ground that give deep rooting to the feed, do not fall

doth not follow, but others (who do excuse

Anfw. 1. I might argue as well, à Remotione consequentis ad remotionem antecedentis; But David and Perer did fallaway in triall. Ergo. But they were good ground you lay, by Gods own testimony; and 'tis granted you for truth. 2. Therefore, I deny your Major; where doth Christ say, that the Henrers like the good ground that give deep rooting to the feed, do not fall away in triall? I can finde no such affertion in all the three Evangelists which record this Parable. Luk. 8.15. Saint Luke laith, they bring forth M4r.4.29. fruit with patience, and S. Mark Mat. 13.23. and S. Matthew adde some an hundred fold, some fixty, some thirty. But be doth not so much as intimate that this good ground is immutably fruitfull. Are not thornes and briars the naturall off lpring of our Red-earth? At least they are very apt to fpring up from it, for want of tillage and manuring; Hereupon Hef. 10. 12. God calls to his own people, Break up your fallow ground, and some not among thornes. 3. Whence then did you draw that Predication of good Hearers? \ they do not fall away Perhaps you collect it by way of opposition, to those on the rock, which when

away in triall: But David and Teter, were

such by Gods own testimony. Ergo.

Cap. 8.13. Saint Luke hath it. Now what do you inferre from hence? That those Hearers, that are resembled to the good ground, do not fall away, and by confequence you conclude, that David when he was tempted to wantonnesse, did not fall under the power of that temptation: nor Peter when he was questioned about his relation to Christ, did not Prævaricate; and so the Adultery and murder of the one, and the deniall of Christ and perjury of the other, were not falling away. I pray what will you call them? Bringing forth fruit with Patience? What? either an hundred, or fixty, or thirty fold? Had you ducly confulted the other two Evangelists, they would have prevented that Fallacy wherein-you were taken, I suppose, for want of fuch advisement. They would have told you what the temptation is that our Saviour speaks of, viz. Tri-Mat. 13.21. bulation, affliction or persecution Mar. 4 17. for the words Sake; represented by the scorching Sun, in the same parable. But what is this to David, who burnt himself in other flames, of his own kindling? or to Peter, who willfully run into the fire? The Sophisme then, is a dicto secundum quid, ad

they heare, receive the word with joy; and thefe

have no roote, which for a while believe, and

in time of temptation fall away. So

dictum fimpliciter. The honest and good heart well manured and husbanded like good ground, that receives the feed and lets it fink, and take deep root, and bring forth fruit with patience, doth not wither and dry away through the fcorchings of hot and violent persecution. Ergo. The man that hath fach a heart, when, grown remisse or prefumptuous, he is drawn away of his own lufts and inticed. Then Jam.1.14, when lust bath conceived, it bringeth forth sinne : and sinne when it is finished , bringeth first death. The man falls not away then neither by your doctrine; which is not contained in the parable. Nay the Parable tels you, the feed may be destroyed, though the fun of persecution never touch it, by those thorns that grow out of the ground it felf. But because you insist so much upon Parables; (for you have another not far off) I would have you to confider; where the point of discrimination lyes, in reference to the fruitfulnesse and unfruitfulnesse; not in the nature of the feed, or in the influences of the Sun, or any other heavenly dispensation; but in the qualification of the foile onely. We may be instructed surther from the Plough; that be the ground never so good the feed doth not take root, much lesse dee p root in it presently upon the first sowing;

for then the earth is loofe and mouldry, and many times a storm doth so beat and discompose it, that the seed is left naked, for a prey to vermine; And though it bath taken root, it is not firmly fettled, till a vicissitude of soft showers and warm beams, have stiffened and confirmed the soile about it, and then 'tis lodged fore. 4. Your fourth Argument advanceth in these words; No Scripture tells us that David or Peter were void of charity, &c. Answ. Nor doth it tell us so of Judas t. You know negative arguments Where doth from Authority are not concluit tell us of ding, especially for matters of Noabs, or of fact. What Scripture tells us, that Lot's repen-Master Richard Baxter, is, or tange. should be, Teacher of the Church of Christ at Kederminster. 5. You argue: David prayes Plal. 50. that God would not for that fin, take his holy Spirit from him: which implys that yet he had it. Answ. 1. Create in me a clean beart, O God, and renew a right Spirit within me; implyes the contrary; and this Petition was before the other. 2. Take not thy boly Spirit from me, may fignifie no more, then what is repeated by way of further explication in the verse folowing; Restore to me the joy of thy Salvation, Oc. 3. I fay,

returned to him, in renewed breathings, and visitations; whereof these holy passions, and devotions were a strong effect. 6. You lay, The thing in it felf feems miterly improbable to me, that David or Peter should have no love to God, after those particular fins. Answ. 1. Every kinde and degree of our Love towards God will no more ferve Gods turn, then every fort and degree of his will ferve ours. There is a common grace (as your declare many times in your writings ) which is reall, true and good, yet not See your speciallsaving Grace. Wherefore Saints Reff. you tell us, we must not onely in-Second Ediquire into the truth of the Ait or tion. And of Habis ( in a Metaphysicall noti-Saving Faith, p. 25 on): but also and much more and 93. into the Morall truth of it, as it is a grace or duty. The unregenerate, and wicked you confesse may love God; because

3. I say, this Pfalme was composed after

his restitution, when the Spirit of God was

they may apprehend it as good in it felf, and good to their persons. I shall adde, (though it should seem a Paradox) that the very damned in hell, do carry some affection towards Good, as he is the chief Good; else I cannot conceive, how the Losse of the beatifical vision (which consists in the fruition

torment as to furmount the pain of fense in them; as the Ancients affirme it doth. I must presse you therefore with one of your own Conclusions; that the fincerity of love ( without which it will not be faving) is laid in the prevailing degree, not in the act of it. This you confirm Ubi fupra. by the words of our Saviour. Mat. Saints Reft. Second Edi-10.33, 37. He that loveth father or mother &c. more then me, is not Ston . worthy of mc. And unlesse yee forsake all, ye cannot be my Disciples. God and Christ being set in competition with the world, and the Spirit set in opposition to the flesh, it is the comparative degree that carries it. To which purpose you quote these passages of Scripture. To Rev. 3. 211 him that overcometh, will I give, Pfal. 73.2.5 Gr. And, that of the Pfalmiff. Whom have I in heaven but thee, and there is none in earth, that I defire in comparison of thee. This is your doctrine, as neer as I can remember, (in your Saints Rest,) for I cannot now conveniently turne to the place. Whether there were now in David or Peter this Prevalent degree of love, as to preferre God before, not onely the world and the flesh, but their life also, I leave to every indifferent Reader to judge.

ot his glorious presence) can be so great a

But you proceed, and fay, The somes I know were odious, and deserved an accert desertion of God: But God inslitts not all that we deserve.

Answ. This is somewhat like the thirty fourth Excuse of the wicked at

the day of Judgement, (as you al- Sermon of Judgementleage it in their names) and therefore I shall return your own words to you; viz. God knoweth his own mercy better then Jon do; and he bath told you how far it shall extend. If those Scriptures of the Prophet and Apostles, [ When the righteous turn away from his righteousnesse ---- If any man draw back---- Ezek. 18. 24. Hebr. 10.38. ] be no-Gospel with you I cannot help it. If those fins deserved an utter desertion of God; we are to govern as our lives, to our judgements. by his Covenant; for to depend upon any Extraordinary supererogations of Mercy, more then his superabundant Grace hath contra-

thed for, or promised, is high presumption.

But you go on, and tell us, I. That albough Althally in the time of sinning, the power of sensuality prevailed against the Alt of Charity; yet that Habitually God was afterward set lesse by then the sensual pleasure, by these Holy men, is utterly improbable.

Answ. I. When our Saviour Christ saith, If any man cometh to me, and hat: not (which

tuall estimation of God, latisfie the Precept, under the atteatt breach of it? or can that carry Gods acceptation? I thinke not. (2) Can the Habit wall estimation of God confist with an indulgence to the pleasure of fin, and fenfuality? I would fain understand how far they are reconciled? and how long you would keep them friends? I hope not till doomes day. And if you can think fix they should be separated after some considerable time of cohabitation; you must in all reason give them a bill of divorce in Davids Cafe; whole remorflesse Conscience, for fo many moneths together, doth sufficiently evince his indulgence to the pleasure of his lust or fensuality. 3. We may observe in some persons, that fins are many times recurrent, especially fins of some fort, and are content to divide with Almighty God, not onely in point of time, but likewise in the affections and devotions of the sinner. His zeal for God shall be all fire and tow, at fuch times, and upon such occasions, and in such company; yet at the return of such and such temptations, (which may be frequent too ) he is periodically captivated to the law of that luft. I hope you

fignifieth to love leffe) his father

not be my Disciple. Doth a habi-

Luk. 14.26. \_\_yea and his own life also, be can-

will not vote such a person into the state of justification, while he is thus divided betwixt God and Mammon. And that David had finned himself into this, if not into a worse temper, what hinders us from concluding? That he had espoused Batbsheba and so gotten the temptation into his bosome; this was to make provision for his Lust, not for his Repentance; and while we find him unrelenting at the crime, we ought to conclude, his design was to perpetuate the pleasure, under a colour of legitimating the use of his Adultery; and therefore 'cis obser-2 **Sam.** 2. vable, God was angry at the after marriage, as well as at the forcap. 1 2.9.10 mer murder and uncleannesse. And this may in some sense be urged against Peter: but of him more anon. 2. You say, You cannot imagine that the Faith of David and Peter were Habitually extirpated, and they were turned unbelievers. And I cannot think (what ever the Papists have yet said to the contrary ) that a sound Christian faith is separable from Chang, though a Superficiall opinionative belief may. Aufwer. To the first branch of your imagination, I shall say but this for the present; we are told by the divine Revelations, that we must be judged by the more of our own performing, and not by the Habites of Gods

branch, if by a found Christian Faith, you understand such a faith, as you have defined a saving faith to be, in some of your writings, I think you will have no Papists much leffe Protestants, your Adversaries: but then I hope, you cannot think fuch a Faith any more separable from chastity, brotherly kindnesse, or loyalty to Christ, then from charity: Aut yet we fee thefe feparated from the faith of David and Peter respectively; Therefore the faith that they had now, was not that found Christian saving Faith. 3. You ask a question and then resolve it your felf, thus; Do you think, that if David or Peter had after this fin, been upon sober deliberation put to it, they would not have chosen the love of God before the world or sinfull pleasure? I think they would. Answer. 1. Doubtlesse Judas would have done so too. Esan did so concerning his fathers bleffing. But what matters it, what men would have done; when weulding is too late, their will having undone them. 2. The neglect of Jober deliberation many times betrayes men to destruction. The Oxe knoweth

infusing. 2, Misbelievers as well as Unbelie.

vers may be in an unjustified state; and if

Fairb without good works be dead, and cannot

justifie: then Faith with dead works is dead

and damning also. 3. As to your second

his owner, and the Affe his Ma-*If*4. I. 3. fters (rib: but Israel doth not know, my people doth not consider. Jer. 8. 6. No man repented him of his wickednesse, saying, what have I done? Every one turneth to his course, as the horse rusheth into the battell. 3. Peter had an hours respite after one temptation; and Luk. 22.59 so long a time, is sufficient to make the killing of a man be adjudged a prepensed and willfull murder by our common Law; and whose fault was it, that he wanted such a sober deliberation as you speak of? Why did he not put himself to it, to chefe the love of God? He had a naturall power, to do it; (to use your own distinction) and why he had not, and did not exercise a morall Power, you must fetch the reason from his own, or (to excuse that) from the will of God. For Davids part, he had a pritty confiderable time to deliberate in. Sure it was the love of pleasure, not the love of God that kept him from it. 4. You demand; Is it likely that this one Alt should turn their hearts into as Gracelesse a frame, as the ungodly themselves that never were sanitefied? It is not likely. Yet so it must be, if they excussed all the Love of God. Answ. If they excussed all the love of God?

Why all the leve of God? I told you not long fince, out of your own writings, that every degree of the love of God will not ferve the turn , but onely that which doth preponderate and prevaile; And therefore ( though all the love of God be not excussed, if that prevailing degree be excussed, they remain unjustified, whether or no their hearts be turned into as Gracelesse a frame, as the ungodly themselves that were never sanctified. But this doth follow, you say, and it is not likely that it should be so. I answer, 2. That a Gracelesse frame of heart, may be so denominated, either from the totall absence and privation of grace onely, or else it may imply also a contracted vicious habite in opposition to Grace: In the first sense, I grant their hearts may be faid to be turned into as Gracelesse a frame; but not in the later; because though their hearts may have as little grace for the present, yet are they not through custome of sinning, reduced to such an indisposition to receive the impressions of Grace, as are the hearts of the notorious ungodly, who were never fanctified. And yet I must tell you. 3. That ( as it is observed of water that hath been heat, it will be congealed and freez the fooner; fo ) fuch , as have felt the heat of that Divine fire, and been inlightned and melted, and warmed and refreshed

ences of that Grace ; they are in danger, for their great ingratitude, to Hebr. 6. and be permitted to fall into a state more miserable and hopelesse, then fuch as were never fanctified. But 4. you alleage, Is it likely that this one Alt? Answ. 1. There are some single Alts of sin so heinous, that their enormitie doth equalize the Habites of many fins, and of fome they do manifestly preponderate and surpasse them. And such Ads, though they proceed not from a habite, but are onely once committed, they do exclude a man from the kingdome of heaven. One Act of unmercifull severity to his fellow servant, brought an implacable wrath and endleffe torments upon him, who had not long before received his Lords Acquittance, though he had not passed a very fair Ac-Mat. 18.34. compt to him. What more then a fingle All deprived Efan of Heb.12. 16. the bleffing? and that finne unto death, mentioned by Saint John, 1 70b.5.16. scems to be no more. And what Mark 10.22 followed Christs unum tibi deest, to the young man in the Gospel? yet that was but an Omission neither. But 2. why do you call it (but) one AA? when it was to accumulatively and exceeding finfull?

by the sweet and efficacions beams and influ-

To that Objection, that Adam Account of by one ast did lose bis habituall Perfever p. flate of Grace, and Relation to God, 13. becoming unhaly and unjustified: therefore so may me : you deny the Ancecedent; For, you say, it was not by one Alt, but by many that Adam so far fell. But sure here was no lesse, if not a much greater combination of finfull Acts in the fall of David and Peter, then in that of Adam; therefore neither of them ought to be contracted or extenuated into one single Ast. 5. Your discourse runs on in these words; I think it was the Habit of Grace, that the Gracious lookes of Christ on Peter, and the words of Nathan so David, did excise and bring again to Act; Peter was converted indeed by a particular Conversion from that sin, when he Repensed; but surely he was not converted a second time from a state of unbelief, or of ungodlinesse, or uncharitablenesse, or unboline fle. Ausw. 1. I suppose you mean an insused Habit; and if so; you know it is questionable whether there be any such In 4. dift. or no. Dominicus Soto faith that 14. g. 2. Art. 5. till the Councel of Vienna [which was An. 1311. ] Nulla fuerit in-

There was a complication of many sinnefull

Acts, as well in the fall of Peter as of David.

tu. There was no mention made amongst the Doctors, touching the infusion of any [permanent] qualitie [into the foul ] And that Council did define and choole Carranz. it but as a more probable spinion? Sum, p. 820. And therefore till the Councill of Trent it was not held ( in the Petr. as Fof. Id. tb. fp. Church ) as a matter of Faith, that there were any fuch Habits; L. 4. c. 8, and I doubt you will hardly adopt Resp. r. it into your beliefe upon the account of that Authority? 2. If you mean an Habit acquired by frequent Acts and the industrious exercise of (vertue) \* the motions of divine \* So I call grace influencing hereunto; there it, supposing is nothing more reasonable then it, in fieri. to conclude that this may be loft. Nihilest magis rationi consentaneum, quam codem modo, unumquodque dissolvere, que conflatum est; is a Rule in Law; and it will hold here, by Master Barlow's concesfion, (mentioned in your Ac- Pag. 41. count of Perseverance ) Loofe we may, what addition ( He speaks of Habituall Grace) by our cooperation with it, we have gained; and by parity of Reason, if the Habit it self be a matter of our acquist, or gaining (under the transfent influences and

ter Dollores habita mentio de infusione qualita-

motions of the divine affiftance) doubtleffe it is also in our power to make shipwrack of it. 3. Grant there be infused Habits, yet enormous fins may expell them, if not efficienter physice, yet moraliter seu demeritorie; because the finner so grievously offending, and so highly dishonouring his gracious Lord and father, Merito amittit jus quod babebat ad haveditatem corlestem, tanquam filius Dei adoprivus, & consequenter spoliatur gratiasanttificante, in qua tale jus fundatum † erat. So the Romanist; and 22, 14. your felf acknowledge, as much in Mal. 5. 8. part, in opposition to M. Barlow, (in the place before mentioned) you say, A man may be allive in destroying grace, that was but passive in receiving it. We may merit the diminution, and so may be active. And why may I not adde, that by frequent vicious acts, opposite to the habite of Grace, that habit may be extinguished, or (if you like it better) expelled, and at Ubi sugra.

like it better) expelled, and at white fure. length the contrary vitious habit introduced? In your answer to that objection against the certainty of Perseverance, drawn from the Apostacy of some persons rarely qualified, who have turned Quakers and Licentious, if not Insidels; you yield an utter expulsion of such Habite. For,

you say, Some answer the foresaid Objection by telling them, that as in althall sin (like Davids and Solomons) the habit of grace was alive under contrary actings: So in the foresaid actuall Errours, the babis of sound faith may possibly be alive in many that seem to be fallen quite away. Though I do make use of this answer, you lay, in some cases where there is hope of such habits Remaining, yet I am afraid of using it in most of the forementioned cases, I dare not say that a man that long deliberately and industriously crieth down the Godhead of Christ and the holy Ghost, and that denjeth the Scripture and the Immortality of the foul, Oc. can be at that time in a state of Salvation. Here we are agreed. And indeed, as S. John's exhortation does intimate, that, upon our Apostafie or neglect of duty, we may 2.19. verf.8. lose, what we our selves (cooperating with the Divine grace ) have gained: so that prayer of David, Take not thy holy (pirit from me ] and that intermination of our Saviour [ Take his Talent from him ] do imply that, upon the like account, God may, and many times doth) take away what he hath given. If any man pretends to an interest in any promise of the Gospet to secure him against this danger; that promise is either conditionall or absolute. If he saith,

they usually say of lawes, Vigilantilius, non dormientibus jura subveniunt. The remisse and carelesse, much more the highly guilty, do forfeit and lose the benefit of them. If he claimes by an Absolute promise, he doth but begge the question, and gets nothing by it. 4. If the Habit of Grace remained in them, they might have recovered of themselves, without any new influences of a-If that be Auall exciting or affifting grace truc, that e-(Gods simultaneous proportiovery new denable concurse, which is never gree of grace wanting, allwayes supposed); is infusedas the fieft was, which you will hardly allow of; es jou feem And yet the proof is clear from to imply. the nature and office or proper-[ Of Perf. ty of a habit, which is, not onely Pag. 41. I can see nei - 🕖 to furnish the facultie with thet any nestrength simply sufficient, to difceffity , nor pose, and incline it to Act, but wfefulnesse of also to do it with facility; As we your remanent mfused fee by experience when a power is babits. For instructed with a habit in things frostra fit naturall. But this you will bardly per plura. grant (as I faid) in the restitution Gc. † Ter you of David and Peter . say, The new nature or disposition of such a man will not fuffer bim to be long without Aduall Repentance ; Difp. of Juftif. p. 398.

conditionall, I must say of such promises, as

5. Whereas you argue, that Peters Conversion was but particular, not from a state but a single sin: I must defire you to restect upon what was faid before upon that head. Some fingle Acts of fin are not capable of fuch frequent repetitions as should make them fruitfull enough to beget a babit; Yet this doth not extenuate, but heighten their enormitie. Such was the eracifixion of our Saviour; and there may be some others, if not Parallel, yet in some measure proportionable to it, whose execrable clamour will not onely outery the habits of many other fins: but in a manner justifie them. And that you may not think the fin of Peter so inconsiderable; you must not look upon it as a sudden furprifall; for he was forewarned, not onely by the generall application of a prediction, All yo shall be offended, because of me this night but also by a per- Mark 14.27 sonali admonition. Luk. 22. 31. Simon, Simon: behold Satan hath desired to have you, Mar. 14. 30. Before the cock. crow twife, thou shalt deny me thrise. ] He was forearmed too, if he had followed his direction, [ Watch and pray , that retirement was suggested to him. also, as his best posture of defence If yee seek me, let these go their Joh. 18.8.

way. ] That he was henoured, to be the first in order, of the Colledge Apostolick, the mouth of all the rest, whose confession was made the rock and foundation of the Chri-Hian Church, and in whose person, the power of the Keyes was conferred upon the whole body of the Priesthood; that such a man as he, † should, (not fly the persecution, or faint under the + After fo bieb a propressures of it, but ) will fully festion of #expose himself to question, and delity too. notwithstanding all our Saviour Though I had done to fortifie him against Bould die with thee. it) then lye, and persist in it, Mat.26.35. and forswear and curse himself, and all this, out of a base unworthy fear, to save his skin, rather then own a person, who was his gracious Master, his God and his Redeemer; who had formerly told him; Whofoever shall be ashamed of me and of my words, of him shall the Son of Luk, 9.26. man be asbamed, when he shall come in his own glory, and in his Fathers, Md.10.372 and of the hely Angels. And again, Luk.14. 26. He that lovelh his own life more then me, is not worthy of me ]. So many and fignall aggravations are enough if not to mount his fin up to the highest pitch of a pardonable guiltinesse, at least to have his name involled in the Catalogue of such sinin order to their restitution to grace and pardon. 6. Your fixth Conjecture, (for your arguments are no other) discovers it felf in theie words. I verily think that after his fin, David went on in his ordinary course of Religion and obedience in all things else (abating in the degrees): otherwise bis Apostasie mould have been noted by these about him, and so his very fin would scaree have been bid, which he desired to hide. And I do not think that he went to God daily in publick and private (was that to hide his fin too?) without any love as all. These things to me are interly improbable. . Answ. Isbink, and I shink, and I verily think; is this a good way of arguing? Other men will be allowed their liberty to think as well as your felf, and (if they fee cause) to think otherwise, and yet think as verily as you dor and make no wonder or fcruple at it at all that David should go on in his ordinary course of Religion and obedience in all things elfer It is so ordinary a thing for Religion to bemade a clouk or a vizor, or a stalking horse to theiter the design, when another game or mark is aimed at, that no man of observation can think this part of your conjecture improbable. Saul in the very time of his grand rebellion, will offer facrifice. Abfolon will go

ners, as stand in need of a folemn repentance

pay a vow at Hebren when he intends an infurrection. Naborh shall not have a faile Indictment drawn up against him, and witnesses, sons of Belial suborn'd to make the charge good spon oath; but a solemn Fast and Prayers shall be proclaimed to usher in the Tragedy. Why, you know well enough that men will be very first in observing the new Moones and Subbushs and solemn Fouts and appointed Meanings: they will appear before the Lord, and that they may not appear empty, they will bring amulcitude of facrifices He and oblations, and make many prayers, and foread forth their bands; and yet their hands are full of blood. Have you be lived to their years, and are you John 3.11. become so great a master of Israel, and knowest not then these things? Verily verily I fay unto thee, &cc. The very Heathens had taken up that for a rule, Chart fo non Caste. And the adulterous woman, when the hath caten the breed of leudhess, the wiper her month very formally, Prov. 30. and saith, I have done no Wic-20. kedness. But you say , you do not think, that he wone to Gud daily in publicks and province; (Sir, you were not Clerk of his closer, and therefore know little of his private devotions; the publick, I grant it probable, media frequent, to the end you mention, which

wards God: but) you do not think be did this without any \* love at all. Te leems 4 Ai great now, that in your opinion, any mens quirk love will ferve his turn, who is goods are unce Regenerate. It comes out of a presumed to Silver-mine and is of the right be of a betfamp and must pass for currant, ter kinde or breed, than God cannot refuse it: But the the like love of a poor unregenerate, when goods of it is put into the scale against all their poor Neighbours the creatures of the world, if it for Noble .. doth not preponderate and turn mens geefe. the scale, it weighes nothing at all as ibertowith you. But to proceed, there verbo is, are ∫wans.) So is no doubt, men may have fo there be much love to God and formuch forme who zeal to his service (in some parti-Will bave all cular instances) as may lead them qualifications, whether into an expectation of receiving of life ar very great favours from him, and "praffice, all yet be (that while) in a very unfafe alls of duty, and unjustified condition. I need or performances to be no other evidence to prove this of a better 🦠 than Gods own attestation. He kinde or arraigns the Jews upon this very rank in the Ekill, than they are in others. And as Belief, so Mortification, in them especially, how little soewer it be, so it be true, will suffice unto falvarien, Dr. Tho. Jackson. B. 10.p.3147.

proceeded more out of felf-love, then love to-

account, and gives order to his Prophet, to profesure the Indestment against them, in these words & Cry aloud, Spare nos, lift up ilsaiah 58. thy voice like a trumpet, and shew .4 ,. 2 , ` my people their transgrossion, and the house of facob their sins. Tet they seek me dayly, and delight to know my ways, as a nation that did righteousness, and forseek not the Ordinance of their God: they ask of me the Ordinances of justice: they take delight in approaching to God. Wherefore have we fasted; say they, and thou Soest not? I hope, by this time, (whatever they be to Master Banter) to the indifferent Reader, These things will not be utterly improbable. So much for your Conjectures and Imaginatiens. To your arguments, 7. You say, Christ prayed before-hand for Peter, that his faith should not fail: therefore his charity was not totally Extinct. Anfw. The Church of Rome tells you, by the mouth of all her Doctors, that it doth not follow. Faith they say, may be separated from Charity. But admit it cannot; yet where iniquity doth so abound that Charity waxeth cold, there Faith will grow to weak and danguide, yea so dead, that it will not justified it is not the Metaphysical but the Moral truth or goodness, that makes our Faith or our Charity a grace, or duly, and tis the prevailing degree onely that qualifies it for acceptance un-

tude, I leave you to confider. But I am perswaded otherwise; that the prayer of our Saviour (in that respect) was a preservative only against a Final, not against a Total defection; and the admonition, which our Saviour gave him to improve his restitution for the benefit of others, doth confirm me. Er tu aliquando conversus confirma Luke 22fratres enos. And when thou art 32. converted, strengthen thy brethren. Here is a new conversion, which in Scripture notion, (as hath been shewed out of Amefins and others, and your own writings) fignifieth the fame work upon the foul, that Regeneration doth. 8. To conclude this: you say, They that build on the Rock. perfevere in trial, (Matth. 7.25.) because they build on the Rock; But David and Peter had built upon a Rock: Therefore, I think they did not totally full from habitual grace. Answ. Here's a hard argument indeed; hewen out of the very Rock: but the best' on't is, 'tis but Metaphorical, and Rocks. may be removed or rent a pieces; and fo I' doubt not, to do by this, by his affiftance,

to justification; (which if I mi-

stake not is your own avowed do- "Dbi supra:

Arine) and whether the prayer of our Saviour

prevailed so far, or was extended to that lati-

whose kingdom was resembled to a D4n. 2. 34; little stone cut out of the mountain 45. without hands. 1. You say, they that build on the rock, perfevere in trial: now I pray, give me leave to assume: But David and Peter did not perfevere in trial. Therefore they did not build upon the Rock. But you fay, they had built upon a Rock: Therefore they did not fall torally, Answ. An argument drawn from the time past to the time present, or time to come, is of no validity, unless it be understood of things that are absolutely immutable as God is, who is therefore stilled, which was and is and is to come. Indas had been faithful unto Christ, shall we conclude; therefore he continued fo? So David had been a man after Gods own heart, but in the matter of Uriah the Scripture tells us he was not fo. And Peter had own'd and honour'd Christ: but in the high Priests hall he did otherwise. 3. Therefore we must consider, that the Hebrews (whom the Greek writers of the New Testament are supposed to imitate as much as may be) having none but a Participle of the Present tenle, are wont to make use of the Preserperfell tense of their verbes in stead of it, which fignifies any part of time indefinitely, (as is to be observed, Psal, 1, 1, & 2, 1, & 10.3, 6, 10, He that heareth these sayings of Marth. 7. mine and doth them, is like to a man that built his bouse on a Rock; His speech imports thus much; He that hath for the time past, and doth for the time present, and shall for the time to come, hear these sayings of mine and do them, He is like unto a man that built his house on a Rock. Hereupon the Apostle exhorteth us, Let us not be weary in well doing for in due [ea-Son, we shall reap if we faint not. 4. Our Saviours words are, Whafaever heareth these sayings of mine and doth them; But was Davids gazing upon the beauty of Baththeba, and then lusting after it, and afterwards fending messengers to her house to court her into his embraces; was this like the keeping of Christs sayings? Or after Christ had forewarn'd Peter of his danger, [Siman, Simon, behold Satan bath defired to have you, that he might fift thee as wheat and forearmed him with his counsel Go into some place of retirement \*; watch and pray, that ye \* Job. 18.8. enter not into tempeation, for him Mat. 26,41. to run into the Court (which he had reason to suspect would be nothing but a bloody Inquisition) to satisfie his Cuniosity;

17. & 11.1,7. and frequently in other pla-

ces) and so it doth denotare actum perpetuum;

So that when our Saviour faith,

and after one modest Interrogatory which did not onely put his Faith to a stand, but overthrew it by a flat lie, to return again to feek out the temptation, &c. Was this keeping Christ's Tayings or doing them? This is just expounding the Holy Text by the Divels Conment. For tempting our Saviour to cast himself down from the Manb. 4.6. pinacle of the Temple; He useth this argument; If thou be the Son of God, caft thy self domn: For it is written, He shall give his Angels charge concerning thee, and in their bands they shall bear thee up, lest as any sime thou dash thy foot against a stone. Tis true God hath promised the custody of Angels to secure his children tis Pfal. 91.11. in omnibus viis fuis, in all their wayes. That is in their vocation. In May.4. Ibi via pro vocatione, Scriptura Phrasi ponuntur. Saith, Ægid, Hunnim. But the Divel applies this promise to that most desperate precipitation of himself, to which he tempted our Saviour; Whereupon he repells the affault with these Matth. 4.7. words, It is written again thou Shalt not tempt the Lordthy God. In like manner, Christ hath said, Whosoever heareth these sayings of mine and doth them, is like unto a man that built his, house upon a rock, and the rain descended and the flouds same, for the

windes blew upon that house and it fell not, because it was built upon a rock. But (as the Divel left out [in all bis ways,] there: so you leave out, whosever beareth these sayings of mine and doth them bere, and apply the stability, or fecurity (which in the words of our Saviour doubtless belongs onely to the obedient (to David and Peter, in their highest impiety and prefumption; not remembring, that he builds upon a far different foundation, who acts against his conscience. Quad se Contra conscientiam adificat ad gebennam. 5. I finde you insnared in the same fallacy [ A dicto secundum quid, ad dictum simpliciter] as before; for the security intimated by our Saviour is against temptation, from wichout. The rain descended, and the Mat. 7,25% flouds came, and the winds blew, and beat upon that house, and it fell not; for it was founded upon a rock. Storms and tempests and floods of advertity and perfecution, though never so violent and impersous, such obedient Disciples of Christ are secured against, continuing their duty: But if there be a Mine within that rock, or under-neath it, and some barrels of powder lodged within it, and such a train laid, that if it be fet on fire, the Mine will (pring; then the house may and will fall. And so it is here, For out of the heart

Provide 23. it. Keep shy beart with all diligence; for our of is are the issues of death. To this, let us adde in our constant practife Saint Judes direction, and Ka.20.21. then (by Gods affistance which in fo doing, we cannot fail of ) we shall never fall. Beleved, building up your selves on your most boly faith, praying in the hely Ghost, keep your selves in the love of God, looking for the mency of our Lord Jesus Christ unto eternal life. Answer to the 20 Section. Ruly Sir, (you say) I am willing to learn Le better that Dostrine that is according to Godliness, and to diselaim all that is against it : But you must bereafter learn to do us that justice, as not to take our expressions of the worst that the mercy of God will cover in a man obedient in the main, to be our descriptions of Godly men. Answ. Since you ask no favour in this caule, and you tellus, we must learn to do you

proceed evil thoughts, murders, adulteries, for-

nications false wisness, blasphomies, and these

were they that overtarow the honfe in David and

in Peter. And therefore tis the duty of eve-

ry manto keep a special watch here; for his

eternal weal or wo depends upon

that when your expressions of what God will cover, whether they amount to a full description of your Godly man or no (but it feens he may be fuch in those rage as well as when he is cloathed in that fine and clean linnen mentioned in the Revelation) when they are apparently incouragements to continue men in their difobedience and angodlinels, they ought to be reproved; And if you be as willing, as you pretend, to learn that Doctrine better, which is according to Godliness, such reproofs will be acceptable and wellcome to you. In hope whereof, I shall indeavour to make it evident, that those Doctrines, viz. of election and perseverance, &c. In the vindication whereof, you have taken so much, Unchristian liberty, to asperse and revile Telenus, is not according to Godliness; and I prove it thus; That Doctrine whereby a groß finner. v. g. an Adulterer or perjur'd person, is taught to be certain of his falvation, not onely certifuline objects but also certitudine subjects, before the renewing his repentance, that doctrine is not according to Godliness: But by the Synods doctrine and yours, touching election, perseverance, &cc. a gross sinner, v. g. an adulterer, or perjur'd person is taught to be certain of his Salvation, not only Certitudine objetti, but also Certitudine

that justice justice you shall have and it is this

Jubyetti, before the renewing his repentance. Therefore that doctrine is not according to Godliness. The Major is evident, because such a do-Strine, doth infeeble at least and weaken all exhortations to mortification and repentance; if it doth not evacuate the necessity of them. The Minor shall be proved by these four steps, 1. They hold not onely that every man may: but also that it is his duty, and he ought to be Certain of his election: De hac aserna & immunabili sui ad salunem electione, eletti suotempore, variisticet gradibus, & difpari mensura, certiores redduntur; saith the Synod: And in their feventh Reje-Alta.Syn. de ction; They reject those which

Divin preteach, Electionis immutabilis ad dest.art. 12, gloriam nullum in bac vita esse frup.243.fol,-Et**um, nullum** sensum, nullam serti-₽.247× tudinem, nisi ex conditione mutabili & contingence. The Divines of \* Judic. Geneva \* lay, Hac electio nobis par Theoleriers tesit in tempore, --- ut spem aterna \$.56.1bef 9. gloria certam concipiamus. Those ed in fol. of North-Holland do affirm \* : **D**o-\* Jud Theol. Provinc.

Spiritum Santtum, de hoc tanto 🐠 incomprehensibili suo (Electionis) benesicio certos facere. Sibrandus Lubbertus laith 🔧 \* 16.0.17. **2**集511,17, Alignis de sui Electione in has vita, citra

P.39.M.

um in hac vita snos Electos per

yea, sensum & gustum Electionis sui percipere. And to this inflrage fubicribed fobannes Polyander, Autonius Thyfius & Antonius Walens. The Divines of Hassia \* do affirm, though no man can know him-Theal. ext. felf to be of the number of the p. 32. m. Elect, a Priori, yet all and every believer may be certain of his election to cternal life, a Posteriori, that is, by the revelation of the word, and the testimony of the Spirit dwelling in them, and by the fruits or effects of their Election, which beleevers finde in themselves. And those of Geneva " say, there is not one of the Elest, that is arrived to the capacity \* Ib.p.49.f. of reason, that doth not, afore his death, receive a most certain perswasion of that decree. To deny the sense and certainty of Election in this life, is to render Election it felf unprofitable to the elect in this life, yea to abolish it, tum quead gratiam, tum etiam quoad gloriam, say the Divines of the Palainate. \* And those of Wed-\* Ib.p.18 f. derav fay, not onely Posest : † He † 16. p. 39. may be certain of his Elect. But th. 7. \* 1b. p. 44. Oportet: \* It behooves him to be tb. 7. so. Nay, Potest & debet : He may and he ought to be certain of it;

peculiarem revelationem, certus esse posest-

Unusquisque too; every man ∦ 14. p, 72. · pr. ought to be so. And the Belgick Professor as much. \* And this \*Jud.Theol. certainty is without any If's, or provinc. And's, faith Gomarns; † Fideles in p.7 thef.5 + Wid. hac vita de Electione sui salvifica P. 22 J. certi sunt, non solum hac conditithef. 12, one si perseveraverint : sed etiam absolute. Quia sese perseveratures esse per Dei & Christi gratiam certi sunt. The faithful are certain of their Election, in this life; not only upon this condition, if they shall persevere: but absolutely. Because they are certain by the grace of God and Christ, they shall persevere. And this is the Doctrine of the whole Synad; who therefore do reject those who teach, There is in this life, no fruit, no sense, no certainty, of immunable Election anto glory, but upon a mutable and contingent condition, as was declared above. As they hold that every man may & ought to be certain of his Election, So they hold of his perfevarance also; Of this preservation of the Elect unto Salvation, and perseverance of true believers in the faith, the fasibful themselves may be and are ascertained. †. And in their fifth Rejecti-+ A&a Syn. on, they reject those that teach, de perf. shat no certainty of future persever-Sana thef. ance can be had in this life, without 9. p. 267.

say the Divines of Embden: † and

quotations, especially seeing they maintain it to be the fruit and ef-1.24.268 fe& of Election.\* The second step to the probation of that Miner proposition, [viz. That by the dostrine of the Synod a gross sinner, is tangles to be certain of his satuation, before the renewing his repentance hall be this; that he who hath once examined his frate, and findes himfelf thus certain of his elettion, and perseverance, may be able to remember it; if not, 'tis but taking his pen and ink and fetting down the time when he took this examination, with that certainty which he found to be the refult of it. 3. My third step, (or postulate) shall be this; that he who bath taken this pains to examine and thrive himfelf, and found this comfort, a certainty of his elettion and perfeverance; may notwithstanding fall into \* All. Syn. gross sins: Wherefore, saith the de perser. Synod \*, they must communally Sant thef. watch, and pray, that they be not 4. p. 266. led into temptation, which when they do not, it is not only possible, that they should be carried away by the flesh, the world and the Divel, into grievens and beinous fins, but some-

special Revolution. I might bring in the suf-

frages of the Divines; but seeing they all sub-

scribed these Arricles and Rejections, it will be

to no purpole to tyre the Reader with more

times also, by Gods just permission, they are carried away: which the lamentable falls of David, Peter and other of the Saints, described unso us in the Scripture, evidently show. Whereupon Zanchy faith, Quod negem electosin aerocissima scelera ruere posse, calumnia est quasi nesciam, & non doceam Davidis sce-\* Lib. Misc. lera, adulterium & homicidium, fuin depulf. isse atrocissima & gravissima.\* 64ium. My fourth and last step topag. 307. ward a proof of that proposition thall be this; that he who is fallen into such heinous fins, may be able to remember the do-Arine that hath been taught him, concerning this point; or if he should forget it, he may have recourfe to his Authors, out \* Inlibello of whom he hath learn'd it: And Cbr.Queft. if he confults Beza, \* he faith In-G Refp.p. terrumpi interdum fateor in gravi-688. bus tensationibus Spiritum ...... nunquam tamen penitus eripidico; Et Paulò post. Sic veram fidem & ejus effecta in electis interrumpi dico, ut in iis qui lethargo laborant, O in Ebrits in quibus impediuntur anima facultates, non tamen anima ipfa collitur, quum incer letha: gum, ant Ebriciatem, & mortem ipsam plurimum intersu, aterna verò vita cer-\*In Enara. tum pignus habeant; qui adoptionis Cattcher. Spiritum babent. And Ruardns Aq. 53. fill, crinius saith, \* alshough, in the fol. 89.

be also manifold fins of ignorance and omision, doing what they should leave undone, and neglecting what they should perform; yet because these infirmities are covered with the merit of Christ, and for Christs lake are not impured, they do not excusse the holy Spirit. Rom. 8. 1. There is no condemnation to them, &c. so that should they assent to an errour, Quo fundamentum salutis, vel in totum vel ex parte, evertitur, whereby the foundation of Salvation, is, either in whole or in part, overthrown, or violate the command of God, against conscience (repugnante conscientia) and by that means fin mest grievously and foully, and through the most just judgement of God, loose the greatest gifts of the holy Spirit: yet are they not deprived of all, nor forfaken totally and finally. For God, who is rich in \* AA.Syn.de mercy, faith the Synod, \* accordperfev.Sanc. ing to the unchangeable purpose Art.6.f.266 of Election, deth not wholly take away his holy Spirit from his, no not in their grievous slips, nor suffers them to wander so far, as to fall away from the grace of Adoption, and state of justification, or to commit the sin unto death, or against the holy Ghost, or to be altogether forsaken of him, and throw themselves headlong in-

fouls (of the Elect) the flames of lufts, of re-

venge, of hatred, and the burnings of divers

wickednesses do oftentimes arise: though there

so eternal destruction. Out of these four grounds. I shall now give you the proof of that Minor proposition, viz. That a gress finner may be certain, &c. before his actual repentance of that sin. Whosoever may be certain of his eternal election and final persoverance, may be certain of his falvation. A man guilty of gross sin, v. g. an adulterer or prejur'd person, without an actual repentance of his fin, may be certain of his eternal election and final perseverance. Therefore. A man guilty of gross sin, v. g. an adulterer or perjur'd perlon, without actual repentance may be certain of sivation. ... The Major is evident of it self; because elettion and perfeverance do contain all things necessary to salvation. The Minor is proved thus, Wholoever after his unfeigned conversion, and some good progress in holiness, may become guilty of gross sin, as adultery, &c. He, without an actual repentance of that fin, may be certain of his eternal election and final perseverance. A man after his unfeigned conversion & some good progress in holinels may become guilty of grossin, as adultery, &c. Therefore, a man guilty of gross fin, as adoltery, &c. without an actual repontance

Ction and final perseverance.

The Minor is evident by the examples of David, Peter, and others of the lapled Saints.

The Major is proved thus,

Whosoever may have examined the finceeity of his conversion and holiness, and may

of that fin, may be certain of his eternal ele-

remember, the refult of that examination to be a certainty of his eternal election and final perfeverance, he, though he becomes guilty of gross sin, as adultery, may without an actual repentance, be certain of his eternal election

and final perseverance. A man though he be-

comes guilty of gross sin, as adultery, may have examined the sincerity of his conversion and holiness, and may remember the result of that examination, to be a certainty of his eternal election and final perseverance. Therefore without an actual repentance he may be certain, &c.

The Major is manifest; because election,

and perseverance (supposed to be the fruit and effect of it,) are said to be absolute and immutable; therefore, he that is once certain is for ever certain of them; not only certaindine object; but certitudine subjecti. The Miner is undeniable; because this examination of his state, and the certainty which follows it, being;

said, to be possible, and his duty; A man that is unferguedly converted, and hath made some

performed it. Let me illustrate this very confiderable truth by an example. Suppose a Prince makes a Decree that every person, who is lifted under bis Command, and ingageth himself in fight, against the common enemy; shall be a Tensioner to him during life: he that knows himself to have been inlifted, and to have fought against the enemy, though he be for the present a captive in the power of the enemies hands, yet, supposing that Prince, to have an absolute, insuperable, and irrelistible power, and will to execute his faid Decree, (as the Synod hath determined in our case) he may (if he were fure of life, as men are of immortality) affure himself, to be that Prince his Penfioner, with as great a confidence, as if he had never been taken captive. By this you may see upon what soundation the Antinomians build their judgement, mentioned by you, in the ninth opinion, of Pa. 12.9. the Saints Perseverance] that though a believer fall into adultery and murder with David, or into Incest and drunkenness with Lot, he ought not to fear the loss of his justification, nor to be bumbled with such considerations, nor to rife from the fin with Bid, 1.39: such a motive. And though you think this opinion to graff, you need lay no more of it then disclaim it; yet, it

good progress in holiness, is presumed to have

had become a wife Master builder, much better, to have razed the foundation, of such an edifice, as gives harbour to fuch monfters of opinion, and to have plucked supsithe roots of fuch a pestiferous weed; which, I have fome reason to believe, you had so full and sair a view of in the decyphering of those opinions. For the short is; A man may be certain of his immutable election and final perseverance, or he may not. If he may not; then (in the opinion of the Synodists \*) ¥ Fud. Theoliext. the foundation of firm and folid 216.aph.9. confolation is blown up. If he GP. 223. may; (as they unanimously, and 1b.5.p.249: Aricely maintain) then he may be q.9. Jud. Theol prov. so still, after he be fallen (as he P, 243.tb. 2. may be) into the most gross and dy 276. borrid fins imaginable; as is protbef. 3, ved above. From hence I shall draw another argument to prove this Do-Arine, to be a Doctrine not according to Godliness; which is formed thus. That Doctrine, which takes away (from fome fort of men, under the guilt of gross fins) all fear of Gods displeasure, of hell fire, and of judgement to come, that is a Doctrine not according to Godline's. This Doctrine (which the Synod maintains) that a man may be absolutely certain of his immutable election and final perseverance, takes away, from

fins, all fear of Gods; displeasure, of bell fire, and of judgement to come. Therefore this Doctring is not according to Godlineis. The Major is proved; because the holy Scriptures do so frequently inculcate this fear of God, hell and judgement, as a prefervative against defection and a sput to Godlinels. Now the just shall live by faith : but if any man draw, back my loui shall 4 Hebr. 10, have no pleasure in him . Fear **3θ.** bim, who after he hath killed, hath pomer to cast into bell: yea, I say + Lake 12. anto year, fear him to We must all **5**appear before the judgement feat of Christ--knowing therefore the terror of the Lord, we persuade men ". † 2 Cor. 5. 10, 11. The Minor is proved by what went before; and the undeniable consequence of the Synads Doctrine. Qui sio Eletti sunt, saith Tossanus, peninis rejici & dea serimonquam possaur stum quia ex decreto Dei certo 🗗 immarabili eliguntum, tum quia non .. pessant non semper deligising Chris Diddigate is the said Who are to Bleded can near de pradelle ver be altogether rejected or forper quest. faken, both because they are chofen by Gods certain and immutable Decree, and also because they cannot but

some sort of men under the guilt of gross

notice of this argument (though he does not apply it to one, that lies under the guilt of grots fin as he might,) for he argues thus; That which is impossible Of the S. (or certainly not future) need not, Perfev. p. 28. f. Ge. and ought not, and if known to be such, cannot be the object of racional. fear, and cure to escape it. But the damnation, and the Assistance of any of the faultified, is impossible, white further and known so to be: (according withe Doctrine of the Calvinists) therefore it aved not and must not be the object of their fear, and core to ofcape it. M. Baxter may please to take notice that the argument may be made use of, ar rationally, by any perfon under the guilt of Adultery, or any other walting fin, if he bath had any former fense, gift, or certainty of his immutable election, according to the Doctrine of the Synod. But what answer can M. Bearer give to this argument? For my own part, faith he, the answer that satisfies have, is this: 10. p. gt. that it's true that a known impossibility or non-faturity of evil doth evacuate rational fear: But then he that will be perfettly freed from that fear, must have a perfect knowledge of the impossibility, or non-futurity. But Christ and his Apostles knew that those, whom they wrote to, had no fuch perfect know-

always be beloved in Christ. M. Bazter had

had, however they came by it, and herein M. Baxter diffents from them; for he faith faither,) Nay more, it is not (at least by any ordinary means) to be expected in this life, that this knowledge of our sincerity, Justification, and perseverance should be so perfect as to have no degree of doubting, habitual or altual. Ab ingennous confession !. And, such is Ibid. p. 28. the force of truth it light drawn 2 further acknowledgement from him in these words; Moreover, pagannot deny but that carnal security, not onely in hypocrites, but in the godly themselves, may possibly, and too frequently take advantage for increases from the Dollrine of Perseverance. In confideration whereof he con-Pag. 39. cludes afterward, that, a very great cautelousness according to the weight of our work, would be necessary, if our assurance of perseverance mere perfect. It is the second This, proceeding from so cleer, and full a conviction of the danger that inseparably attends those Doctrines, I cannot but wonder what should induce M. Baxter; with the hazerd of his judgement to dispute so earnestly against the opposite Tenents; and not without manifest interfering in the procedure of his discourse, as will appear by the Mid. p. 14. reflexions now to be made upon

ledge: (It seems all the Divines of the Synod

en of these Ancients (saith he; meaning the Greek and Latine Fathers that were before the dayes of Angustine ") and of the Jesuices, Arminians, and Lucherans, who deny an abfolute personall Blettion of men to faith and perseverance, and so maintain indefinitely, a totall and finall falling from a state of justification, without excepting such elect themselves, is an errour of dangerous consequence, against the grace and fidelity of God, if not against his wisdome and his power, and against the peace of the Saints: and therefore is to be carefully avoided and refifted; by those that would not wound their faith: Answ. I confesse 'tis of dangerous Consequence indeed, if it be against Gods grace and fidelity, &c. but, who faith it is? Do the Maintainers of that opinion judge fo? Not. Then tis possible the inference of an Adverfary may be drawn out by passion and prejudice, and so not naturally follow, but onely as it is forced to serve an interest. Whether this be of fuch an extraction, we shall examine presently, assoon as I have demanded, How those severall Parties forementioned, could except such elect themselves, (as you speak) when (as you confesse) they deny there are any such etect? But let us look upon the dangerous Consequence of this, which

another Passage in that Treatile. The Opini-

you call Errour. 1. Against the Grace of God, you say; Then it seems the whole Church of God, or heat to the whole; (as you confesse ) trath held an errour of dangerous confequence, against the Grace of God for thirteen or fourteen hundred Account of years at least (asyou write; though Perfev. pag. somewhat incongradually; for k . 18. thould have been, more properly, fourteen or thicken hundred at + Of 84v. least: (but as you tell M. Barlaw, it Fanb, pag. we all write incongruously some-24. times; therefore that may passe). We cannot extoll the Grace of God lufficiontly: But we do not advance, but underva-Ine it, when we take upon us to bound it, 'or weigh it out at our own pleasure. Doctor Sanderson bath observed, the word [Grace] is one of the three words, that occasions most of the greatest controversies in the Church, for want of a due explication. But how were those Antient, and how is that opinion, (which you call an Errour of dangerous consequence) against Gods Grace? Doth it com clude a man may be converted and faved withour Gods Grace? you will not offirm it. Doth it follow from that opinion, that a man may receive the Grace of God in vain, or be wanring to it, or fall from it? If any of these, or all of them be the Errour and of fuch a dangerous Consequence; tis at least a Consequence of Scripture. Receive not 2 Cor . 6. 1 . the grace of God in vain. Take Hebt. 12. beed lest any man be wanting to the Gal. 5. grace of God: ye are fallen from Grace. Lastly, is this opinion against the Grace of God, because it implies, that mans Cooperation is indispensably necessary with it, that it may avail unto his finall falvation? This is not onely Bernard's Doctrine but Saint Austins allo. Tolle liberum Arbitrium, non erit quod salvetur; tolle gratiam, non erit unde (alverur; faith Bernarda: and Augustine b, to the same purpose, a Troff. de grat. & lib. Si non est Dei gratia, quemodo salvat mundum ? Si non est liberum b Ep 46, ad arbitrium, quomedo judicat mun-Valentinum. dum. If there be not grace how shall he save the world? If there be not Free-will, how shall he judge the world? 2. You say this errowr is of dangerous consequence against Gods Fidelity. Why an gainst his Fidelity? Fidelity relates to ones word or promises. Fidelis quia est Jacob Laur. in 1 Pet. 4. verax in omni verbo, ac speciarim in omni promisso sao? Faithfull is he that hath promifed, faith the Apostle. But hath God passed his Heb. 10.23. word or promite to any man for

Perseverance, as you there speak of? I trow not. There are conditions annexed to his promises, upon which they are suspended. Heb. 4. 1. Let us therefore fear, least a promise being left, of entring into his rest, any of you, (hould come short of it. Be thou faithfull unto the death, and I will give thes a Acu, 2, 10. crown of life. If we fail not of our Fidelity to him, doubtlesse he will not fail of his to us; He will make good this word and perform his part; Faithfull is he that hash called you, who also mill do it. Nay though we be unz Thef. 5.25 faithfull, yet he abideth faithfull, See 2 Tim. 2 he cannot deny himself; but, ha-11,12,13. ving past his word to that purpose, if we deny him, he will de-Account of . ny us. You say, indeed, It is Perfev. pag, impossible shat true Grace should be 37. lost totally and finally. First, becanse God bath not onely decreed the perseverance of the sanctified, but also the Holy Ghost bath undertaken it as his speciall charge. To which I answer, I Whether God hath decreed the perseverance of the Sanctified, is the question; and that you are not certain of the truth of it, appears, in that you dare not venture your salvation upon it, as abid p. 17. you confesse. 2. If the Holy

Auch an absolute personall Election to Faith and

Incumbency, those under his charge, as they may grieve him by abusing their liberty to evill, so may they despite him, and drive bim quite away, by their contumacy in it. But, Secondly, you say, the faithfullnesse of God (as farre as I can yet Ibid: understand it ) is by his promise engaged for the perseverance of all the truly ju-Stiffed and Santtified Believers. Antw. Shew us such an (absolute) promise, and it sufficeth. If you cannot produce any but conditionall; we are where we were, and no further. 3. You lay, If not against his wisdome and his power. Why, [ If not? ] was it not a suggestion to render the opinion, you coatest against odious? Sure you know there can be no such matter; For 1. Who hath been Gods Counsellor? must be forfeit his-wisdome, if his Decrees be not calculated to every man's humour? And 2. for his Power, how is that any way impeached by this opinion? Doth it suppose him to Att to the uttermost of his pomer, and yet to be defeated in his enterprise. Thus never did any Divine that was well in his

Ghost hath undertaken that charge absolutely; then every miscarriage in such a person under

his custody, is that undertakers failing, and

argues want of power, of care or fidelity. If he hath undertaken that charge but condition

onally; then, notwithstanding his office and

wits fay, as you confesse, that Preface to Grace is the effect of Gods Omnipo-Groo Relig. tency. Well may a man despise Se#: 12. the riches of his goodnesse and forbearance and long-sufferering: But Rom. 2. 4. (in this tense) Who hath resisted Aon. 9. 19. his will? Saint Augustine, whom you look upon, as your great Faster and Pazron in this cause, (though you diffent from bim too) shall shut up this. To this question; Whence the good will (in men) should be; if by nature, why is it not in all, seeing it is the same God, that is the Greator of all? If it be by in libr. de Spiritu dy lithe gift of God, why is not this in tera ad Marall likewise, seeing be would bave cellinan' v. all men to be faved ? To this que-33. frion, his Antwer is very remark. able to our purpose, Vult Dem omnes homines falvos fieri, non sic tamen, ut eis adimat liberum arbitrium, que vel bene vel male mentes justissimė judicemur. Quod cum fit, Insideles guidem contra voluntatem Dei faciunt, cum ejus Evangelio non credunt : nec ideo tamen eim vincunt, verum seiesos fraudant magno & summo bono, malisque pænalibns implicant, experiuri in supplictio potostatem ejus, cujus in donis mifericordiam contempserunt. You see then that this opinion is of no dangerous consequence against the grace,

ctrine that very dangerous influence which you unjustly charge upon the other? Is it not against the Grace of God, 1. In your preterition, which denyes Grace to the faire greatest part of mankind. 2. In your Physicall irreliftible operation, which turns Grace into necessity to all others? Is it not against Gods wisdome to injoyn that, under promimiles of like, and threatnings of damnation, to perfors, that cannot possibly refuse it, to whom likewife he is supposed to have promised the irresistable effecting of it? And is it not against his wisdome, to invite others, and affure them, by onther and objeffactions, of a free and bearty well-come to the fruition of chard both and; and means, which by an immurable Decree he hach absolutely debari'd them of, from alleternity, according to your Doctrine? . Indeed I find you have made a good Provision to secure Gods Endeling from violation in reference to the unregenerates. For, you maintain, though they be in Co-Disput. of Rightle Savenant with him and oblige theme selves, yet he is not obliged, as a Cr411.2.420. Covenanter to them; for he bath no mere outside promises, when he Pag. 422. meaneth not as he speaks. And after

or fidelity, much leffe against the misdome and power of God. But bath not your own Do-

are really in covenant as to their externall ingageing alt; and this they may break. doth it follow that they cannot violate their own promise, unlesse God be attually obliged by promife to them? This may very well fecure Gods Fidelity: but whether his fincerity will be falved by it, I make some question. Doth he by his Emballadours and by bimself, use so Mat. 22. much holy courtship, with prayers Luk. 19. and tears to allure poore finners, and draw them into covenant to ferve him, and all the while keep himself disingaged, make them no grant, not fo much as passe his word, for any thing really fufficient to: inable them to do, what he with formuch importunity and the greatest expressions of love and tendernesse imaginable, urges and ingages them to do? This to my apprehenfion, doth intrench so much upon the riches of his mercy (whereby are given unto us exceeding great and precious promises, that by these you mighs be partakers of the Divine † Divinz nature) † that I cannot readily, naturz, no-(without much greater evidence) mine, non ef\_ subscribe to it. Sentam fed participutionem qualitatum intelligit, qua imago Dei in nobis restitut-tur. Beg. not. min. ad 2, Pet. 1. 4.

you tell your Adversary, Unregenerate men

But you have one affault more, to make upon this opinion, afore you leave it. You charge it therefore in the Rear, That it is against the peace of the Saints. Answ. 1. I wonder that you of all the Calvinists in Eu. rope should make this objection, having written to much against it. Do you not confesse in the same papers, that the Lutherans, Armimians and othersof that opinion have as much peace, and with as little doubting as your telves? It is very clear, you fay, that the denyall of the Dollrine of the Per-Account of severance of all the sanctified, doth S. Perfev. not necessarily destroy all Christian pag. 19. consolation. And a little after, It were unreasonable and uncharitable to thinkthat none of the Antient Churches (who wese all of these Opinions as you confesse for thirteen or fourteen hundred years together) that differed from us in this, had Christian peace; that none of the Lutheran Protestants, or Attninians now have peace; that such boly men as Austin and Luther &c. were deprived of peace. A little after; If we could not have joy and peace in believing, except we receive it from the certainty of our own perseverance, then it would follow, that exceeding few even of them that hold the Dostrine of the Persevenance of all the justified, have joy and reace in believing. For that Duttine of Perseverance can give affin-

rance of their own perseverance to I hid peg 20. none but those, that are certain of their sincerity and justification.----But teo fad experience, you fay, telleth in that there be but few, exceeding few of the godly, among us, that are tertain of their sincersty, jufisication and salvation. Insomuch that you. conclude not long after; I never knew the man that attained any more then such a strong perfuation, mixed with some doubt-Pag- 21. ings and fears, yet so far overcoming them, as to live a peace-Peg. 25. able joyfulllife. And foure pages after, you say, We cannot deny but shat the Dollrine of the certain personerance of all the functified may accidentally occasion much more trouble then consolution, to many doubting fouls that are fincere. 2. Whom would you gratifie by your Do-Crine ?» Those fierce Disputers for Assurance, which you mention, that lay they are fure of their faluation, with a great confidence; for want of other Arguments; Or those Opinionists, that no sooner run away 1h.p. 20.21. from the communion of the Church, but find themselves prelently wraps up with such a seeming certainty: or the pessionate feelings of Hypochendriac all memen, who after such a sudden sit of pretonded affurance, fall into stronger pangs of

fesse I cannot see, what interest that Doctrine will ferve, but that of the flesh. But care would be taken, lest this precended peace of the Saints, Mould justle out the reall fear of God; and to the Preachers of it be worthily reckoned amongst those, that are lovers of pleasures more than lovers of God. 3. 'Tis most certain, the work of rightcoufnesse shall be peace, and the effect of righteenfuels quietness and assurance 150,32,17. for ever. But would you have Cordials for them in their lapses, Adultery, Incest, perjury, drunkennesse, Oc. Take heed you do not ery peace, when there is no peace; For there is no peace to the wicked, saithmy God. 'Tis your affection, fin doth as naturally breed troubles and fears, as the setting of the Sun causeth darknesse, or as a grosse substance in the Sunshine can- Ibid. p. 40. seth a shadow; And this from the nature of the thing, and by the will of God. Therefore that of the Pselmist is considerable: I will bearken what the Lord will say; for be shall speak peace to bis Saints; but let them not turn back to folly .: Why? Becaule then, he will speak to them in his wrath. 4. In this case, (cowards the renewing of repensance, the opinion you condemn doth afford much more incouragement and comfort

doubting and trouble than any others? I pro-

ders of it hold no man excluded by an Antecedent irrespective Decree from that peace of God which passeth all understanding; nor from the intercession and other benefits of Christs bloud, which speaketh better things then that of Abel; as yours do; if not in word, yet certainly in effect. Lastly, what provision have you made for the Saints Refuge, or to fecure their peace? you tell us ingenu-Ppg. 21. f. oully, in your forementioned Pa-There is no man of greatest bolinesse certain that he shall not fall into some odious Scandalow fin; For though there be promises of our perseverance in a state of grace (you hould put in Conditionall) yet in the judgement of all, there is no promise to the best of us all, that me shall not fall into any such beinous particudar sinne. No man is certain but he may be Drunk as Noah was, or Incestuous as Los was, or commit Adultery and Murder as David did, or dony Obrist as Peter did. Now what shall become of such a man, if he so die, and there is no man that can be certain, (without extraordinary divine Revelation ) but he may so die before Repentance? In Disput, of this cafe after one or two conje-Justif. pag. ctures, (which you have little 358. grounds and no proofs for ) you

then that you maintain; because the Defen-

retolve, in these words; If we should conelude that God hath purposely lest men of such a middle condition (and no man is fure but he may be left to) without any certainty how be will deal with them, that fo no man may be incouraged to fin, and in impudency, I think it no dangerous Doctrine, nor injurious to the body of faving Truth. And thus you fee, what your pretended errour of such dangerous confequence, against the grace and fidelity of God, if not against his wisdome and his power, and against the peace of the Saints ] is come to. Your next thoughts, ( which I shall resect upon in this 20. Section of your Preface) are, That men are to be judged Godly or Ungodly according to the predominant Estimation, Election, Resolution, and Operation of their souls, and the bent and course of their lives, and not by a particular Act: because no act will prove us holy indeed, but what proveth a Habit; and a predominant Habit. To this I shall make no difficulty to subscribe with these restrictions. 1. If when you speak of a particular Act, there be no equivocation in the word [particular]; As when David is taxed for the matter of Uriah, and Peter for his thamefull denying of Christ; if you take these for Particular Acts (wherein notwithstanding, there was such a complication of continued enormities) you may as well take a

life, be so that up that it begets no prejudice against such a prodominant estimation &c. For if after they have escaped the pollutions of the morid, through the knowledge of the Lord and Savieur Jejus Christ, they are again intangled aberein and overcome, the layer end is morfe with them than the beginning. 2 Pet. 2. 20. And if any man draw back, my foul shall have no pleasure in him. Hebr. 10:38. In his trespasse that he hath trespassed, and in his fin that he bath finned, in them shall be die. Ezek. 18. 24. And if the tree falleth toward she South or toward the North, in the place where the tree falleth, there it shall be. Eccles. 41. 3. 3. That one single Act of grosse sin doth fet a man further back, then a fingle Act of the contrary virtue can let him forward; because though the good cannot deserve heaven, the bad do demerit hell; and (2.) though one fingle Act be not the performing, yet one may be the violating that Covenant, which doth oblige us to serve God in boline se and righteousnesse all the dayes of our life. Luk. 1. It follows in that 20. Section, That men thus Habituated, never live in a course of wilfull fin :--- and the ungodly have never one true act of saving love to God. This is true Re-

Sedition of Rebellion carried on with violence

and bloud for feverall years together for a

perviseler Act. 2. If the last Scope of a mana

and in sensu composite : but not insensu divisos For the Righteens may turn from his righter ausnesse, and so may the ungody from his ungodlinesse. Intervals and vicifitudes they may have: but instead of judging one by the best, or the other by his worst hour, tis our duty to call the finner to repentance, and totell the dutifull; Bleffed is that servant, whom hes Lord when he cometh shall find so doing. For your advise to Master Pierce, to try bimself, I like it well, if it proceeds from a charitable Monitor; not so well, if from a Supercilious censor: And truely the close of your Section carries some suspicion that it doth so; For you say (and in perfect sense of your own severity; which induced you to usher in your faying, with this Preface; Be not angry with me if I tell you) that if I must needs choose one of the two, I had rather die in the state of David before Nathan Spake to him, or of Peter, after be had denied bis Lord, then of M.Pierce, that hash committed no such sing nove after this Book, which it's like you repent not of (with the rest of your failings, which are known to God.) A strange Option, to fail from a considering Person! You say (Sect. 18.) that the sin of Peter and David put them into that present incapacitie for heaven, that Actuall Repentance, and deep and serious Repentance 100, was necessary to their recovery and forgivenesse,

duplicative [ as thus Habit nated, or ungodly ]

uttered those passages very unadvisedly. What, had you rather die in the state of David or Peter, (under the guilt of those wasting sins ) that is , in such an incapacitie for heaven, that Actuall Repentance, and deep and Serious Repentance too, were necessary for your recovery and forgivenesse ; had you rather die in this state, then in the state of Mafter Pierce? If you be advitedly of this mind, where is your Faith for your felf? Do you believe a third state after this life wherein your foul may be parified and made fit for heaven? Where is your charity to M. Pierce? Of evils we prefume you would choose, that which you thought the least. And do you think his foul in a worfe condition then theirs, who are in a present incapacity of salvation, and stand in need of an Actual Repentance, and a deep and serious Repentance too, in order to their recovery and pardon? Why, what evill hath he done? He hath written a book, a very learned book ( as M. Baxter worthily commends it ) in vindication of him. self from those slanders, which an eager Anragonist, had cast upon him for a former vindieation of his God. Herein Master Baxter ( as if he had taken his line and plumet, and sounded the depth of Master Pierce's heart

You would tempt a man to think that you

do not believe your felf, or at least, that you

to the very bottome, and discovered clearly from what fountain, they issued) finds (in his opinion) uncharitable passages; and these induced him, to refolve, if he were put to it, to prefer that Option. Be not angry, Sir, if I put S. James bis question to you upon this occasion : Are Jon not then partiall in your self; and become a judge of evill thoughts? 74m. 2. 4. For you are clean contrary to God in judgement. He judgeth the person by the works i you judge the works by the person. The bitterest expressions that fall from your Dissenting Brethren, you can have this excuse for; We are united in Christ, and in hearty love to one another----Disput of Right to Sa-crant in the We are so far agreed, that we do without scruple professe our selves of Preface. the same Faith and Church :-- And if any salt be mingled in our writings (which n nsuall in Disputes which are not lifelesse) it is intended rather to season then to fret, or to bite that which each one takes to be an errout? rather then the man that holdeth it.---- And thus on both sides, those that erre, and those that have the truth, do shew that errour is the thing which they detest, and would disclaim it, if they saw is; and that Truth, is it which they love, and are zealous for it, so farre as they

know it. Sir, a little of this candor or charity

would have made a better construction of those passages in Master Pierce his book (at least to alleviate your censure) than what you put upon it. But the judgements of some men are so byassed towards the Party they have espoused; that what they account but veriall or infirmitie, if not laudable in them, shall be censured as damnable in those against whom they fer themselves in opposition. To this purpose I find an observation so pertinent, in that profound Doctor, B. 10. of D. Thomas fack fon, that I cannot: bis Comforbear to transcribe it for the bement on the nefit of the Reader, The Turks, Creed. pag. fifth he, being ignorant, or not 3181. confidening that there is an Immustable goodnesse precedent to the Ass or exercife of Gods will; A Goodnesse, whereof his will; however confidered, is no earle; For it is coeternall to his will, to his wisdome and Essence: they fall into groffy abfurd errours. And confequently unto this their ignorance; or to: the tommon errour, that all things are good one ly because God wittern them; they fometimes bighly commend, and sometimes deeply discommend the self same practifes for quality and circumstances, with as great wehemency of zeal and spirio, and with as fair processucions of obedience in all things to Gods will, as any other men do.

Brother, was an abominable impiety. What was the reason? Selimus his attempt sound good successe ; for he prevailed against his Father, and this was an argument, that it was Gods will that he should so do. But Bajazet miscarries in his attempt against his Brother, and his disaster was a proof sufficient that God was displeased with his attempt, it was not his will that he should prosper. And seeing his will is the onely Rule of Goodnesse, seeing he did predestinate shefe two Princes, as he did Jacob and Elau, the one to a good end, the other to an evill; the self same Fast or attempt was good in the one, but wicked in the other. We all condemon it as an errowr in the Turk, for measuring the difference between good and evill, by the event. But even this errour bath an Originall which is worse. They therefore measure all good and evil by the event, because they ascribe all Events (without exception) to the irrefistible will of God, and think that nothing can fall out otherwise than it doth; because every thing is irresistibly appointed by Gods will, which in their Divinity, is such a neces-Sary Cause of Causes, and by Coasequence of all Effects, as the Author + of the said Epistle would have it to be. Who- + M. Burton

For Selimus to attempt the deposition of his

Father, was in their Divinity a good and godly

Act. For Bajazet to take Arms against kis

out otherwise then they do, must of necessity grant, either that there is no morall evill under the Sun, or that Gods will (which is the Caule of Caules) is the onely caule of fuch evill But is the like sinne or errour expressly to be Jound in Ifrael? Do any make the fame Fast for nature, quality and substance, to be no sin in one man, and yet a sin in another? or to be a little fin in one men, and a grievous out-crying sin in another? Though they do not avouch thus of Rebellious attempts against Prince and State or of orber like publick Facts, cognoscible by bumone Law; yet the Principles of Predestination commonly held by them and the Turk, draw them to the like inconveniences, in transforming the immutable Rule of Goodnesse into the Similitude of their partiall affections in other The Adultery and Murder, which David committed, had been grievous sins in another man, but in David being predestinated, they were but fins of infirmity: fins by which the outward man was defiled, not the inward man. Such a fin was incest, in Lot; Such are all the fins committed by the Elect. Thus fatte Doctor Jackson,

loever he be, whether Jew, Turk, or Chri-

stian, which thinks that all events are so irre-

filtibly decreed by God, that none can fall

his very bosome at the writing of those pasfages. For, faith Matter Baxter, The finne of Peter, David, &c. was exceedingly in regard of manner, ends, concomitants, Oc. different from the like Fact in a graceleffe In bis Preman, And two Sections after, face Self. 18 Men thus habituated (to Godii-(materially) nesse) never live in a course of more beinous willfull fin (though ellewhere †, Self, 20. †Dispus.Sahe faith, How long Asa or Soloc) am. pog. mon fin'd we know not: Nor can 331. any man possibly determine justly how long a man may live in the practife of such a fin, and yet have true speciall Grace, and a state of Justification: ) nor have any one sin which for Ends, concomitants and all, is such at that of unfanctified men. What I do the Godly mans Relations extenuate his Commisfions? Is his fin leffe, because his light, and Gods love towards him have been greater? The more indeerements he hath received, the more is his ingratitude heighten'd: and the more incouragements have been conferr'd, to continue him in his allegeance, the more execrable is his Apostasie and Rebellion; and all those sweet and gracious experiences of Gods favour, which he hath injoyed, by his perversity, are raised up to be Aggravations of his crime. But

And this is as like Master Baxters doctrine

as if that great Prophetick Spirit, had been in

But Master Baxter having considered too, That, as it is a greater measure of spirituall refining and purity, that is promised and justly expected under the Gospel, so a greater mea-(ure must be looked after by avery man in himfelf, and by the Guides of the Church in its members; yet he refolves, that a man may be ofs drunk, and oft commit forni-Ib.pag. 319. cation, he knows not justly bow oft, and jet have true grace. And a little after, We know many that we see great signs of grace in, and that are well reputed of as eminent for Godlinesse, that do frequently commit as great sins, as some kind of rash swearing seems to be: For example, It is too vare to meet with a person so conscionable, that will not frequently back bite, and with some malice or emvie speak evil of those that differ from them in judgement, or that they take to be against them, or that they are fall'n out with: They will ordinarily censure them unjustly, and secreely endeavour to disgrace them, and take appay their good names, and leve those that joyn with them in it: So how many Profes-Pag. 330. for s will rashly raile and ly in ibeir passions? ( yea, and out of their pessions too.) How few will take well a reproof, but rather defend their fon? How many in these times, that we doubt not to be godly, bave been guilty of disobedience to their Guides,

and of Schism, and doing much, to the hurt of the Church? A very great sin. Yes, with a witnesse, and would have deserved Sequestravim ar the least, if it had been committed by persons of an-other persuasion, than your Party is of: but in your selves, these and whatever fins elfe, Adultery, Murder, Incest, Denying of Christ, cannot be of moment enough to cut a man off from the state of grace. But rell it not in Gath, pub-Ubi supra list it not in the streets of Askalon: in Preface You were leath, you say, these P46.17. things should have been made publick, as knowing how unfit it was for the eyes of the profune. In your Preface there. This makes me reflect upon what you have written concerning mens placing Treatifa of their Religion and holinesse in Conversion, their opinions, and so turning from Pag. 297. the life of Fanh and Love, to spetulation and vain janglings. This is a bait, you lay, by which the Devil hath caught multitudes of souls in all ages of the Church, and especially of tate: when be cannot keep men in open profunenesse, then he will temps them to thinks that such a Party, and such a Sect are the bully right and hely people; and therefore if thou get but among them, and be one of that opinion and Party, then then shalt be saved. And hence it is that we see that men who are so

zealous for their Parties, and glery so much in Severall opinions, do Jet many, and very many of them live so unacquaintedly with God and Heaven, and are such strangers to Christian charity, and can freely repreach both common Christians and Ministers, and Speak evil of the things they understand not, and take their railing Accusations for their Piety, and walk in discord and hacred, and disunion from the Church of God, and be glad when they can bear down the reputation of their brethren, whose labours are necessary for the good of souls. This I confesse is a most undeniable, though a most fad truth. But it would be confidered withall, Whether, it were not some of the preient Leaders of the people, that have caused them to erre so horribly in this particular; and whether they do not still flatter and tooth them up in it, by persuading them, they continue in a safe condition, their state of Grace is no whit interrupted, they are very Godly persons for all that? These and such like Doctrines and practices are they; that give no lesse scandall then advantage to the Socinians, as Szliebijngius upbraideth Meisner; (disputing that Questia on with him. [ Num ad regnum Dei postidendum necesse fit in nullo pecca-In Prafat. to Evangelicæ doctrinæ adverso 246. 5. manere? ] Hac quastio ita est

comparata, faith he, ut homines mirum in modum hic semetipsos ludant ac decipiant. Aliter enim sese de ea sentire credunt, quam revera sentiunt. Nam cum aperte negare non audeant, trata sanctitate, & a vitiis puritate ad regnum Dei possidendum opus esse; si penitius corum sensum mentemque excutias, longe aliter statuunt. Tantoque nocentior est his error, quanto occultior. Meifnerses, certe ita hunc focum disputar, ut non tantum id, quod afferit Sociem, le concedere dicat : sed etiam longe majorem fanctitatis perfectionem requiri affirmet, Socinumque & exemplis, & dictis, & rationibus, & omni denique argumentorum apparatu urget, quod aliquid de tanto pietatis rigore remittat. At si quid in recessu lateat inspicias, deprehende omnia illorum de pietate dicta splendida, atque magnifica, eo tandem recidere, ut in peccatis, vitilique manentibus, quamvis ante vitz exitum deposita 'hon fuerint,' indu-Ais in corum locum virtutibus, dummodo morientes meritum Christi sibi applicent, peccatorumque dolore tangantur, Regni cœlorum jus & hæreditatem afferant. Hoc verò quid, aliud est, quàm quod in speciem necessarium ad regni Dei adeptionem esse dixeras, neipfa non neceffarium effe statuere? That is, Whether it be necessary for a man, to the obtaining the Kingdome of God, that he

and these themselves in the cofe is whilf they fancy thenofolius to have another fenforefishe businesse, then indeed they have. For although sbey dare not flatly depy, but fuch an exact box linesse and clearnesse from fin , is necessall to the obtaining the Kingdome of God ; yet if one look more narrowly into their fenfe and thoughts, no ball find them quite of another mind. Now this errour is the more dangerous for being so close and covers. Meisnerus in his disputing the controverse does not onely acknowdedge what Socious afferes but will have a far preater measure of sancting required, and takes Socious to task, both with Examples, Tostimonies, Reasons, and all manner of Arguments elfa, for his remitting any ching of that height and rigour of Riesy. But if a man looks mbas lyes methin sheft mous breaks, lie bath find all their high and splanded professions of Piety fignific un more at last than this & They believe that though men lye in never (o many fins and iniquities to their dying day, without any regard to vertuous and godd living byet if at their expiration they can apply the murit of Christ to themselves, and be touched with a remorfe for their fins, they may challenge the Kingdome of beaven as their due right and inberitance. And what's this but to say in plain

continue in no one fin which is contrary to the

Doctrine of the Gospel ] This Question, laich

he, is so stated, that men do strangoly delude

terms, that what they had before affirmed in shew to be necessary towards the obtaining Gods Kingdome, is in very deed and really not new ceffary? Thus in his Praface. And in his Disputation he renews the reproach in these words ; Jaman- Pag. 50. tè monuimus, Meisnerum, & qui Theologiam istorum sequentur, in speciem rigidos esse pietaris propugnatores, reipla tamen nihil minus quam hoc agere. Nec ulla alia istius fucati rigoris est causa, quam qu'id non multura in co ad falucem fittimeelle putent, five quis vera vita landitate lit pradiction five minus. Itaque de plerate fie loquantur, tanquam fi in alieno foro res ageretura Serenue pretatem excollunt, etiani minimos actus peccati damnare docent, dummodo fibi fine februs discrimine liceat non tantum actus vitiolos committere, fed etiam in habitibus hærere. Probitas laudatur & alget. Revocate tantum ad ulum pietatem, & mox nobifeum fentieris. That is. We rold you formerly how Meilherns and she rest of the Disciples of these kinds of These logy, are for their out fide very rigid Chanspions of Piety : but in truth they mind noshing leffe. Nor is there, any other reason for this their diffembled rigidansse, but their perfunction and belief that it is of little or no consequence to salvation, whether a man be en-

dowed with true Holinesse or not. Hence it is shat they still speak of Piety, as if they were pleading a cause wherein themselves are not at all concerned. They cry it up for excellent, and tell us that the smallest sins will damn a man; but themselves the whilest will have leave both for the Alts and Habit of fin, and yet without endangering their Salvation: they are bot in the commendation of Vertue, but cold in the practice of it. Recall but that once, and jon hed I shall soon be of a judgement. Mid now it will fall in very leafonably, to change the truth of what you would fan trave granted you (because you are not able to prove it,) in the 36. Section of your Preface. Where you singe Master Pierces upon a falfe ground) this; Let that then be known to be of the difference, that they make God \* Calvinifis. more gracious, and man more finfull and impotent than you do ! and do not say, that which is not so, that they make Goddesse Gracious, because they make man more finfull. But we must not swallow to grossea fullacy, as you would put upon your Reader; Do not say, that they make God leffe Gracious, because they make man more sinfull. Why, who doth lay fo? That their making man more finfull, is the Caufe of their making God leffe Gracious? The Fallucy is Canfe pro non Cansa. But as they assign other Reasons

man leffe finfull, (though in some sense more impotent.) 1. Which fide is it, that restrains Gods will and intention of faving; to a few, even of those, whom he calleth to salvation? Is it not the Synod and the Calvinists ? Which fide doth extend Gods will and intention of faving to all that are called, according to the holy Scriptures, which lay, God would have all men to be saved, and come to the knowledge of the truth. I Tim. 2. and, He would not that any (hould perish, but that all might come to repentance. 2 Pet+3. Is it not Matter P. Tileum and the Remonstrants? which of them then make God most Gracious? 2. Whichof the Parties is it, that ascribes to God, none but an externall will, or an outward fignification onely, and that in the first intention of God ineffectuall, when he calls the Reprobates to falvation; nay rather, who is it that attributes to God plainly a feigned will, whereby he would feem willing to fave them, whom he bath professedly willed and decreed to Reprobate, that is, to destroy, for his own glory? Is this the Doctrine of the Remonstrants, or of the Calvinists 2 3. Who are they that do attribute to Almighty God a will, whereby he wills that they whom he

why you make God leffe Gracious. fo with

Good Reason they affirm, that you make

( which he hath willed to deny them the grace to do) arould become guilty of a greater condemnation? Is this fuitable to that Name proclaimed to Moses, Exod 34.6. The Lord God Mercefull and Gracious? And is this the Doctrine of the Remonstrants, or of the Calvinists ? But you argue (Section 33. He that faith [ All that ever are sandified truly, shall be Saved doth more advance the grace of God, shan be that saith | some shat shall never be saved, are fantified. Anlw. 1. You must not obtrude a faliacy upon us, A ditto simpliciter: For all that are truely lanchified thall be faved, Mat. 5. 8. Bleffed are the pure in besort, for they shall see God. But if they cease to be sanctified, the case is altered. Ezek. 18. 24. 2 Pet. 2. 20. 2. When you speak of advancing Gods grace, you may understand it, either of Gods love and favour towards us, or of the effects thereof, viz. fome habit or quality, or operation, dwelling in us, or imprinted, or moving upon us. If you take Grace in the first fense; then indeed you may be said to advance Gods grace, when you tell men, they may often commit fornication, revile and stan-

hathby his own immutable decree willed to

seprobate, for the glory of his Name, should

believe in Christ, and if they will not believe

God hath let over them, rend the Church by Schisms, and yet continue in Grace and be emment for Godlinesse. Nay in this sense you may be said to advance Gods Grace, when you Proclaime a generall Gaole delivery for the very Devils, as Origen did. But, I believe, God will Con you little thanks (as we say) for such commendations of his Grace. If you think by fuch opinious and doctrines to advance the Glory of his Grace; I must take the confidence to declare otherwise. For 'cis his Grace in the second sense, that he desires should be advanced (because that is the best way of advancing the other) and the best measures we can take of the Glory hereof, are his own will revealed in his word (by his Son and his Apostles) and they tell † Tit. 2.11, ust, to be zealon; of good works, 12, 14. and to be holy in all manner of con-Epb. 1,6. verfation and godlinesse, is the best 1 Pet.3.9. praise (we can render) of the glory of his Grace. Hereby is my father glorified that je bring forth much fruit. Joh. 15. 8. And thus the Remonstrants do more advance Gods Grace than the Calvansts. As they make God more Gracious, so they make man more finfull, even fuch of them, (if there be any such) as deny Original! fin;

der their Brethren, be disobedient to those

Guides (both Civill and Ecclesiasticall) whom

Posterity of Adam are said by † See in the Epift. of the Calvin + to be); For this way, Babes of a span long, and the Son Exam of Tiof God may be finfull: but that lenus. way, none but Devils, and men arrived to a capacity to confider and make 2. He makes man more sinfull that places the originall fountain of his uncleannesse in his own heart, than he that derives that uncleannesse unavoidably to him, through forreign channels, from a spring head, that was opened at a great distance from him, not onely before he had power to oppose, or protelt against it, but before he had a being. 3. He makes man more finfull, who makes his fin personally voluntary, and of his own free choile, than he who makes it necessary and unavoidable ( ab extrinsceo ). The Reaion is, that in all these Cases, the one doth aggravate, the other doth extenuate the fin. But to proceed, He that provides a faire and sufficient excuse for Mans sin, doth make man leffe finfull, than he that provides none, but chargeth all his fin, with all the aggravations of it, upon his own will; The Calvinifls do the first, the Remonstrants the last. That Godd oth for the fin of the first Parent, pu-

for fure (1.) He is more finfull, who is fin-

full by inherent pollution, than he that is fin-

full onely by an extrinsick imputation (as the

impotencie inflicted, that he doth require of him the All of faith and obedience, which cannot be performed without a new power, He that delivers this Doctrine, makes man excusable; The Reason is, there can be no better excuse for the omission of a duty, than an utter inability to perform it : and if he to whom the duty is supposed to be due: hath: inflicted that inability by way of punishment, before the obligation of the duty is of force. in all reason such an obligation is to be woid, and of none effect. For example; A Prince commits a son to prison for his Fathers treafon, (which he will needs intail upon him,) gives order the man be put in irons, and fecured under custody; ( and this is the Reprobates case, being tyed and bound in the chaine of Adam's fin, and kept in thraldome under the power of the world and Satan); afterwards he causeth proclamation to be made to fummon that prifoner to attend him at his Court, (though the former Decree for his? restraint continues in full force irreversibly) and in case he doth not make his appearance: ( which that Prince his own order and warrant unrepealed, hath made impossible for him ) this poore prisoner is lentenced to have ' his present and unavoidable milery auga-

nish man with an impotencie, or utter inability

to believe and obey, and after that multi of

mented by the accession of new and greater torments. Now in this case, whether this priloners non-appearance at Court ; ( for difobedience I cannot call it ) ought to be accounted a crime, or rather held altogether exensable, and a milery the more to be pitied in that it is unavoidable, I leave to every unbiaffed judgement to determine. But this is according to the Doctrine of the Calvinifts, as is evident from what hath been faid. above. 2. He that saith no man, whether Elect, or Reprobate, can abstein from fin, unlesse hebe kept back from finning, by a speciall internall, and in the intention of God, effectuall grace administred every moment, He, when man doth fin, renders him excusable. The Reason is, because his excuse resteth upon the defect of that Divine Grace, which defect depends upon the fole will of God, and which (what ever it was in Adam) is not now in the power of man to hinder. But that the Calvinists say this, needs no other evidence than what hath been alleaged already. Therefore they make man leffe finfull. I confesse, in another sense, you may be faid, to make man more finfull ( as he that puts away his wife, is faid, ( in the Phrase of Scripture) to make her commit Adultery, Mat. 5, 32.) For 1, the Non-elect you make (in

too just an occasion to take up that resolution of those wretches mentioned, Jer. 2. 25 Gr. 18:12. There is no hope but we will walk after our own devises, and we will every one doe the imagination of his evilt heart. Why mould I attend upon Gods Ordinances, reade, hear, pray, endeavour to mortifie lusts and apperites, and keep a good conscience in all things, feeing these will (if n trender me liable to a foarer judgement, and greater condemnation) but make me inexcufable; and not conduce at all, to my salvation; I being lest in an utter incapacitie for that injoyment and happinesse, by Gods eternall and immutable preterizion? 2. As this Doctine makes fome men (All the Non-elest) more desperately finfull, fo it tends to make others Prefumpenonsly sinfull; for, as no sin of theirs could hinder their election, that Decree for their fa'vation being irrespectively made in their favour, from all eternity: so no sins, how m'ny or how enormous foever (as was shew. d above) can hinder their finall perseverance; (that being an infultible and necoffary effect of the faid Decree of Election) and fo all the fins of persons under that Decree, are reckoned but infirmities, or coffigations proceeding from Gods paternall love (as M. Perkins laith) that shall never be able to excuse the spirit of

this sense ) desperately sinfull: giving them

And yet, (notwithstanding your Doctrine makes them thus p elumptuously finfull; fo ful is it of contradictions, that ) it makes them lesse sinsultoo; for be whose sins cannot exclude him from the kingdome of heaven, certainly is leffe sinfull than he, whose sins do exclude him from it, (else God, should not judge men according to their. works) But the fins of the Elect, whether Adultery, Murder, Perjury, Incest, or the like; cannot exclude them from the Kingdome of heaven; and yet the fins of the Non-elett, their Adultery, Murder, Perjury, Incest, and the like do exclude them. If you say this is not from the nature of the sin, but from Gods speciall indulgence and favour. I reply, God hath made but One Rule for all forts of men, and it is peremptory. The works Gal. 5.19: of the flesh are manifest which are 20, 21. these, Adultery, fornication, uncleannesse, lasceviousnesse, idolatry, witchcraft, hatred, variance, emulation, wrath, strife, sedicions, herefies, envyings, murders, drunkenresse, revellings and such like: of the which I cell you, -- that they which do such things shall not inherit the kingdome of God. Now I demand? Are the fins, which the Elett do at

Grace, but serve rather to promote and.

confirm it, and likewise to advance their.

Glory.

tion, herefie, such as these here mentioned by the Apostle? If they be not such, then the Elett even when they do the fame Fact for nature, quality and substance, with the Non-elect, are notwithstanding leffe sinfull than they are; which is the thing to be proved. If they be the same for heinquinesse, then by this Generall Rule, they must exclude them out of heaven. For he that deth these things whatever he be, shall not enter there; This is further confirmed by that Rule in Logick; That an universall Negative may be simply conversed. If See Dollar. Jackson, 1 a. no Tree can be a Man, then no B. of the Man can be a Tree. If no Adul-Comment. p; terer, no Incestuous, no perjur'd, 3162. no feditious, no disobedient, hereticall, unrighteous person, nor doer of any of those works of the flesh mentioned by the Apostle, can enter into the kingdome of hear ven: Then no man whose entrance into that kingdome is Immutably and irrespectively determined can be an Adulterer, incestuous, perjur'd, seditious, disobedient, hereticall,unrighteous person. If you say, he may be such and yet Repent, and then be capable of entring into that kingdome, which he was not before. I answer, That his entrance being

any time commit, such sins as these, year

no? Is their Adultery, drunkennesse, sedi-

his want of Repentance can no more hinder his entrance, than it can referred the Decrees of God; and therefore though you do but incline to think to of a person once sanctified, that though he doth fall into such wasting fins, if he be can off by death be-Diffut, of fore repentance, be shall be fully Justiba pae. pardoned at the instant of death, 39**8.** and so be saved; yet you say, of Account of all the Bleck, you are fure of it. Perfever. Hence it appears that you hold Pal. fuch persons to be leffe finfull then those of the Non-elect. Yea, their very fins of the fame nature, for fubstance and quality, with those of the Non-elect, to be Leffe finfull.) And this you averre expresly more than once in your Preface, Sea. 18.6 for you fay, The fin of David, 20. Peter, cre, mas exceeding different from the like Fact in a Gracele fe man, in regard of End, Manner, Concomitants, Oc. But here I must expostulate. What other end would an unfinctified man propound in desging of Christ, but his own safery to e-Scape perfecutions and did not Teter propound that end to himself ? And after what other manner and with what Concomitants could it be attended in an unsanctified man? would he have stood to it with more confidence, or

immutably and irrespectively determined,

Davids sip, what the manner and concomitants of that were, we have confidered before; and I would fain be satisfied what end he propounded to himself in that matter, more than another Adulterer simes at, even the Satisfaction of his luft? He did not intertain fuch a thought furely, that it should conduce to Gods glery. You disclaim that opinion your felf, in your seet annexed to your debate with M. Barlow | where + Of Saving you say; Either David in Adul-Faith, pog. tery did desire slesh pleasing for st self, or for some ather end. If far is self, then it was his ultimate end in that Act : If for somewhat else as his end, For what? No one will (a) it was for Gods Glosy. And there is nothing else to be it. This was then your opinion. Thus you see your Doctrine, as it makes God lesse Gracious, so it makes man lesse sinfull; whether you understand the Elect or Non-elect. And yet it makes man more impotent too. (a strange Paradox!) But a true faying; for (according to some of your Calvinifes (as Piscator and Maccovius) it concludes, No man can do lesse evill, nor more good than he doth; His will being infallible and irrefishely predetermined to every indiwiduall Act, as was declared above; to that

have used bigger earbes and execuations? For

ther towards hell or heaven, but as he is fo predetermined, than adde a cubit to his stature. And you make the Elect to impotent (as I may fay) in respect of sin, they cannot effectually and eventually hinder, either their Conversion or finall Perseverance; on the other fide, you make the Non-elect fo impotent ( and under the influences of Common Grace too, as you call and define it ) that they cannot so much as exert one & Act of a Preface . Sell. 20-Saving love; nor intertain a good b Difp. of purpose or intention b. Such is Justif. pag." your Sufficient Grace. Of which 304. enough before.

he can no more advance one fingle-flep fur-

Sacred:

# Spirituall Charmes Against the poisonous suggestions of the Three Grand Tempters of Mankind, to prevent Apostasie.

SACRED AMULETS,

Luk. 22. 31. Behold, Satan bath desired to

Revel. 12.4. And the Dragon stood before the woman, which was ready to be delivered, for to devoure her childs a soon as it was born.

have you, that be may sift you as wheat.

I. The DEVIL.

The AMULET.

Heb. 3. 12. Take heed, brethren, lest there be in any of you, an evill heart of unbelief, in departing from the living God. 1 Pct. 5. 8,9. Be sober, be vigilant: because your Adversary the Devill, as a roaring Lion, walketh about, seeking whom he may devoure.

Jam. 4. 7. Whom resist, stedfast in the faith; and he will slee from you.

### II. The WORLD.

Mat. 4.8, 9. Again, the Dovil taketh him up

into an exceeding high mountain, and sheweth him all the kingdomes of the world, and the glory of them: And faith unto him, All these things will I give thee, if thou will fall down and worship me.

2 Cot. 6. 8 By honour and dishonour : by evil

2 Cor. 6. 8. By honour and dishonour : b) evil report and good report. 2 Cor. 11. 24, 25, 26, 27. Of the Jews sive times received I fourly stripes save one:

Thrice was I beaten with rods: once was I stoned: thrica I suffered shipmrack: a night and a day I have been in the deep: In journeying spfton: in perils of maters, in perils of robbers, in perils by mine own countrey-men, in perils by the heathen; in perils in the wilder-

Joh. 16.1,2. These things have I spoken unto you, that yee should not be offended. They

nesse, in perits in the Sea, in perils amongst

shall put you out of the Synagogues : yea, the time cometh, that whosoever killeth you, will think, that he doth God service.

### The AMULET.

The things that are in the world, neither world possess away and the lust thereof: but he that doth the will of God abideth for ever.

Thil. 4, 11, 12. I have learned in whatsoever

for ever.

I hil. 4, 11, 12. I have learned in what sever state I am, therewith to be content. I know both how to be abased; and I know how to abound: every where, and in all things I am instructed, both to be full, and to be hungry, both to abound, and to suffer need. I can do all things through Christ, which strengtheneth me.

Luk. 12.4, 5. Be not a fraid of them that hill

the body, and after that, have no more, that they can do. But I will for evaru you, whom yo shall fear: fear him, which after he bath killed, hath power to cast into hell: yea I say unto you, fear him.

Rev. 2. 10. Fear none of those things, which

thou halt suffer, behold, the Devill hall east some of you into prison, that ye may be tried, and ye shall have tribulation ten

dayes: be thou faithfull unto death, and I will give thee a crown of life.

Mat. 26 41. Watch and pray that ye enter not into temptation.

### III. The F L E S H.

Jet. 17.9. The heart is deceitfull above all things, and desperately wicked.

Jam. 1.14, 15. Every man is tempted, when he is drawn away of his own lust, and entifed. Then when lust hath conceived, it bringeth forth sin: and sin, when it is finished, bringeth forth death.

The AMULET.

Prov. 4.23. Keep thy beart with all diligence;

for out of it are the issues of life.

Luk. 21.34, 36. And take heed lest at any time, your hearts be overcharged with surfetting, and drunkennesse, and the cares of this life, and so that day come upon you mnawares. Watch ye therefore and pray alwaves, that to may be accounted worthy

alwayes, that Je may be accounted worthy so escape, --- and so stand before the sen of man.

1 Pet. 2. 11. Abstain from fleshly lusts, which war against the soul. Job. 30. 1. I made a Covenant with mine eyes; why then should I think upon a maid? Psal. 119. 37. Turn away mine eyes from beholding vanity : and quicken thou me in thy way. Col. 3.5. Mortifie your members which are upon the earth: Gc. E Cor. 9.27. I keep under my body, and bring it into subjection: lest that by any means, when I have preached unto others, I my Jelf Should be a castaway.

Ex Synodo Arelatensi, contra Lucidum Presbyt.

1. Anathema illi, qui per Dei Præscientiam (vel Decretum) hominem in mortem deprimi dixerit.

II. Anathema illi, qui dixerit, illum qui perist non accepiffe ut salvas esse posses. III. Anathema illi, qui dixerit, quod vas contumelia non possit assurgere, ut siat

vas in honorem.

IV. Anathema illi, qui dixerit, quod
Christus non sit mortuus pro omnibus, nec
omnes salvos esse velit.

Prosper ad object. Gallorum III.

A sanctitate ad immunditiem, à justitia

A sanctitate ad immunditiem, a justitia od iniquitatem, a side ad impietatem ple. Posque transire non dubium est: & ad tales prædestinationem siliorum Dei, Coharedum Christi non pertinere certissimum est.

Hieron. advers. Jovin. l. 11.

Tamdiu sciatis vos in generatione De-

mini permanere, quandiu non peccaveritis. Et mox: Si peccaverimus, & per peccati januam ingressus fuerit Diabolus, protinns Christus recedit.

August. de Bono Perfever, cap, 8.

Deus autem melius judicavit miscere quosdam non perseveratures, certe numero Sanctorum suorum, ut quibus non expedit in hujus Vitatentatione securitas, non possint e[[e securi.

Prosper ad object. Vincent. 12. Prædestinatio Dei apud nos dum in præ.

sentis vita periculis versamur, incerta est.

FINIS,

# REFLEXIONS upon

## A practicall Discourse Lately Printed at Oxon.

Lately Printed at Uxon.

He Practicall discourse, you sent me, hath given me a needlesse diversion.

to be ingaged in these Controversies, that are not very bigh-proof against all the impressions that can possibly be made by such weak discourses. I can as little approve the mans Dottrine, as justifie his Practice. Was not He

For those Judgements ( in my opi-

nion) shall never passe the Muster,

fometimes Scholar to Master B. and afterward his User at Westminster School? Had he not once a design to supplant his Master; and was outed himsels? Are not these Sermons calculated, think you, to serve such unworthy ends? Doth he not herein endeavour to set forth God for a President, of such De-

crees, as he would have others execute; [viz. The Absolute Reprobation of a very deserving Master, and the Absolute Election of a most

advancement for his works; they having little or nothing of Merit in them, whether of . Condignity, or Congruity; Yet his Fides Prazifa, (held forth in these Sermons, ) He thought haply, might be a fair Que lifeation and motive to such an Election. But I am apt to conclude, men ( in these dayes of light and Reformation) will not assume or exercise a Soversignty more Absolute than what they ascribe to God himself. And though they affirm, he passeth his Eternall Decrees upon men, who lie | in Paristain vel conditione ]: yet I have mer with none to bold, as to affirm, that he doth Reject the morthy, though he Elects the immershy. However Divine Providence, I doubt not, will in time awaken the infatuaced world to take notice of what Complexion those menare, who pretend to be the greatest Patrons and Advocates of that Herrible Decree. S. UETONIUS giving account how Tsberies Was improved into the most iniglerable. Eyrant, attributes the Originall of it to his beliefs. That All things are wrought by a Farality. How can those men P. Dife. &c. fice when they invade the Rights of others) who perfuade themselves their wills are tied up so close to

aunthankfull Scholar?] I call it an Alfolute

Elettion, presuming he doth not expect such

more onely as that Great Mover doth guide shem? In the choice of his first Dostrine; I cannot but observe how Prudent the man is, to prevent the danger of a Confurntion; making All mankinde (upon the matter) Incompetent for fuch an under aking. Por you can hardly weigh the Reasonablenesse of his Discourse, unlesse you put the Justice of Gods Proceedings into the Ballance with it; And if you do this, he prefently cives out upon your Incapacitie to be a Inage in such matters. The waies and counsels of God, how profound and inscrutable foever, we are fore are not fo established or carried on as to defeat the Ministeries of his Grace, of their proper Wefullnese, or to dishonour his Essentiall Attributes. I would ask but this one Question, Whether the Apostle did not Declare |A/U|the Counfell of God (touching Ad. 20, 27. nians Salvation and Damnation respectively) unto the Church? Who dares deny, what the Apostle afferteth of his own Ministery? If here were all and that declared too; then is there no defect either in respect to the extent, or to the perspicuity of this Object. If this Counfell be 7.b. 5. 39. revealed, is it not a Part of our

duty, and our Reasonable service,

Ram. 1 2.1 .

the will of God, that like leffer wheeles they

of his perfuation, should be able to determine, in thele wayes and Counsels of God, (as they do most peremptorily) and that none of mankinde besides should be in a capacitie to examine them? Sure Godunderstands mans capacity better than your Pra-Micall Discourser; yet how often doth he fummon the ions of men, to debate the Equiry and Justice of his Proceedings, before the Tribunall of their own Reason? The Lord doth not onely stand up to stead himfelf : a but he calls his people to \* ][4.3.13. the Barre too, that They may b. Ifa. 43.26 plead sagether. Yea, and when Mica.6.2.3. they have done pleading, He is pleased to referre the Justice of his Proceedings to the Sinners own sentence; . And now, O Inhabitants of Jerusalem, · 154.5.3.4. and men of Judah, judge, "I pray you, beimixt me and my vineyard. what could have been done more to my vineyard, that I have not done in it? And by another of his Prophets he appeals to them in these words; d Hear now, O \* Eq. k 18. bouse of Israel; Is not my way e-25. quall dare not your wayes unequalle Chap. 34.17 God will not onely be justified a when he speaketh, but he clear when • Pfal- 51,4.

to fearch into it, as well as the

AB.17. 11. Bereans? 'Tis strange that All,

be is judged too. But how can the Greature bring in a verdist to cleare Him, if he hath not a Competent capacitie, in some measure : to judge of the Equitie of his Proceedings? I need adde no more, for the force of those facred Engines is abundantly sufficient to overthrow his Hypothesis, though it had farre stronger props, than such Arguments as he produceth to support it. But these being for feeble, I shall not give you or my felf the trouble to handle them. Onely I shall windicate the Sacred Text from his misconstruction, and take my leave of this part of his Discourse. Naybut Oman, Quistu! who art Thou IHe interprets this of Man, I In whatever capacity considered: J When it as clear as the Sunne by the foregoing verfer, that he speaks it of Man made obnoxious to the Sword of Divine i-fuce, by having filled up the Measure of his linne, in despissing Gods Gracions Methods, and Dispensations for his Conversion. For of whom speaketh the Apostle this? Is it not spoken of the stubborn Jewe, who would not have Christ to veign over them; who would not be gathered by his Gospell : but abused Gods Painine, Christs imercession, and the Miracles of the Holv Choft, as Pharach had done the le, vouchfafed by the Lord, and his servant Mejes? What then if God deals by these fews, now ( stubborn and Rerach! whom (though he highly deserved it, and had been (wept away by that Plague, according to Gods ordinary courfe of Justice; yet ) | He made him to stand, OF kept him alive still to serve other † Exod, 9. 16, ends of his Divine Providence? 'Tis none but such clay, as this, \* See Jet. that veffels of wrath are made 18. shreugh- aof. And it is such a man whose ext. insolency, the Apostle checks with. his [ Homo, Quistu! ] Nay but, Q man, who are thou ! If the Malefallor comes to dispute the just sentence of his upright Jedge, 'ris time to take him up, as the Lord doth ( fer. 2.29. ) Wherefore will ge plead mith me? ye all have transgressed against me., saith the Lord. Such persons therefore, when Godenters into Judgement with them, must lay their hands † 3th 40.4, upon their manthes t. But this doth not debarre men the Priviledge to examine the Equity and Justice of those Decrees and Laws by which they are Governed, and upon which their Eternall Weal or Wee dependeth. In this case Abrabam thinks it no undutifulnesse to be inquisitive into Gods Counfels and Pro-Gen. 18, 23, credings, and to expostulate about 25. them; Wile thou destroy the righte-

bellious, as they are) as he dealt then by Pha-

ous with the wicked? That he far from thee. to do after this manner, to flay the righteons with the wicked, and that the righteous should be as the micked, that be far from thee: shall not the judge of all the earth do right? But whether your Discourser be of Abrabam's Judgement, I leave you to collect from his own words; He layes down this fense, That God Pag. 2. acts all things according to the Dictates of his Absolute, Soveraign and una accountable will; And hereby the greatest part of mankind are left in an bopeleffe and irrecoverable condition. Then he brings in and preffeth an objection, (out of veri. 19. ) Thou wilt then fay un- Rom. 9. to me, if our wills are syed up so close to the will of God, that like lesser wheels they move onely as that great Mover doth guide them; then why is God lo Angry with fin and finners? why doth he forbid, debort, and threaten by his Prophets? To what end serve all those examples of vengeance, which we sremble to read of? for if it he so with us, we may be miferable, but we cannot be finfull; if our spirits be put into an unsuitable frame, so as that we walk contrary to God, it is our sad necessity and not our fault; since none can alter, much less resist the will of God, which alone hath made Ns So. This is the objection. How

follows a clear Concession, as if the Objettion were a perfect Truth; For thus he proceeds, [ And now the Objection being pressed to such a degree of impiety, that it doth tacitely lay the quilt of all mens Transgressions upon God, the Apostle thinks it high time to cut off all further arguing; which be doth in these words --- Nay but what art thou, O Man, who replyest against God? As if he had said --- Dost show know who thou art, thou bold inquisitive Creature, or who it is thou dealest with? Consider that them art but a Man, and wilt thou question thy Makers Justice? Fortear vain presumptuous man, stand off, and lay thy hand upon thy mouth, for God u in the Bush, God is at the bottome of this dispute, and therefore admire with reverence, what thou canst not comprehend with reason. What the Objector (in the Apostle ) did but tacitely, he doth most exprestly, viz. lay All mens Transgressions and Misery upon the Absolute and unaccountable will of God; and no man may dispute against it; For this is his Doctrine [ Alan, in whatever Capacity confidered, is not a Competent Indge, of the Equity and Justice of the Proceedings, wayes and Counfels of God, in the dispa-

doth your Discourser answer it? Doth he

vindicate the Goodnesse and Justice of God

from the blasphemy of this imputation? No.

In flead of a Solution to that purpose, here

fing and ordering of his Creatures. And what remains then in this case, but that Option of the Plalmist, Arise, O Lord, plead shine own cause? For his Discourse on, Alt. 13.48. I need fay no more, then to evince, how palpably he millakes the sense of the Text. To this purpose, I shall not tyre you out, to examine a cloud of witnesses, that might be produced in favour of the sense which he rejects: but latisfie my felf, in discovering some of those groffe Absurdities, which follow upon his interpretation. If by [Ordanned to esernalit life we understand, [ Abfolutely Elected ; ] then it will follow: (1,) That All the Przdestinated unto life, that were in this place, believed at once; And (2.) that those which did believe, could not but believe; (3.) That

All they who did now embrace the Faith, (upon this preaching of the Apostle) were estimately Elected; and that not one of them could for sake the Faith, which he had embraced; (4.) That this was revealed, not onely to S. Paul, but to S. Luke also, concerning the Absolute election of every Individuall of these new Converts; How inevita-

ble are these interences, and yet how Absurd?

at this Sermon? (1.) It followes, that they were All absolutely Reprobated; and yet (2.) that God would have S. Paul command them All to believe in Christ; and (3.) that S. Paul, when he knew them to be Reprobates, and so in no capacitie to believe, and be saved, yet He calls them unto Faith and Salvation, and (4.) threatens them with evernall destru-Elion for not believing; and (5.) afterwards upbraids them, that they judged themselves unworthy of eternall life; and (6.) at last, when they would not believe, that he did, for that cause, turne to the Gentiles; what a heap of foule Absurdities are here! And (which is none of the least,) that S. Luke should give notice, by this writing, That such as now believed were all absolutely elected, the rest absolutely Reprobate); To what purpose should this be? or what influence could it have upon them? It could ferve no end of Divine providence; but might very well ferve the interest of Satan, as a means to tempt those Believers to security, and the unbelievers to desperation, and a contempt of those Ordinances, which, (if this exposition of the Text were true) they were assured by S. Lakes Tellimony, could never bring them benefit.

how ridiculous? On the other fide, what shall we conclude of the rest who did not believe

Having thus bereaved him of His Senses; his Reason must needs want that solidity that should make it considerable, in the accounts of

#### Dcar Sir

Your Faithfull Friend,

For Master B.

### Ercata, In the Preface.

Pag. 3. 1.25.7. As M.B. himfelf (p. 20. 1.10 r.de fato. 1.25. blot out ( bad ) p. 24 l.3 r. positivity . p. 42 . 1.8. % 9. r. Master.

In the Apologie.

Pag. 22 1.21.r. in, 18.Art. 1.26.r. third and fourth, 1.30.r. into fif-

teen Art. p.31. 1.4. r not effect. p.37. 1.12. r. Not.6. p.39. 1.43.r. and omit, p.45. highr cofts and dam, p. 62. h.g. riadde to, p. 64. l. 14.r. Hols. p.90. 1.1.r. Supralaplarian neither Existentialist nor Creabilitarian, as drosse, line 28, read persons, pag. 94, lin. 24, read Supralapfartan Creabilitarian, pag. 99. lin. 5. read Existentialists and ( reabilitarians as well as Sublapfarians, do all. p. 18 l. 14. propalandis 1.24. judicarer p. 131.1.3 r. if I adde, in Tilenus his behalf, that.l.24.r.ex post factum, p.188.1.29.r.they tell us pag. 189, in mar. l.z.r.par.z.pag.79. p.200.l.24.r.caft, p.224.in marg.l 5. r.Rejeft.4.6t 5.p.23 ( 1.25.r. effectuall. p.237.1 18.r. Amefius p.242 1.27.r Martinius p.253.l.27-r. was one of the Synod.p.259.l.21.r.elicited, p.272.l.9.r. imbuing it. p.283.dele marg, note.p.289. dele (generofity) in marg. p.335.1.32.1.45 wel as.p.345.1.19.r.if it be.p.361.1.19.r.impotency, p. 366.111 marg.for 38, and 4.1.3 and 4. p. 386 l. 10.1 Sancti, p. 388. L. 28.1. defend d.p.400.marg.r.Digrel.p.434.1.21.r.indefectibilis. p. 428.1.4. r amittunt, p. 439, l. laft, r. prefervatives p442, l. laft, r. confiftunt, p. 454. L15.r.quin, p.459.1 9.r superesse, p.470. 1 15.r. And. p.480.1 11.r. willfully p. 488 1.17 but itis in 1.1aft, r. and the winds blew. p. 493. 1.28.r, his Election p. 516, 1, 13.r. papers.

The End.